Washington State Regional Haze State Implementation Plan

Appendix K Supplement

Public Notices, Comments, and Ecology's Response to Comments on the Regional Haze State Implementation Plan

Contents

Overview of Appendix K Supplement

Response to Comments

T. Comments from Southwest Clean Air Agency
U. TransAlta
V. Comments from United State Environmental Protection Agency
W. Comments from Nathan Miller of the National Parks Conservation Association
X. Comments from Dr. Ranajit (Ron) Sahu, Consultant to the National Parks Conservation Association
Y. Other written comments received
Z. Comments received at the Public Hearing
AA. Commenter Index

Copies of all written comments Transcript from public hearing

Public Involvement Notices of Comment Period and Hearing

- State Register Notice
- Newspaper Notices
- Ecology News Releases
- Certification of Hearing

Overview of Appendix K Supplement

This Appendix K Supplement has six major sections: (1) this overview, (2) a copy of the new law, (3) Ecology's response to comments, (4) copies of written comments, (5) a transcript of the November 16, 2011 public hearing and (6) public involvement notices for the 2011 public comment period and public hearing.

On April 29, 2011, Governor Gregoire signed into law an agreement between the TransAlta power plant in Centralia, environmental groups, the Governor's Office, and the local community. It requires the two coal boilers in Washington to meet specific greenhouse gas emission standards by a certain timeframe. It also requires TransAlta to install "Selective Non-catalytic Reduction" (SNCR) technology for reducing Nitrogen Oxides (NO_x). The second section of this appendix supplement contains a copy fo the new law.

In the fall of 2011, Ecology held a public hearing and took public comments on draft revisions of the TransAlta Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) compliance order, TransAlta BART Technical Support Document (TSD), and related parts of the Regional Haze (RH) State Implementation Plan (SIP) to comply with the new law.

The third section of this appendix contains a summary of the comments received during the public comment period and public hearing on the draft revisions of the TransAlta BART compliance order, TransAlta BART TSD, and related parts of the RH SIP along with Ecology's responses. Ecology accepted comments between October 17, 2011 and November 21, 2011. In cases where we received a number of comments on the same subject we provide representative examples.

The fourth section of this appendix contains copies of the written comments received.

The fifth section of this appendix contains the transcript from the public hearing on the draft RH SIP. The public hearing was held in Lacey, Washington on November 16, 2011.

The sixth section of this appendix contains copies of materials related to public involvement notices for the public comment period and public hearing. This includes:

- Affidavits of publication of the Notice for Opportunity for Public Comment in seven Washington newspapers
- October 17, 2011 Ecology News Release on the public comment period and public hearing
- Certification of Hearing

Response to Comments

This is a summary of the comments received during the public comment period and public hearing. In cases where we received a number of comments on the same subject we provide representative examples.

T. Comments from Southwest Clean Air Agency

Comment #1:

Condition 1.2 requires injection of ammonia or urea into a boiler when the boiler is above the "minimum SNCR operating temperature." I suggest that the permit include a provision that TransAlta identify the minimum SNCR operating temperature for each boiler, and where the temperature will be monitored, as part of the SNCR optimization study report required by Condition 5.2.2.1.

Ecology Response:

The Condition 1.2.1 has been revised to require the vendor to supply this information as part of its operating manual submittal to the company. During the second revision of the BART Compliance Order, the actual minimum SNCR temperature can be inserted into this condition.

Comment #2:

Condition 6.2 requires TransAlta to estimate ammonia emissions. Important parameters such as reagent concentration, reagent injection rate, and NO_x emission rate are identified. Currently TransAlta is required to continuously monitor the NO_x emission rate from each boiler and submit the monitoring results to Southwest Clean Air Authority (SWCAA) quarterly. I suggest that the estimated ammonia emission concentration, the reagent concentration, and reagent injection rate also be recorded for each hour of operation and reported with the quarterly report in the same way as NO_x emissions are. This will allow SWCAA to routinely review and evaluate SNCR operation between source test events. If a Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) is used to measure ammonia emissions for compliance, then CEMS data should be submitted in lieu of these surrogate indicators of SNCR operation.

Ecology Response:

The language in section 6.2 (now numbered 6.1) has been revised slightly. Significant changes on ammonia emission monitoring have been made to Condition 8 with the addition of requirements for parameter monitoring in addition to periodic stack testing. Condition 12 was also modified to require submittal of the ammonia emission estimates.

Comment #3:

I understand there have been comments regarding what, if any, data substitution procedures should be used for NO_x emissions. I recommend that if data substitution is not used for missing NO_x data due to CEMS downtime, that a minimum data availability requirement be established in the BART Order. A requirement such as the one found in 40 CFR 60 Subpart Da "*…valid CEMS hourly averages shall be obtained for 90 percent of all operating hours on a 30-day rolling average basis*" would be appropriate.

Ecology Response:

Data availability requirements in WAC 173-400-105(8) apply to this facility, to the extent that the requirement is not superseded by monitor and data availability requirements of 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 75. No change is being made to the language of the Compliance Order.

Comment #4:

For periods of startup and shutdown when the bypass stack is being utilized, I recommend either excluding these emissions from the 30-day average, or establishing a conservative fixed value for the NO_x emission rate for the following reasons:

a. During low load operations such as startup and shutdown, Oxygen (O_2) concentrations will be relatively high while NO_x and Carbon Dioxide (CO_2) concentrations will be relatively low (close to ambient conditions). Any uncertainty in these measurements will be greatly amplified when calculating NO_x emissions in units of lb/MMBtu, and could even result in the indication that NO_x emissions are infinite (division by zero in the emission rate calculation) unless a default value is substituted in such instances. This uncertainty could occur even with properly operating continuous emissions monitoring system that meets all of the calibration and drift specification of 40 CFR 75.

b. A review of the 2009 and 2010 emissions inventory indicates that less than 0.3% of the total fuel was burned during unit startups. Shutdowns are generally quicker than startups.

   The NO_x emission rate (lb/MMBtu) during startup and shutdown is likely to be higher than during normal operation (except when only fuel oil is being burned) because combustion characteristics are not optimized and the SNCR system will not be operating when the boiler is too cold to support the NO_x reduction reaction. Several methods could be used to determine an appropriate NO_x emission rate value. Weighting the uncontrolled AP-42 emission factors for oil and coal by the amount of oil and coal burned during startup in 2009 and 2010 yielded 0.31 lb/MMBtu in my calculation. Alternatively, the Maximum Emission Rate (MER) calculated in accordance with 40 CFR 75 could be utilized.

Ecology Response:

Condition 8 (now Condition 7) has been modified to only include start-up and shut-down emissions when coal is combusted. We have copied the approaches taken by Environemntal Protection Agency (EPA) in its New Mexico and North Dakota BART Federal Implementation Plans (FIPs) into the revised Condition 7 (previously Condition 8). Start-up NO_x emissions are now addressed with a “weighted average” approach to better accommodate start-up conditions.. Under this approach, low heat input periods burning coal which are typical of start-up conditions are given less weight in calculating the average emissions.

Comment #5:

The NO_x emission limit in BART Order 6426 applied to operations at or above 360 MW. The revised draft NO_x emission limit applies to all load conditions, including startup and shutdown. Because of the wider range of applicable operations, it would be more appropriate to utilize a weighted average of NO_x emissions (weighted by the firing rate in MMBtu/hr) rather than a straight arithmetic average for the following reasons:

c. A weighted average would prevent a period of boiler warmup, where the firing rate might be very low (e.g. 2% of the full load firing rate), from being as important as full-load operation.

d. A weighted average would better account for the fact that NO_x emission rates (in units of lb/MMBtu) are not constant across the load ranges.

e. A weighted average would directly link the emission limit in units of lb/MMBtu with the actual mass of NO_x emitted.

Language such as the following could be used:

"The 30 operating day rolling average NO_x emission rate is the average of each operating hour during the 30-day period, weighted by the average heat rate during that hour. The heat rate shall be expressed in MMBtu/hr. The heat rate for each boiler shall be determined in accordance with 40 CFR 75 for hours when only the flue gas desulfurization stack is in use. The heat rate for each boiler shall be determined by measuring the total amount of fuel oil and coal consumed during hours when the bypass stack is in use."

If this option is utilized, TransAlta should be required to submit the heat rate in MMBtu/hr for each hour of operation with each quarterly report.

Ecology Response:

Start-up and shut down emissions are now being addressed in a manner similar to the suggestion. See response to comment 4.

U. TransAlta

Comment #6:

For the reasons stated in TransAlta's comments to the Department dated August 8 and October 6, there is significant uncertainty whether an emission rate below 0.21 can be achieved by SNCR on the Centralia Units. Much of the uncertainty as to achievable NO_x reduction with the installation of SNCR on the Centralia Units results from higher operating temperatures in the upper furnace than optimal for SNCR operation due to burning Power River Basin (PRB) coal in Units designed for Centralia coal. The resulting high operating temperatures, as measured during

the August 2011 High Velocity Temperature (HVT) testing performed by the SNCR vendor, result in shorter gas retention times at proper conditions for the SNCR reaction to take place within each furnace. Based upon the HVT test results and preliminary Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) modeling runs, the vendor has indicated that NO_x reductions of 25% will not be achievable with SNCR on the Centralia Units. However, as was stated in TransAlta's submission on August 8, the SNCR vendor will not be able to determine estimated NO_x reductions until after the completion and review of final CFD modeling results for both units.

In light of this uncertainty, the draft First Revision incorporates a requirement to perform an Optimization Study (Conditions 2.1 and 5.2.3) to determine whether lower limits are achievable following installation of the SNCR. This approach is consistent with EPA's policy on setting Best Available Control Technology (BACT) to meet New Source Review (NSR) limits which allows adjustments to emission limits based on post-construction performance. *See In re Prairie State Generating Company,* 13 E.A.D. 1 (EPA Environmental Appeals Bd. 2006) ("On two prior occasions, we have sustained a permitting authority's decision to issue a permit containing BACT limits that were subject to adjustment based on post-construction performance data. . . . [cites omitted]); EPA, "PSD and Title V Permitting Guidance for Greenhouse Gases," p. 32 (March 2011) (. . . [T]he permitting authority has the discretion to set a permit limits informed by engineering estimates, or to set permit conditions that make allowance for adjustments of the BACT limits based on operational experience.")

Ecology Response:

Thank you for the additional information.

Comment #7:

TransAlta does not believe that emission of ammonia as high as 10 ppm would be necessary for continuous operation of the SNCR systems and could be detrimental to plant operation. However, we agree that a higher daily limit during the optimization study would allow more flexibility to determine the lowest combination of NO_x and ammonia emissions. We request that the daily limit(s) in 5.2.3.1 be set at the lower limit of 20 ppm to be consistent with Condition 2.1.1, not the 30 ppm show in 5.2.3.1. We also request that 5.2.3.2 be revised to read: "The maximum NO_x reduction possible within a 30-day average ammonia emission rate of 5 ppm; and" to reflect the 30- day average nature of the ongoing limit for ammonia.

Ecology Response:

In response to TransAlta's request, the ammonia emission limitation during testing for lowest NO_x emissions has been adjusted downward in Conditions 2.1 and 5.2.3. Similarly the testing to find the lowest emission rate possible with a 10 ppmdv 30 day average ammonia slip has been set to 5 ppmdv.

Comment #8:

Condition 4.3 is consistent with the language of RCW 80.80.040(1)(c)(ii) which states that the requirement to comply with the greenhouse gas performance standard by December 31, 2020 and December 31, 2025, does not apply to the baseload "facility" (Centralia Plant) if Selective Implementation Plan (SCR) "must be installed on any of its boilers." (The First Revision to the BART Order interprets this to mean that the Centralia Plant's boilers "permanently cease burning coal" by those dates. Condition 4.3.) Therefore, if Ecology or EPA (or a court in a judicial review action) determines that SCR must be installed on either of the Plant's boilers, then the deadlines for meeting the greenhouse gas performance standards or ceasing to burn coal do not apply to either boiler.

Ecology Response:

Thank you for your comments. It's Ecology's understanding that if SCR is required to be installed on any of the boilers then the deadlines for meeting the greenhouse gas emission standards in RCW 80.80.40(3)(c) do not apply to the facility.

Comment #9:

TransAlta understands that ammonia slip in the gas stream may be partially captured by the Sulfur Dioxide (SO_2) scrubber and end up in the plant wastewater. We request that Condition 5.1 include language to address potential adverse effects on plant effluent and Hanaford Creek. We suggest the following change to Condition 5.1: "…is reasonably achievable without significant adverse effect on mercury capture, boiler cleaning processes (aka sootblowing), ~~or~~ byproduct salability, or plant wastewater effluent." We also suggest that the following language be added to Condition 5.2.2: "5.2.2.7 Evaluate the effect of ammonia increases in wastewater effluent ammonia, nitrate, and nitrite levels, if any."

Ecology Response:

The requested change is not being made to the Compliance Order. However, we encourage TransAlta to investigate affects on the concentrations of ammonia and nitrates in the scrubber blowdown during the optimization testing program and how that may affect the discharge. If an investigation shows that there are adverse affects to the waste water discharge, the data will be reviewed and considered during the revision to the BART Compliance Order.

Comment #10:

The requirement to "permanently cease burning coal" in the two units at the Plant earlier than the end of their useful economic life as ordered in condition 4 of the Order already puts this plant at an economic disadvantage compared to other plants in the Western United States that have been required to install Low NO_x Combusion Level 3 (LNC3) and SNCR. Currently, no other generating stations are required to meet BART NO_x limits lower than 0.19 lb/MMBtu with LNC3 and SNCR technology as demonstrated in the BART Determination Support Document, Table E-1. It would be an added disadvantage to be required to meet NO_x emission limits lower

than 0.19 for the shortened lifespan of the Centralia Plant. We request that Ecology modify condition 5.4.3 as follows: "However, the NO_x limitation will not be raised above the level in Condition 1.1.2 as it existed on the date of issuance of this Revised Order or reduced below 0.19 lb/MMBtu."

Ecology Response:

Ecology is not making the requested change to Condition 5.4.3. In its request for revision of the Compliance Order under Condition 5.5.1, TransAlta can present its rationale and supporting information that operating costs to meet a final NO_x limit based on the optimization study are infeasible or make the power produced uncompetitive in the power market.

Comment #11:

Condition 6.1 is not needed as it says essentially the same thing as Condition 8.1, so Condition 6.1 should be removed. The heading of Condition 6 should then be shortened to "Ammonia Monitoring".

Ecology Response:

We agree that Conditions 6.1 and 8.1in the Proposed Revised BART Compliance Order are duplicate conditions. Proposed Condition 6.1 has been removed from the Final Revised Compliance Order and the section title is changed.

Comment #12:

On September 21, EPA proposed language for the North Dakota BART FIP at 76 FR 58647 which states:

52.1825(e)(2) Method. (i) For any hour in which fuel is combusted in a unit, the owner/operator of each unit shall calculate the hourly average NO_x concentration in lb/MMBtu at the CEMS in accordance with the requirements of 40 CFR part 75. At the end of each boiler operating day, the owner/operator shall calculate and record a new 30-day rolling average emission rate in lb/MMBtu from the arithmetic average of all valid hourly emission rates from the CEMS for the current boiler operating day and the previous 29 successive boiler operating days.
(ii) An hourly average NO_x emission rate in lb/MMBtu is valid only if the minimum number of data points, as specified in 40 CFR part 75, is acquired by both the NO_x pollutant concentration monitor and the diluent monitor (O_2 or CO_2).
(iii) Data reported to meet the requirements of this section shall not include data substituted using the missing data substitution procedures of subpart D of 40 CFR part 75, nor shall the data have been bias adjusted according to the procedures of 40 CFR part 75.

These monitoring conditions apply to the 7 emission units for which the North Dakota FIP has NO_x limits listed. Additional EPA precedent for not using data substitution in calculation of emissions averages for BART compliance exists for the 5 emission units at the Four Corners Power Plant in Nevada. In the Four Corners FIP published May 2, 2011 at 76 FR 10543, EPA

states: “49.23(i)(4)(v) If a valid NO_x pounds per hour or heat input is not available for any hour for a unit, that heat input and NO_x pounds per hour shall not be used in the calculation of the 30 day plant wide rolling average.” So even though the forms of the NO_x limits may vary from State to State, there is no basis requiring data substitution be used to replace missing data when calculating emissions to determine compliance with BART limits.

TransAlta supports the Department’s language very similar to that proposed by EPA as an amendment to 40 CFR 52.1825(e)(2)(i-iii) as it has been included in Condition 8 of the draft Order. Also, to be consistent with the EPA NO_x monitoring language and Condition 8, Condition 7 and the last sentence of Condition 8.3 which references Condition 7 should be deleted.

Ecology Response:

Changes, principally to Condition 7 (formerly Condition 8), have been made that meet the intent of the comment have been made.

Comment #13:

In the draft revision, Ecology has proposed removal of the 360 Megawatt (MW) minimum operating rate references in the current BART Order and proposes to make the BART limits applicable at all operating rates, including during periods when the SNCR system is not required to operate because the required reaction temperatures cannot be achieved. This is expected to occur during periods of startup and shutdown. To address this situation Condition 8.1 should be revised to state “For any hour in which ~~fuel is combusted in a~~ ammonia or urea is being injected pursuant to Condition 1.2 …”. Alternatively, the word “fuel” in Conditions 8.1 and 8.4 could be replaced with the word “coal”, to minimize the inclusion of the relatively insignificant emissions that may occur while firing a unit exclusively on oil during a startup or shutdown period.

TransAlta also suggests deleting the final paragraph of the section “Operating day versus calendar day” on page 94 of the BART Determination Support Document.

Ecology Response:

See response to comment #4.

Comment #14:

On page 4, paragraph 2 it appears that Ecology uses the term “SCR” where it intended to use “SNCR”.

On page 29, we suggest Ecology revise sentence in paragraph 4 to read: "The incremental improvement in visibility from adding SNCR to Flex Fuels is about 0.7 dv at the most impacted Class I area compared to Flex Fuels alone." The current reference to "at least a 0.2 dv" improvement refers to the least affected Class I areas and understates the benefits of SNCR.

On page 93, the paragraph titled "Emission Limit Reduction Basis should end as

"…or 0.21 lb/MMBtu (12.5 percent reduction)."

Ecology Response:

The suggested corrections and edits have been made. The text referenced on page 29 of the draft has been amended with greater explanation of the visibility improvement estimated to occur starting in 2013 and ending with the inclusion of optimized NO_x limitation in 2015.

Comment #15:

The Centralia Plant BART Order sets NO_x emission limits based on Low NO_x Burners (LNB) with Over-Fire Air (OFA), SNCR, the Flex Fuels Project, and coal content limits for nitrogen and sulfur based on Powder River Basin coal. Based on comments received by the Ecology during the adoption of the original Centralia Plant BART Order, we anticipate that comments will be made on the proposed RH SIP that BART for the Centralia Plant or reasonable progress requires additional NO_x control SCR. In response, it is important to emphasize that the Centralia Plant BART Order's coal sulfur content limit actually achieves greater "reasonable progress" than would be achieved by NO_x controls alone. Specifically, the resulting sulfur dioxide emission reductions from the coal content requirements result in greater visibility improvement than the nitrogen oxide reductions that would be achieved by the NO_x controls in the proposed BART Order. Accordingly, NO_x reductions beyond those in the proposed BART Order are not necessary to meet the RH Program's Reasonable Progress requirements.

Ecology Response:

Thank you for your view on additional NO_x reductions, BART and Reasonable Progress. Comments requesting the installation of SCR were not received.

Comment #16:

The conclusion that installation of SCR is not cost-effective is not disputed. Based on the site-specific cost estimate by CH2M Hill, the cost-effectiveness of NO_x reduction for the unit to be closed at the end of 2021 is $14,800/ton and the cost effectiveness-for the unit to be closed by the end of 2025 is $8400/ton. However, based on a cost estimate for the Navajo Generating Station, Ecology calculated $12,000/ton and $6400/ton, respectively.

TransAlta believes that the SCR installation cost estimates prepared by CH2M Hill in 2010 are the most accurate cost estimates for SCR at the Centralia Plant and that the lower estimates based on the NGS are not relevant to the BART determination and should be removed from the Support Document. If these cost estimates become an issue of concern to Ecology, TransAlta will provide additional information to support the accuracy of the CH2M Hill estimates.

Ecology Response:

Thank you for your comments. At this time Ecology does not need additional cost information.

V. Comments from United State Environmental Protection Agency

Comment #17:

General Comment on Order: As we understand it, the revised BART determination and associated Administrative Order for TransAlta includes an initial NO_x emission limit for SNCR of 0.21lb/MMBtu and ammonia slip limit of 10 ppmdv with an optimization study to determine if greater NO_x reductions are feasible. This approach is reasonable, however, the draft Order does not appear that Ecology or SWCAA are under an obligation to approve or disapprove the optimization study report or that TransAlta actually implement the optimization study results by a date certain. Thus, as reflected in language included below, EPA suggests that the Order provide that the optimization study report be deemed accepted within a specified time frame if Ecology and/or SWCAA do not act on it and that TransAlta will immediately implement the optimized operating parameters. EPA suggests that a date be established by which it is confirmed that the initial BART NO_x emission limit of 0.21 lb/mmBtu is the lowest limit SNCR can achieve or a revised lower limit based on the optimization study must be met.

Ecology Response:

Condition 5 has been clarified with a new condition 5.3 that clarifies Ecology and SWCAA to act on the Optimization study. The new condition gives the agencies 60 days to review and act on the report. The agencies are to request changes or accept the study by the end of the 60 days. The acceptance of the final report then triggers additional actions to revise the BART Compliance Order.

Comment #18:

Finding C.c. This finding is confusing. As written it is unclear what emissions are at issue and to what are they being compared. We suggest rephrasing along the lines; "Use of a sub- bituminous PRB coal or other coal that will achieve similar NO_x and S02 emission rates based on the sulfur and heat content of the coal to be determined as specified in condition 10."

Ecology Response:

Finding C refers to BART for nitrogen oxides. The four items listed as (a) through (d) are the description of the BART control technology for NO_x. The coal specifications are listed under condition 1.4 of the Compliance Order. Page 34 of the TSD discusses what would constitute an equivalent coal. The existing language is being kept.

Comment #19:

Condition 1.2.1. The minimum SNCR operating temperature should be specified in this condition.

Ecology Response:

This condition has been revised. See the response to Comment 1.

Comment #20:

Conditions 1.1.3 and 2.1.2. The intent of these conditions is not clear. If the intent is to exclude a boiler that does not operate from the calculation of daily average emissions, this seems reasonable. To improve clarity, these conditions could be replaced with the following: "Calculation of daily average emissions from units BW21 and BW22 shall be based on emissions from units that operated during each calendar day. If either unit did not operate during any calendar day, the emission rate of zero from that boiler shall not be included in the calculation of daily average emissions."

Ecology Response:

Condition 1.1.3 has been replaced by a reference to Condition 7 which addresses calculating the NO_x emissions average.

Condition 2.1.2 has been revised by replacing the last sentence from the proposed version with the suggestion.

Comment #21:

Condition 4.3. This condition appears to allow continued operation of both units if SCR is installed on a single unit. The language should be revised to allow continued operation of only those units equipped with SCR.

Ecology Response:

Staff from the Governor's Office and Ecology assisted with the writing the law that requires this condition. The condition is written based on Ecology's and the Governor's Office staffs' understanding of the state law requirement. The suggested revision does not match our understanding of the requirement in state law. See Comment 8 and our response.

Comment #22:

Condition 5.2.1.2. Suggest that the following sentence be revised as "the plan will be deemed accepted, and the owner will immediately implement the plan if Ecology and/or SWCAA do not respond by May 30 2013." Also it is not clear what happens if Ecology or SWCAA do not accept the optimization plan.

Ecology Response:

The condition has been modified by adding the "/or" suggestion. If SWCAA and Ecology staffs do not agree, it will be up to the managers to come to agreement. It is not in either agency's interests to disagree and delay the start of the optimization study.

Comment #23:

Condition 5.2.3.4. It appears that the units on the NO_x emission rate should be lb/MMBTU rather than ppmdv.

Ecology Response:

Thank you for pointing out the error. It has been corrected.

Comment #24:

Condition 5.3. This condition is very open ended. Ecology and SWAPCA are under no obligation to ever accept the optimization study report and it is not clear what happens if they don't accept it. Additionally, there is no deadline for when Transalta needs to start implementing the optimized operating parameters. We suggest adding the following sort of language to Condition 5.3:

"Upon written acceptance of the optimization study report by Ecology and SWCAA, the plant operations and maintenance manual(s) will be amended within 30 days to include the operating parameters reflecting the optimized ammonia or urea injection rates developed and operate according to the revised manual(s). The optimization study report will be deemed to be accepted, and the owner will immediately implement the optimized operating parameters if Ecology and/or SWCAA do not provide written approval of it within 60 days of receiving the optimization study report."

Ecology Response:

See response to Comment 17.

Comment #25:

Condition 5.3.1. We suggest adding a condition to require compliance with the amended operations and maintenance manual: "TransAlta will comply with the amended plant operations and maintenance manual(s), including the optimized operating parameters identified in the optimization study report as approved by Ecology and SWCAA."

Ecology Response:

The Air Operating Permit (AOP) for the facility already contains a requirement to follow the operations and maintenance manual. Failure to follow the operations and maintenance manual

can be used as credible evidence of violations of permit terms. Since this language is already in the AOP we are not including it here.

Comment #26:

Condition 5.4.3. We suggest that this condition also include a statement that the ammonia emission limit will not be raised above the limit in Condition 2.1.1.

Ecology Response:

The suggestion has been implemented as new Condition 5.5.4.

Comment #27:

Condition 7. The provisions addressing missing data from the continuous emission monitoring system should include detailed provisions outlining backup parametric monitoring to be used for assurance of compliance during CEMS failure. Alternative options would include requiring installation of a backup CEMS system and/or requiring source testing for prolonged CEMS outages.

Ecology Response:

Condition 7 of the draft has been deleted in favor of addressing monitoring data identically to how EPA has addressed it in the North Dakota and New Mexico BART FIPs. See also response to comment 3 on monitor data availability.

Comment #28:

Specific comment on the BART Technical Support Document, Revised October 2011: Page 4, 2nd Paragraph- The visibility improvements cited here (as well as discussions of the BART analyses throughout the document) reflect a NO_x reduction of 25% attributable to SNCR even though the Order requires only a 12.5% reduction to be achieved (from 0.24 to 0.21 lb/MMBtu). The BART support document does not appear to include an analysis of visibility improvements resulting from a 12.5% reduction due to SNCR. Since this revised BART determination requires only a 12.5% additional NO_x reduction attributable to SNCR, the visibility improvements and underlying analysis in the BART support document should reflect emission reductions to the corresponding limit of 0.21 lb/MMBtu.

Ecology Response:

Appendix I of the TSD has been amended to include a discussion of anticipated improvements in visibility as a result of the interim emission limitation. In short, the interim emission limitation is anticipated to produce a visibility improvement at all Class I areas within 300 km of the plant midway between the improvement from Flex Fuels and Flex Fuels plus SNCR as shown in Table 3-1 of the Technical Support Document.

W. Comments from Nathan Miller of the National Parks Conservation Association

Comment #29:

In Section 4.1 and 4.2 of the Order, we request that Ecology specify that the units must cease burning any fuel, including biomass, solid waste, or other combustibles, in addition to coal.

Ecology Response:

The underlying requirement in RCW 80.80.040 states the units must meet the state greenhouse gas emission performance standard. This could be done by burning only biomass. The requested change has not been made.

Comment #30:

This draft analyzes a 25% reduction in NO_x emissions from SNCR (to a 0.18 lbs/mmbtu limit), but only requires a 10% reduction (to a 0.21 lbs/mmbtu limit). For the reasons detailed in the report of Dr. Ranajit (Ron) Sahu (attached as Exhibit 1), we do not believe there is sufficient justification for this change. A 10% reduction to a limit of 0.21 lbs/mmbtu will not necessarily require any meaningful emissions reductions. The reasons given by TransAlta for changing their longstanding estimate of 25% reduction are vague and unsupported by evidence, as the relevant studies have not yet been completed. Ecology anticipates that if the SNCR vendor's computational fluid dynamics modeling were available, that a lower number would be in this draft revision. Further, a vendor guarantee is not required in order to set a BART limit. We request that the limit remain at 0.18 lbs/mmbtu until adequate justification that this limit cannot be met is produced via testing, modeling, or optimization.

We also note that the analysis is based entirely on 25% reduction and ammonia slip of 5 ppm. It is confusing to the public to represent these reductions and related visibility benefits when they will not in fact be met. We request that the limits analyzed match those which are in fact required, and believe that this is in fact an element of any complete and meaningful BART review.

In addition, we request copies of the CFD modeling and any related test results as soon as they are available.

Ecology Response:

The interim emission limitation is not changed. The optimization study will determine the actual capabilities of the SNCR system installed and a final NO_x limitation will be established that reflects the actual capability of the system installed. If the final NO_x limitation differs from the limit in this order, the order will be revised to include the new limit.

Appendix I has been amended with information that estimates the visibility improvement that the interim limitation would achieve (see response to comment 28).

Our Public Disclosure Coordinator has told us that you will have to make a specific public disclosure request for the CFD modeling results and any related information.

Comment #31:

Regarding the timing and deadlines of the optimization study, we have three requests. First, the current draft includes no deadline for Ecology and SWCAA's review the final report produced by TransAlta. We believe that a 90 day deadline should be included for this critical portion of the optimization process. Without review and eventual acceptance by Ecology and SWCAA, the emission limits and procedural changes identified by the optimization process will not necessarily be enacted.

Second, we concur with Ecology's note on a prior draft that TransAlta should be able to produce the optimization plan prior to January 1, 2013. While we appreciate the requirement for a draft optimization plan, we ask that the deadline for submission of the final optimization plan to Ecology be changed to January 1, 2013 and that all later deadlines be subsequently adjusted.

Ecology Response:

See response to Comment 17 addressing acceptance of the final optimization report.

The scheduling of the optimization study is not being adjusted. The schedule needs to allow for periods of without operation due to excess hydro and wind power such as occurred in 2011.

Comment #32:

We support the inclusion of startup and shutdown emissions in emissions limits. Specifically, we support the use of a definition for boiler operating day as described in the BART Guidelines: "You should consider a boiler operating day to be any 24-hour period between 12:00 midnight and the following midnight during which any fuel is combusted at any time at the steam generating unit."[1] Ecology's BART Analysis and Order are required to follow these guidelines. This definition is identical to the definition used by EPA in its recent proposed FIP for North Dakota,[2] which includes the use of SNCR on certain units. It is also consistent with the definition specified in the New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) for fossil fuel fired steam generators at 40 CFR 60.41.

Ecology Response:

Thank you for your comment.

[1] 40 CFR 51, Appendix Y, Section V
[2] 76 FR 58647

Comment #33:

The current draft removes a requirement for continuous ammonia emissions monitoring. This does not seem to be supported by the record. As Ecology notes, they have required ammonia CEMS on a combustion source with low levels of ammonia slip. Further, ammonia CEMS was required for the White Stallion plant in Texas in 2010, which uses SNCR and has an ammonia slip limit more stringent than that currently proposed for TransAlta.[3] Quarterly periodic stack testing seems insufficient for ammonia testing, particularly during the optimization study, when the interplay between NO_x emissions and ammonia slip are of primary interest. We request that Ecology revisit this issue and require continuous monitoring of ammonia.

In absence of continuous monitoring, we request that the permit provide additional clarity as to how specifically ammonia emissions will be calculated for compliance in between periodic stack testing. The draft is not clear as to whether the stack test value or an estimated value based on other continuously monitored parameters will be used for compliance (Section 6.2.5 and Section 6.3). Further, the related calculations are not specified.

Ecology Response:

We have added parametric monitoring requirements to the Order to 'fill in' an indication of compliance with the ammonia limitation between source tests. A method to estimate ammonia emissions based on parameter monitoring is not provided by Ecology. Which parameters are important (beyond reagent injection rate and reagent to NO_x ratio) are not known.

The Texas White Stallion plant is a new, pressurized circulating fluidized bed facility comprised of four identical units rated at 330 MW each. One aspect of a fluidized bed unit is the intrinsic SO_2 control provided by the use of limestone as the media in the boiler. As a result, the SO_2 concentration arriving at the ammonia monitor will be lower making the use of an ammonia CEM more viable, as indicated in the Institute of Clean Air Companies information on the use of ammonia CEMs.

Comment #34:

We believe that the optimization plan and the results of the optimization study should be made available for public review and comment. The specific elements of the plan (e.g. "acceptable maximum ammonia content of fly ash" or "the optimization process to be followed") and its results (i.e. the final emission limits) have significant bearing on the public interest and the outcome of this process. At a minimum, we request a copy of the optimization plan and its results as soon as each is available, and we request that the same be sent to the affected Federal Land Managers (FLMs).

[3] White Stallion is limited to 10 ppm hourly and 5 ppm annually. See attached permit at pdf 74 and 88.

Ecology Response:

The optimization study plan will be a public document that can be requested of any of the 3 parties identified in Condition 5.2.1.1. In order to expedite the initiation and completion of the study, a formal public process surrounding the optimization study plan is not proposed. However, timely comments submitted on the draft study plan will be considered to forward to TransAlta to be addressed in the final plan.

The results of the optimization study are to be included in a second revision to the BART Order. At that time the results of the study will be publically available for review and comment as the results are incorporated into the second revision to the BART Order. Equivalently, the FLMs will have a mandatory review of the draft second revision to the BART Compliance Order. A formal public comment period on the second revision to the BART Compliance Order will also occur.

At such time as the optimization study plan and the study report are available, you may file a public disclosure request for the materials.

Comment #35:

The draft provides for a data substitution method that differs from EPA's Clean Air Markets Database (CAMD), meaning that unaltered CAMD data would not be able to show compliance with emission limits. CAMD is a simple means by which the public can easily determine compliance. We request that the emissions data relevant to TransAlta's compliance be made available in a similarly accessible and public way, if the CAMD data will no longer be an indicator of compliance.

Ecology Response:

At this time neither Ecology nor SWCAA have a mechanism to provide CEM data we receive in a manner similar to what EPA has provided in CAMD. Depending on future resource availability to implement a similar system, this may change in the future. However, any monitoring information we receive may be requested at such time it is available.

Comment #36:

We continue to support the use of unit-by-unit limits rather than plantwide averaging; the inclusion of a mass based limit, such as a ton per year limit; either explicit inclusion of malfunction emissions in overall emission limits or separate numeric limits for malfunction emissions; and the use of percent reduction from SCR that is representative of the technology's highest capability (>90%).

Ecology Response:

Ecology will continue to utilize a plant average approach to the emission limitations like EPA did in the San Juan Generating Station BART FIP. Similarly we are not adding a ton per year

limitation or establishing emission limitations for malfunctions. We assume the statement about SCR is an editing artifact.

X. Comments from Dr. Ranajit Sahu, Consultant to the National Parks Conservation Association

Comment #37:

Ecology does not appear to have rigorously evaluated the capabilities of SNCR to reduce NO_x from power plant boilers of similar design and size or considered the results of the 2007 Black & Veatch combustion modeling analysis for the Flex Fuels Project.

Ecology Response:

Ecology evaluated the capability of SNCR to reduce $_{NOx}$ as part of the original BART determination for the plant, even though SNCR was not required in that Determination. At that time we evaluated the available reports on removal capability, system design considerations and usage of urea versus ammonia. In the current revision, we did not include the results of the Black & Veatch study since we had access to multiple years of information on the actual implementation of Flex Fuels, rendering the Black & Veatch combustion analysis irrelevant.

The removal rate of 25% evaluated in the Company BART analyses was a planning level analysis based on generic literature removal values. It was not based on an analysis of the NO_x reduction capability of SNCR at the TransAlta power plant. An appropriate plant level analysis of the capabilities of SNCR at the TransAlta power plant will only become available upon completion of the SNCR vendor's CFD modeling and preliminary design analysis.

The revision includes an optimization study that was requested by the environmental groups after passage of the amendments to Chapter 80.80 RCW in 2011. The purpose of the optimization study is to determine the actual capabilities of the SNCR system installed, including all boiler and fuel dynamics that affect maximum NO_x reduction.

Comment #38:

The NO_x emission limit proposed by Ecology is a less than 10% reduction from actual emission rates at the plant, using monthly CAMD data as a surrogate for 30 day rolling averages.

Ecology Response:

As noted in Dr. Sahu's comments the interim NO_x limitation is lower than the limitation suggested by the company. We chose this interim limit value based on a review of the plant data submitted to EPA for the federal Acid Rain Program. While higher than expected based on the BART analyses submitted by the company, the interim NO_x limit is one that the EPA emissions data indicate will require the SNCR system to be operated.

Emission limitations in permits and compliance orders are not set at the average actual emissions capabilities of a source. Instead, emission limits reflect the actual emissions rate when using a particular emission control and the hourly/daily/monthly variability of those emissions. While reducing emissions or the impact of new emissions is important aspect of protecting air quality, setting an emission limitation that can only be achieved half of the time is not good permitting practice.

Ecology did evaluate the CAMD data in establishing the interim emission limitation, including the effects of both the Acid Rain Program data substitution requirements and the proposed data substitution requirements. This evaluation is reflected in statements contained in Appendix I of the TSD.

The NO_x limit in the revised BART Compliance Order is an interim limitation that will be adjusted at the completion of the optimization study. The requirement to install SNCR comes from state law and does not require a justification of cost effectiveness to implement.

The BART Compliance Order also requires both coal fired units to cease burning coal, one unit by the end of 2020 and the other unit by the end of 2025. Assuming that SCR is not required to be installed, after 2025 the $_{NOx}$ emissions will drop to 0.00 lb/MMBtu, plant wide average.

Comment #39:

Ecology appears to have accepted 'speculative' reasons as support for an emission limitation higher than 0.18 lb/MMBtu. The analysis is not supported by plant specific engineering data.

Ecology Response:

Ecology did not accept 'speculative reasons' for the emission limitation. We did reiterate TransAlta's concerns. That portion of the TSD discussing these 'speculative' reasons has been revised to better reflect our views on the subject.

We would have preferred to have plant speficic engineering data. The state law that results in the schedule to cease burning coal in the boilers and to install SNCR has a specific timeframe requiring this revised BART Compliance Order to be issued before the end of 2011. At the time of passage of the law, the plant was not in operation due to an excess of hydro and wind power in the Northwest. The company sent out a request for proposals for the design and installation of an SNCR system shortly after passage of the law. The selected vendor could not acquire the temperature and velocity profiles or any other operational information needed for CFD modeling of the boilers until late August or early September after plant operation had resumed.

As a result, the Revised BART Compliance Order contains a system optimization requirement that will result in a resetting of the NO_x emission limitation at the conclusion of the study program. The NO_x emission limitation in the second revision to the BART Compliance Order will be based on plant specific information rather than generic observations of system capability in other settings.

Y. Other Written Comments Received

Comment #40:

I see you reference TransAlta coal fired plant and want to reduce emissions, that is good. The question/comment I have in regard to visible emissions/fine particulates is why the Forest Service (FS) is allowed to burn every fall releasing pollutants into the air without regard to the air stagnation. The air quality is very poor during the prescribed burns the FS is allowed to do and almost yearly our air quality suffers.

Ecology Response:

Under state law the Washington State Department of Natural Resources (DNR) serves as the Smoke Management Plan (SMP) administrator and is responsible for managing smoke emissions from silvicultural forest burning. The SMP "applies to all persons, landowners, companies, state and federal land management agencies, and others who do outdoor burning in Washington State on lands where DNR provides fire protection or where such burning occurs on federally managed, unimproved forestlands and tribal lands of participating Indian nations in the state" (1998 Smoke Management Plan, page 5). FS burning is regulated by the DNR. You can contact DNR for more information at (360) 902-1000.

Comment #41:

These people, obviously, do not understand we need to create power, use power to exist ourselves.

Last, I support keeping our power cost as low as possible - and hope other people, that don't like power generation, would go live in the woods without power - water - normal conveniences - long enough to realize how wonderful having them are.

Ecology Response:

Ecology appreciates your support of our work. We do strive to balance the effectiveness and cost implications as we work on and issue permits.

Comment #42:

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you allow TranAlta to continue to operate through the next decade. The pollution levels from the plant meet current requirements and my family and I are happy with that.

Ecology Response:

The current agreement with TransAlta allows its operation through 2025, another fourteen years. That allows it to operate through the next decade.

Comment #43:

I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution so that our parks and communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Ecology Response:

These comment letters share a common theme – they each support the regulation of the TransAlta power plant, most of them support the full 25% reduction from the current emission levels along with enforceable permit limits and an identifiable timeframe for each step in the implementation plan.

Many of the letters call out national parks that are highly valued by the authors. They express a desire to see the air pollution cleaned up as quickly as possible. The desire to see TransAlta clean up its' emissions for the benefit of all, especially children, the elderly, and those with compromised immune systems is supported by many authors.

Ecology anticipates that the proposed pollution controls put into place at TransAlta will result in cleaner, healthier air, a benefit for all of our citizens and the national parks. Our thanks to each of you for taking the time to share your concerns and suggestions.

Z. Comments received at the Public Hearing

Comment #44:

National Parks Conservation Association (NPCA) supports the State legislation and the revised RH SIP, compelling the shutdown of the two units of TransAlta's facility in 2020 and 2025, respectively, and the use of emission-control technology in the meantime.

However, we also ask for improvements to Ecology's plan to further reduce the plant emissions in order to protect the air quality at Olympic, the Cascades and Mount Rainier national parks in the intervening years.

We ask Ecology to limit harmful pollution as much as possible. Specifically we ask Ecology to require, as a starting point, a 25 percent reduction from current emission levels to a limit of 0.18 pounds per MMBTU.

Ecology says that 30 percent reduction may be possible and our interest is in ensuring that the technology operates to its full capacity. Ecology's proposed limit is barely a reduction from current emissons.

NPCA supports optimizing the equipment and process once it's installed. We ask that the results of this optimization process be made enforceable. We specifically request that the deadline for ecology's review of the optimization results be included. The NPCA supports the inclusion of deadlines and asks for a system to ensure public transparency of each step of this optimization process.

We support efforts to minimize the economic impact of the plant's closing.

It is our hope that these will result in cleaner, clearer, healthier air in our parks, homes and communities for the next 14 years.

Ecology Response:

Thank you for your comments.

The removal rate of 25% evaluated in the Company BART analyses was a planning level analysis based on generic literature removal values. It was not based on an analysis of the NO_x reduction capability of SNCR at the TransAlta power plant.

The revised Compliance Order includes an optimization study that was requested by the environmental groups after passage of the amendments to Chapter 80.80 RCW in 2011. The purpose of the optimization study is to determine the actual capabilities of the SNCR technology installed, including all boiler and fuel dynamics that affect maximum NO_x reduction.

After the optimization study is complete a final NO_x limitation will be established. This final limit will reflect the actual capability of the SNCR system at this facility. If the final NO_x limitation differs from the limit in this order, the order will be revised to include the new limit. Ecology estimates the second revision will occur in 2015.

AA. Commenter Index

Name	Affiliation	Comment #
	Southwest Clean Air Agency	1 - 5
Brian Brazil	TransAlta	6-16
Debra Suzuki	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	17-28
Nathan Miller	National Parks Conservation Association	29-36
Dr. Ranaji Sahu	National Parks Conservation Association	37-39
Mark Hoefner	Chelan PUD	40
Collin Summers		41
Mr. Dean Warren		42
National Parks Conservation Association (NPCA) members and members of the public	NPCA	43
David Graves	National Parks Conservation Association	44

Copies of all written comments

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Grant Low [melvingladys@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Grant Low
2110 Highland Dr
Prosser, WA 99350-1195
(509) 786-2429

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sharon Hubbell [shubbell2@juno.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:16 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

As an Olympia resident and frequent visitor to the Centralia area, I have personally observed the smoke rising from this coal plant, and I have long been concerned about air pollution in the area. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharon Hubbell
120 State Ave NE # 359
Olympia, WA 98501-1131
(360) 791-8419

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: William Pickard [bpickard@pickard-murphy.com]
Sent: Monday, October 17, 2011 1:35 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Regional Haze Plan and TransAlta Best Available Retrofit Technology decision

Thanks for including me.

In a fast read, I did not see the section that addresses the role of Chinese and Japanese coal plants and other sources of gasses and particulates in our Washington air quality. I apologize if I missed it.

I grew up in New England and learned (in the 1950's - 70's at least) that much of our haze and acid rain came from the upper mid-west / southern Canadian industrial base. I hear a good deal about the impact of Chinese coal - but have not seen any science based documents supporting the claims.

If whatever we do here will be impacted by what they do there, then we should know about it before we make decisions that may carry material costs and impacts on Washington industry and our economy.

--
William Pickard (206) 849-7039
Pickard & Murphy bpickard@pickard-murphy.com
3213 East Alder Street
Seattle, Washington 98122-6314

"The difficult I'll do right now. The impossible will take a little while." *- Written by Carl Sigman & Bog Russell, performed by Billie Holliday: 'Crazy He Calls Me' 1949*

The information contained in this email and any attachments is strictly confidential and is for the use of the intended recipient. Any use, dissemination, distribution, or reproduction of any part of this email or any attachment is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender by return email and delete all copies including attachments.

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: Clint Lamoreaux [Clint@swcleanair.org]
Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 8:00 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: TransAlta BART Order Comments
Attachments: 2011-11-18 SWCAA Comments.pdf; 2011-11-18 BART Revision Comments.docx

Mr. Newman,

Thank you for your hard work developing TransAlta's BART Order. Please accept the attached comments regarding the first revision of Order No. 6426 (BART Order) for TransAlta Centralia Generation, LLC. Do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions or wish to discuss any of my comments.

Note that I have attached a scanned (.pdf) copy of the signed letter and the electronic Word file. Please let me know if another format would be useful.

Thank you,

Clint Lamoreaux
Air Quality Engineer
Southwest Clean Air Agency
360-574-3058 ext. 131

Clark
Cowlitz
Lewis
Skamania
Wahkiakum

Southwest Clean Air Agency
11815 NE 99th Street, Suite 1294 • Vancouver, WA 98682-2322
(360) 574-3058 • Fax: (360) 576-0925
www.swcleanair.org

November 18, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Department of Ecology
Air Quality Program
P.O. Box 47600
Olympia, WA 98504-7600

Subject: Comments Regarding Draft First Revision of Order No. 6426 – BART Order for TransAlta Centralia Generation, LLC

Dear Mr. Newman:

I would like to take this opportunity to provide the following comments on the draft First Revision of Order No. 6426.

1. Condition 1.2 requires injection of ammonia or urea into a boiler when the boiler is above the "minimum SNCR operating temperature." I suggest that the permit include a provision that TransAlta identify the minimum SNCR operating temperature for each boiler, and where the temperature will be monitored, as part of the SNCR optimization study report required by Condition 5.2.2.1.
2. Condition 6.2 requires TransAlta to estimate ammonia emissions. Important parameters such as reagent concentration, reagent injection rate, and NO_X emission rate are identified. Currently TransAlta is required to continuously monitor the NO_X emission rate from each boiler and submit the monitoring results to SWCAA quarterly. I suggest that the estimated ammonia emission concentration, the reagent concentration, and reagent injection rate also be recorded for each hour of operation and reported with the quarterly report in the same way as NO_X emissions are. This will allow SWCAA to routinely review and evaluate SNCR operation between source test events. If a continuous emissions monitoring system (CEMS) is used to measure ammonia emissions for compliance, then CEMS data should be submitted in lieu of these surrogate indicators of SNCR operation.
3. I understand there have been comments regarding what, if any, data substitution procedures should be used for NO_X emissions. I recommend that if data substitution is not used for missing NO_X data due to CEMS downtime, that a minimum data availability requirement be established in the BART Order. A requirement such as the one found in 40 CFR 60 Subpart Da "*...valid CEMS hourly averages shall be obtained for 90 percent of all operating hours on a 30-day rolling average basis*" would be appropriate.
4. For periods of startup and shutdown when the bypass stack is being utilized, I recommend either excluding these emissions from the 30-day average, or establishing a conservative fixed value for the NO_X emission rate for the following reasons:

a. During low load operations such as startup and shutdown, O_2 concentrations will be relatively high while NO_X and CO_2 concentrations will be relatively low (close to ambient conditions). Any uncertainty in these measurements will be greatly amplified when calculating NO_X emissions in units of lb/MMBtu, and could even result in the indication that NO_X emissions are infinite (division by zero in the emission rate calculation) unless a default value is substituted in such instances. This uncertainty could occur even with properly operating continuous emissions monitoring system that meets all of the calibration and drift specification of 40 CFR 75.
b. A review of the 2009 and 2010 emissions inventory indicates that less than 0.3% of the total fuel was burned during unit startups. Shutdowns are generally quicker than startups.

The NO_X emission rate (lb/MMBtu) during startup and shutdown is likely to be higher than during normal operation (except when only fuel oil is being burned) because combustion characteristics are not optimized and the SNCR system will not be operating when the boiler is too cold to support the NO_X reduction reaction. Several methods could be used to determine an appropriate NO_X emission rate value. Weighting the uncontrolled AP-42 emission factors for oil and coal by the amount of oil and coal burned during startup in 2009 and 2010 yielded 0.31 lb/MMBtu in my calculation. Alternatively, the Maximum Emission Rate (MER) calculated in accordance with 40 CFR 75 could be utilized.

5. The NO_X emission limit in BART Order 6426 applied to operations at or above 360 MW. The revised draft NO_X emission limit applies to all load conditions, including startup and shutdown. Because of the wider range of applicable operations, it would be more appropriate to utilize a weighted average of NO_X emissions (weighted by the firing rate in MMBtu/hr) rather than a straight arithmetic average for the following reasons:

   a. A weighted average would prevent a period of boiler warmup, where the firing rate might be very low (e.g. 2% of the full load firing rate), from being as important as full-load operation.
   b. A weighted average would better account for the fact that NO_X emission rates (in units of lb/MMBtu) are not constant across the load ranges.
   c. A weighted average would directly link the emission limit in units of lb/MMBtu with the actual mass of NO_X emitted.

   Language such as the following could be used:

   "The 30 operating day rolling average NO_X emission rate is the average of each operating hour during the 30-day period, weighted by the average heat rate during that hour. The heat rate shall be expressed in MMBtu/hr. The heat rate for each boiler shall be determined in accordance with 40 CFR 75 for hours when only the flue gas desulfurization stack is in use. The heat rate for each boiler shall be determined by measuring the total amount of fuel oil and coal consumed during hours when the bypass stack is in use."

If this option is utilized, TransAlta should be required to submit the heat rate in MMBtu/hr for each hour of operation with each quarterly report.

Please contact me if you have any questions regarding these comments.

Sincerely,

Clint Lamoreaux
Air Quality Engineer
Southwest Clean Air Agency

November 18, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Department of Ecology
Air Quality Program
P.O. Box 47600
Olympia, WA 98504-7600

Subject: Comments Regarding Draft First Revision of Order No. 6426 – BART Order for TransAlta Centralia Generation, LLC

Dear Mr. Newman:

I would like to take this opportunity to provide the following comments on the draft First Revision of Order No. 6426.

1. Condition 1.2 requires injection of ammonia or urea into a boiler when the boiler is above the "minimum SNCR operating temperature." I suggest that the permit include a provision that TransAlta identify the minimum SNCR operating temperature for each boiler, and where the temperature will be monitored, as part of the SNCR optimization study report required by Condition 5.2.2.1.
2. Condition 6.2 requires TransAlta to estimate ammonia emissions. Important parameters such as reagent concentration, reagent injection rate, and NO_X emission rate are identified. Currently TransAlta is required to continuously monitor the NO_X emission rate from each boiler and submit the monitoring results to SWCAA quarterly. I suggest that the estimated ammonia emission concentration, the reagent concentration, and reagent injection rate also be recorded for each hour of operation and reported with the quarterly report in the same way as NO_X emissions are. This will allow SWCAA to routinely review and evaluate SNCR operation between source test events. If a continuous emissions monitoring system (CEMS) is used to measure ammonia emissions for compliance, then CEMS data should be submitted in lieu of these surrogate indicators of SNCR operation.
3. I understand there have been comments regarding what, if any, data substitution procedures should be used for NO_X emissions. I recommend that if data substitution is not used for missing NO_X data due to CEMS downtime, that a minimum data availability requirement be established in the BART Order. A requirement such as the one found in 40 CFR 60 Subpart Da "*…valid CEMS hourly averages shall be obtained for 90 percent of all operating hours on a 30-day rolling average basis*" would be appropriate.
4. For periods of startup and shutdown when the bypass stack is being utilized, I recommend either excluding these emissions from the 30-day average, or establishing a conservative fixed value for the NO_X emission rate for the following reasons:

a. During low load operations such as startup and shutdown, O_2 concentrations will be relatively high while NO_X and CO_2 concentrations will be relatively low (close to ambient conditions). Any uncertainty in these measurements will be greatly amplified when calculating NO_X emissions in units of lb/MMBtu, and could even result in the indication that NO_X emissions are infinite (division by zero in the emission rate calculation) unless a default value is substituted in such instances. This uncertainty could occur even with properly operating continuous emissions monitoring system that meets all of the calibration and drift specification of 40 CFR 75.
b. A review of the 2009 and 2010 emissions inventory indicates that less than 0.3% of the total fuel was burned during unit startups. Shutdowns are generally quicker than startups.

The NO_X emission rate (lb/MMBtu) during startup and shutdown is likely to be higher than during normal operation (except when only fuel oil is being burned) because combustion characteristics are not optimized and the SNCR system will not be operating when the boiler is too cold to support the NO_X reduction reaction. Several methods could be used to determine an appropriate NO_X emission rate value. Weighting the uncontrolled AP-42 emission factors for oil and coal by the amount of oil and coal burned during startup in 2009 and 2010 yielded 0.31 lb/MMBtu in my calculation. Alternatively, the Maximum Emission Rate (MER) calculated in accordance with 40 CFR 75 could be utilized.

5. The NO_X emission limit in BART Order 6426 applied to operations at or above 360 MW. The revised draft NO_X emission limit applies to all load conditions, including startup and shutdown. Because of the wider range of applicable operations, it would be more appropriate to utilize a weighted average of NO_X emissions (weighted by the firing rate in MMBtu/hr) rather than a straight arithmetic average for the following reasons:

   a. A weighted average would prevent a period of boiler warmup, where the firing rate might be very low (e.g. 2% of the full load firing rate), from being as important as full-load operation.
   b. A weighted average would better account for the fact that NO_X emission rates (in units of lb/MMBtu) are not constant across the load ranges.
   c. A weighted average would directly link the emission limit in units of lb/MMBtu with the actual mass of NO_X emitted.

   Language such as the following could be used:

   > "The 30 operating day rolling average NO_X emission rate is the average of each operating hour during the 30-day period, weighted by the average heat rate during that hour. The heat rate shall be expressed in MMBtu/hr. The heat rate for each boiler shall be determined in accordance with 40 CFR 75 for hours when only the flue gas desulfurization stack is in use. The heat rate for each boiler shall be determined by measuring the total amount of fuel oil and coal consumed during hours when the bypass stack is in use."

If this option is utilized, TransAlta should be required to submit the heat rate in MMBtu/hr for each hour of operation with each quarterly report.

Please contact me if you have any questions regarding these comments.

Sincerely,

Clint Lamoreaux
Air Quality Engineer
Southwest Clean Air Agency

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: Brian Brazil [Brian_Brazil@transalta.com]
Sent: Monday, November 21, 2011 10:36 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments; Newman, Alan (ECY)
Cc: Richard DeBolt; Rick Griffith (RickLGrif@aol.com); Clint Lamoreaux (clint@swcleanair.org)
Subject: Revised draft BART Compliance Order for TransAlta
Attachments: TA BART Comments Final (11-21-11).pdf

Dear Mr. Newman,

Please accept the attached comments from TransAlta Centralia Generation LLC on the revised draft BART Compliance Order for TransAlta.

Sincerely,

Brian Brazil
Environmental Manager

Ph: 360-807-8031 | Cell: 360-508-0053
913 Big Hanaford Rd | Centralia, WA 98531

www.transalta.com

TransAlta Centralia Generation LLC
913 Big Hanaford Road
Centralia, Washington
USA 98531

(360) 736-9901
www.transalta.com

November 21, 2011

Alan Newman
Washington Department of Ecology
Air Quality Program
P.O. Box 47600
300 Desmond Drive
Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Re: TransAlta Centralia Generation LLC's Comments on Proposed First Revision to BART Order 6246 and Regional Haze State Implementation Plan

Dear Mr. Newman:

TransAlta Centralia Generation LLC ("TransAlta") appreciates the opportunity to provide its attached comments on the Department of Ecology's proposed BART Order and corresponding revisions to the Regional Haze State Implementation Plan ("RH SIP").

The draft First Revision of Order No. 6426 proposes an initial nitrogen oxides ("NOx") emission limit of 0.21 lb/MMBtu based on a reduction of 12.5% from the Flex Fuels Project emission rate. This reduction is considered reasonable for this design of boiler and will be combined with LNC3 technology that reduced emissions by 25% in 2001-2002 and the Flex Fuels Project that reduced emissions by an additional 20% in 2007-2009.

The over-all NOx reductions to be achieved through the RACT and BART processes will be over 50% since 2000, showing great progress on the part of TransAlta to reduce NOx emissions from the Plant. This NOx reduction is in addition to the greater than 90% reduction of SO_2 emissions that was achieved from the installation of the SO_2 Scrubbers in 2001-2002. It is also in addition to TransAlta's voluntary installation of mercury reduction technology to achieve greater than 50% removal of mercury by January 1, 2012. TransAlta has invested more than $300 million in pollution controls at its Centralia facility since 2000.

Our attached comments on the draft revisions to Order No. 6426 consist mainly of recommendations to clarify certain aspects of the Centralia Plant First Revision to BART Order 6246 and the RH SIP for the Environmental Protection Agency's review. Please contact me or Richard DeBolt if you have any questions regarding these comments.

Sincerely,

Brian Brazil
Environmental Manager
TransAlta Centralia Generation LLC

cc: Richard DeBolt, Communications and Community Relations Director
Robert Elliott, Director, Southwest Clean Air Agency

TRANSALTA'S COMMENTS ON WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY'S PROPOSED FIRST REVISION TO BART ORDER 6426 AND REGIONAL HAZE STATE IMPLEMENTATION PLAN Submitted 11-21-2011

Nitrogen Oxides Limit (Condition 1.1.2)

For the reasons stated in TransAlta's comments to the Department dated August 8 and October 6, there is significant uncertainty whether an emission rate below 0.21 can be achieved by SNCR on the Centralia Units. Much of the uncertainty as to achievable NOx reduction with the installation of SNCR on the Centralia Units results from higher operating temperatures in the upper furnace than optimal for SNCR operation due to burning PRB coal in Units designed for Centralia coal. The resulting high operating temperatures, as measured during the August 2011 HVT testing performed by the SNCR vendor, result in shorter gas retention times at proper conditions for the SNCR reaction to take place within each furnace. Based upon the HVT test results and preliminary CFD modeling runs, the vendor has indicated that NOx reductions of 25% will not be achievable with SNCR on the Centralia Units. However, as was stated in TransAlta's submission on August 8, the SNCR vendor will not be able to determine estimated NOx reductions until after the completion and review of final CFD modeling results for both units.

In light of this uncertainty, the draft First Revision incorporates a requirement to perform an Optimization Study (Conditions 2.1 and 5.2.3) to determine whether lower limits are achievable following installation of the SNCR. This approach is consistent with EPA's policy on setting BACT to meet NSR limits which allows adjustments to emission limits based on post-construction performance. *See In re Prairie State Generating Company*, 13 E.A.D. 1 (EPA Environmental Appeals Bd. 2006) ("On two prior occasions, we have sustained a permitting authority's decision to issue a permit containing BACT limits that were subject to adjustment based on post-construction performance data. . . . [cites omitted]); EPA, "PSD and Title V Permitting Guidance for Greenhouse Gases," p. 32 (March 2011) (. . . [T]he permitting authority has the discretion to set a permit limits informed by engineering estimates, or to set permit conditions that make allowance for adjustments of the BACT limits based on operational experience.")

Ammonia Emissions Limit and Optimization Study (Conditions 2.1 and 5.2.3)

TransAlta does not believe that emission of ammonia as high as 10 ppm would be necessary for continuous operation of the SNCR systems and could be detrimental to plant operation. However, we agree that a higher daily limit during the optimization study would allow more flexibility to determine the lowest combination of NOx and ammonia emissions. We request that the daily limit(s) in 5.2.3.1 be set at the lower limit of 20 ppm to be consistent with Condition 2.1.1, not the 30 ppm show in 5.2.3.1. We also request that 5.2.3.2 be revised to read: "The maximum nitrogen oxides reduction possible within a 30-day average ammonia emission rate of 5 ppm; and" to reflect the 30-day average nature of the ongoing limit for ammonia.

Schedule for Compliance (Condition 4)

Condition 4.3 is consistent with the language of RCW 80.80.040(1)(c)(ii) which states that the requirement to comply with the greenhouse gas performance standard by December 31, 2020 and December 31, 2025, does not apply to the baseload "facility" (Centralia Plant) if SCR "must be installed on any of its boilers." (The First Revision to the BART Order interprets this to mean that the Centralia Plant's boilers "permanently cease burning coal" by those dates. Condition 4.3.) Therefore, if Ecology or EPA (or a court in a judicial review action) determines that SCR must be installed on either of the Plant's boilers, then the deadlines for meeting the greenhouse gas performance standards or ceasing to burn coal do not apply to either boiler.

Ammonia Optimization Study (Condition 5.1 and 5.2.2)

TransAlta understands that ammonia slip in the gas stream may be partially captured by the SO_2 Scrubber and end up in the plant wastewater. We request that Condition 5.1 include language to address potential adverse effects on plant effluent and Hanaford Creek. We suggest the following change to Condition 5.1: "…is reasonably achievable without significant adverse effect on mercury capture, boiler cleaning processes (aka sootblowing), ~~or~~ byproduct salability, or plant wastewater effluent." We also suggest that the following language be added to Condition 5.2.2: "5.2.2.7 Evaluate the effect of ammonia increases in wastewater effluent ammonia, nitrate, and nitrite levels, if any."

Final Nitrogen Oxides Emission Limits from Study (Condition 5.4.3)

The requirement to "permanently cease burning coal" in the two units at the Plant earlier than the end of their useful economic life as ordered in condition 4 of the Order already puts this plant at an economic disadvantage compared to other plants in the Western United States that have been required to install LNC3 and SNCR. Currently, no other generating stations are required to meet BART NOx limits lower than 0.19 lb/MMBtu with LNC3 and SNCR technology as demonstrated in the BART Determination Support Document, Table E-1. It would be an added disadvantage to be required to meet NOx emission limits lower than 0.19 for the shortened lifespan of the Centralia Plant. We request that Ecology modify condition 5.4.3 as follows: "However, the nitrogen oxides limitation will not be raised above the level in Condition 1.1.2 as it existed on the date of issuance of this Revised Order or reduced below 0.19 lb/MMBtu."

Nitrogen Oxides Monitoring (Conditions 6.1 and 8.1)

Condition 6.1 is not needed as it says essentially the same thing as Condition 8.1, so Condition 6.1 should be removed. The heading of Condition 6 should then be shortened to "Ammonia Monitoring".

Nitrogen Oxides Monitoring, Averaging, and Missing Data (Conditions 7 and 8)

On September 21, EPA proposed language for the North Dakota BART FIP at 76 FR 58647 which states:

> 52.1825(e)(2) Method. (i) For any hour in which fuel is combusted in a unit, the owner/operator of each unit shall calculate the hourly average

NOx concentration in lb/MMBtu at the CEMS in accordance with the requirements of 40 CFR part 75. At the end of each boiler operating day, the owner/operator shall calculate and record a new 30-day rolling average emission rate in lb/MMBtu from the arithmetic average of all valid hourly emission rates from the CEMS for the current boiler operating day and the previous 29 successive boiler operating days.
(ii) An hourly average NOx emission rate in lb/MMBtu is valid only if the minimum number of data points, as specified in 40 CFR part 75, is acquired by both the NOx pollutant concentration monitor and the diluent monitor (O2 or CO2).
(iii) Data reported to meet the requirements of this section shall not include data substituted using the missing data substitution procedures of subpart D of 40 CFR part 75, nor shall the data have been bias adjusted according to the procedures of 40 CFR part 75.

These monitoring conditions apply to the 7 emission units for which the North Dakota FIP has NOx limits listed. Additional EPA precedent for not using data substitution in calculation of emissions averages for BART compliance exists for the 5 emission units at the Four Corners Power Plant in Nevada. In the Four Corners FIP published May 2, 2011 at 76 FR 10543, EPA states: "49.23(i)(4)(v) If a valid NOx pounds per hour or heat input is not available for any hour for a unit, that heat input and NOx pounds per hour shall not be used in the calculation of the 30 day plant wide rolling average." So even though the forms of the NOx limits may vary from State to State, there is no basis requiring data substitution be used to replace missing data when calculating emissions to determine compliance with BART limits.

TransAlta supports the Department's language very similar to that proposed by EPA as an amendment to 40 CFR 52.1825(e)(2)(i-iii) as it has been included in Condition 8 of the draft Order. Also, to be consistent with the EPA NOx monitoring language and Condition 8, Condition 7 and the last sentence of Condition 8.3 which references Condition 7 should be deleted.

Nitrogen Oxides Limit and Startup Conditions (Conditions 8.1 and 8.4)

In the draft revision, Ecology has proposed removal of the 360 MW minimum operating rate references in the current BART Order and proposes to make the BART limits applicable at all operating rates, including during periods when the SNCR system is not required to operate because the required reaction temperatures cannot be achieved. This is expected to occur during periods of startup and shutdown. To address this situation Condition 8.1 should be revised to state "For any hour in which ~~fuel is combusted in a~~ ammonia or urea is being injected pursuant to Condition 1.2 …". Alternatively, the word "fuel" in Conditions 8.1 and 8.4 could be replaced with the word "coal", to minimize the inclusion of the relatively insignificant emissions that may occur while firing a unit exclusively on oil during a startup or shutdown period.

TransAlta also suggests deleting the final paragraph of the section "Operating day versus calendar day" on page 94 of the BART Determination Support Document.

Minor Corrections for BART Determination Support Document

On page 4, paragraph 2 it appears that Ecology uses the term "SCR" where it intended to use "SNCR".

On page 29, we suggest Ecology revise sentence in paragraph 4 to read: "The incremental improvement in visibility from adding SNCR to Flex Fuels is about 0.7 dv at the most impacted Class I area compared to Flex Fuels alone." The current reference to "at least a 0.2 dv" improvement refers to the least affected Class I areas and understates the benefits of SNCR.

On page 93, the paragraph titled "Emission Limit Reduction Basis should end as "…or 0.21 lb/MMBtu (12.5 percent reduction)."

The Centralia Plant BART Order's Coal Sulfur Content Limit Achieves Greater "Reasonable Progress" than NOx Controls (SNCR) Alone

The Centralia Plant BART Order sets NOx emission limits based on low NOx Burners (LNB) with Over-Fire Air (OFA), Selective Non-Catalytic Reduction (SNCR), the Flex Fuels Project, and coal content limits for nitrogen and sulfur based on Powder River Basin coal. Based on comments received by the Department of Ecology ("Ecology") during the adoption of the original Centralia Plant BART Order, we anticipate that comments will be made on the proposed Regional Haze State Implementation Plan ("RH SIP") that BART for the Centralia Plant or Reasonable Progress requires additional NOx control (Selective Catalytic Reduction, SCR). In response, it is important to emphasize that the Centralia Plant BART Order's coal sulfur content limit actually achieves greater "reasonable progress" than would be achieved by NOx controls alone. Specifically, the resulting sulfur dioxide emission reductions from the coal content requirements result in greater visibility improvement than the nitrogen oxide reductions that would be achieved by the NOx controls in the proposed BART Order. Accordingly, NOx reductions beyond those in the proposed BART Order are not necessary to meet the Regional Haze Program's Reasonable Progress requirements.

Cost-Effectiveness Assumptions for SCR Based on Navajo Generating Station Estimates Underestimate Costs of Installing the Add-On Controls

The conclusion that installation of SCR is not cost-effective is not disputed. Based on the site-specific cost estimate by CH2M Hill, the cost-effectiveness of NOx reduction for the unit to be closed at the end of 2021 is $14,800/ton and the cost effectiveness-for the unit to be closed by the end of 2025 is $8400/ton. However, based on a cost estimate for the Navajo Generating Station, Ecology calculated $12,000/ton and $6400/ton, respectively. TransAlta believes that the SCR installation cost estimates prepared by CH2M Hill in 2010 are the most accurate cost estimates for SCR at the Centralia Plant and that the lower estimates based on the NGS are not relevant to the BART determination and should be removed from the Support Document. If these cost estimates become an issue of concern to Ecology, TransAlta will provide additional information to support the accuracy of the CH2M Hill estimates.

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jetta Hurst [jettahurst@aol.com]
Sent: Sunday, November 20, 2011 1:59 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 20, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jetta Hurst
34037
Auburn, WA 98001-9701
(253) 333-1420

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Joan Martin [justjem@aol.com]
Sent: Sunday, November 20, 2011 11:59 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 20, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Joan Martin
2819 N Mullen St
Tacoma, WA 98407-3947

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jack Burg [jack@montlakemousse.com]
Sent: Saturday, November 19, 2011 11:28 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 20, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jack Burg
Pioneer Square Box 4005
Seattle, WA 98194-0005

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Allison Barr [allisondbarr@aol.com]
Sent: Saturday, November 19, 2011 8:27 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 19, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Allison Barr
12721 10th Dr SE
Everett, WA 98208-6504

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Marian Hayes [seattlesmog@comcast.net]
Sent: Saturday, November 19, 2011 5:27 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 19, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marian Hayes
109 - 23rd Avenue E, #316
Seattle, WA 98112-5454

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Robert Falk [rangerrobpdx@hotmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, November 19, 2011 4:57 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 19, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Falk
9016 N Mohawk Ave
Portland, OR 97203-2326

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Angela Bellacosa [angelabella100@yahoo.com]
Sent: Saturday, November 19, 2011 4:57 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 19, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Angela Bellacosa
4249 9th Ave NE Apt 1
Seattle, WA 98105-6905

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Paula Hawkins [prhawkeye@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 7:35 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

Dear Decision Maker,

I live in the Seattle area and am surprised that even on a clear day there is a haze in the air. If by using more stringent standards of emission, we could clean the air, let's see that it is done. Please consider strict standards. Pollution control is imperative for everyone's health. I urge you to require high standards for air quality.

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Paula Hawkins
1328 S 290th Pl
Federal Way, WA 98003-3760

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Carolyn Mann [cmann@spiritone.com]
Sent: Saturday, November 19, 2011 1:57 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 19, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carolyn Mann
820 Marylhurst Cir
West Linn, OR 97068-1822

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jill Czaplicki [jillzapski@comcast.net]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 2:43 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jill Czaplicki
18939 NE 20th Ct
Redmond, WA 98052-6066
(425) 562-0854

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jenny Clark [jclark127@msn.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 2:43 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jenny Clark
1516 243rd Pl SE
Bothell, WA 98021-8877

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jeff Komisarof [jeffrey.komisarof@uphs.upenn.edu]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 2:40 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jeff Komisarof
6223 NE Davis St
Portland, OR 97213-3853

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Deb Hubenthal [daydreamdesign13@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 2:40 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Deb Hubenthal
5703 N F St
Spokane, WA 99205-7334

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Fred Karlson [fkarlson@frontier.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 2:39 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Fred Karlson
5779 vista Drive
Ferndale, WA 98248-9369

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Anthony Buch [maritoni_buch@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 2:38 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Anthony Buch
6179 NE Radford Dr Apt 1713
Seattle, WA 98115-7986
(360) 672-0209

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Molly Smith [molly_nicole_16@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 2:38 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Molly Smith
2977 Ingalls Way
Eugene, OR 97405-6305

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Bernhard Masterson [bernhard_masterson@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 2:37 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bernhard Masterson
3100 SE Westview Ave
Portland, OR 97267-4640
(503) 929-8583

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Nancy Young [knifeandfork88@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 2:37 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Young
620 18th Ave E Apt 25
Seattle, WA 98112-3934

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Dorothy Louis [dorothylouis@yahoo.com]
Sent: Saturday, November 19, 2011 12:57 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 19, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dorothy Louis
33125 SE White Oak Rd Unit 39
Corvallis, OR 97333-2444

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Srijan Chakraborty [srijan@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 2:37 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Srijan Chakraborty
11614 NE 87th Ln
Kirkland, WA 98033-5768

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of A. Todd [todd87701@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 2:36 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. A. Todd
PO Box 41783
Eugene, OR 97404-0509

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Peter Pileggi [phantainfini@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 2:36 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Peter Pileggi
1908 NE Multnomah St Apt 4
Portland, OR 97232-2139

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Josi Mata [josi_mata@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 7:15 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Josi Mata
22010 1/2 Brier Rd
Brier, WA 98036-8021

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of John Dunn [jdunn936@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 7:15 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Dunn
PO Box 1024
Vashon, WA 98070-1024
(206) 903-9639

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Zandra Saez [critters1@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 7:15 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Zandra Saez
1805 E 34th Ave
Spokane, WA 99203-4007

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Carol Scott [scottycares@aol.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 7:15 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Carol Scott
901 E McLeod Rd
Bellingham, WA 98226-7600
(360) 734-6511

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Christian Hagenlocher [fourhagos@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 7:15 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Christian Hagenlocher
7727 76th Ave NW
Gig Harbor, WA 98335-8626
(253) 219-6566

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Stu Philips [stulips@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 7:15 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stu Philips
1228 Arthur St
Eugene, OR 97402-3510
(541) 255-3660

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Stephen Sarbiewski [stephensarbiewski@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 6:45 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stephen Sarbiewski
5508 155th Ave NE
Redmond, WA 98052-5157

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Michael Nelson [strider48@live.com]
Sent: Saturday, November 19, 2011 11:27 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 19, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Nelson
1345 Paradise Ct SE
Lacey, WA 98503-1972

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Margaret Gahan [exclusivemail@ncplus.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 6:18 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Miss Margaret Gahan
1718 Winterwood Dr
Centralia, WA 98531-9139

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Patsy Stratton [patstratt@aol.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 6:18 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Patsy Stratton
1378 Quaker St
Eugene, OR 97402-6607
(541) 343-9802

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of keren retter [kerenretter@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 6:18 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. keren retter
PO Box 1682
Yelm, WA 98597-1682

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Denise Whitbeck [denisewhitbeck@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 6:18 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Denise Whitbeck
1554 Hillside Dr SE
Issaquah, WA 98027-4811

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sandee Palmquist [sandee.palmquist@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 5:45 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sandee Palmquist
18027 NE 109th Ct
Redmond, WA 98052-7222
(425) 867-1310

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Serena Wittkopp [serena.camille.scw@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 5:15 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Miss Serena Wittkopp
7408 SE Sherman St
Portland, OR 97215-4166

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of William Lenoch [piperroad@aol.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 5:15 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. William Lenoch
14108 E Piper Rd
Spokane, WA 99217-9577

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Penny Simpson [get2pen@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 5:15 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Penny Simpson
3005 SE Balboa Dr
Vancouver, WA 98683-9188

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Carol Burwell [caburwell@earthlink.net]
Sent: Monday, November 21, 2011 10:02 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 22, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Carol Burwell
PO Box 98
Port Hadlock, WA 98339-0098

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Pamela Reckers [rangoonlily@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 21, 2011 4:32 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 21, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Pamela Reckers
1426 Ridge Dr
Camano Island, WA 98282-7615

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Joan Schiess [joanie.schiess@zoo.org]
Sent: Monday, November 21, 2011 3:31 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 21, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Joan Schiess
5808 McKinley Pl N
Seattle, WA 98103-5711
(206) 523-7755

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Tabitha Stokes [tabbystokes@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 21, 2011 3:31 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 21, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Tabitha Stokes
2708 NW 106th St
Vancouver, WA 98685-4732

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Patricia Tucker-Dolan [patetuc@aol.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 4:15 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Patricia Tucker-Dolan
13525 181st Ave SE
Renton, WA 98059-7145
(425) 255-1473

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Carla Hervert [chervert@peacehealth.org]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:47 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carla Hervert
2948 Dry Creek Rd
Eugene, OR 97404-3812

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Julie Zamost [julieza@earthlink.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:46 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Julie Zamost
3083 W 15th Ave
Eugene, OR 97402-3174

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of David Fitzgibbon [pyrotech211@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:45 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Fitzgibbon
5819 NE Glisan St Apt 521
Portland, OR 97213-3779

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of David Lunde [davelunde@earthlink.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:18 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Lunde
2218 McPherson St
North Bend, OR 97459-3341

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of David Casey [david@casey.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:16 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Casey
4233 Thackeray Pl NE
Seattle, WA 98105-6543
(206) 777-7773

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Terri Mc [wcgranny6@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:47 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Terri Mc
2622 Falcon St Spc 95
White City, OR 97503-1325

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Rachel Foxman [foxling@teleport.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:46 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rachel Foxman
6614 N Knowles Ave
Portland, OR 97217-5111

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Carole Miller [jtwcarole1@aol.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:46 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Carole Miller
8500 NE Hazel Dell Ave
Vancouver, WA 98665-8069
(360) 241-4761

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Dmitry Erastov [derastov@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 21, 2011 12:00 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 21, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dmitry Erastov
1650 Aurora Ave N
Seattle, WA 98109-3046

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Helen Logan Hays [hlhays@ccwebster.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:36 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Helen Logan Hays
18553 S Ferguson Rd
Oregon City, OR 97045-9309

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Barbara Lynn Voss [barbaravoss@earthlink.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:36 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Lynn Voss
7001 NE 137th St
Kirkland, WA 98034-5010

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jessica Pohle [jessica_bell25@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:19 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Miss Jessica Pohle
42912 Se176th Street
North Bend, WA 98045

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Samuel Carl [samuelkcarl@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:10 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Samuel Carl
1792 SW Marlow Ave
Portland, OR 97225-5184
(503) 330-7593

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sattie Clark [17rwhy@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:06 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sattie Clark
108 N Ainsworth St
Portland, OR 97217-2104

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Helen Sun [helensy@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:01 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Helen Sun
15713 37th Ave NE
Lake Forest Park, WA 98155-6630

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Tim Kadrmas [tkbears@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:58 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tim Kadrmas
2541 Blackbird Valley Pl
Maple Falls, WA 98266-7088
(360) 778-3535

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jessica Lampert [jessicalampert2003@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:47 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jessica Lampert
PO Box 368
Brightwood, OR 97011-0368

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mike Lyman [mike@mikelyman.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:38 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mike Lyman
3718 Sand Creek Rd
Kettle Falls, WA 99141-8727

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Richmond Delorme [richdelorme@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richmond Delorme
6017 SE 2nd Ct
Renton, WA 98059-8537
(425) 227-0056

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Gary Bennett [garyeunicebennett@msn.com]
Sent: Sunday, November 20, 2011 5:29 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 20, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gary Bennett
1436 Toledo St
Bellingham, WA 98229-5301
(360) 647-2912

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kay Moretti [kasina_us@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:18 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kay Moretti
211-16 Av
Seattle, WA 98102

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Hollie Young [marlieo@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:04 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Miss Hollie Young
3001 N Narrows Dr
Tacoma, WA 98407-1513

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of D. Thomas Ramey [mydharma@passion4healing.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 11:58 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. D. Thomas Ramey
1140 5th Ave S Apt 102
Edmonds, WA 98020-4681

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Barbara Mckee [barbaramckee@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 11:55 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Mckee
8824 Boulder Ave
Vancouver, WA 98664-2548

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Scott Steele [scottsteele97233@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 11:45 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Scott Steele
1439 SE 130th Ave
Portland, OR 97233-1717

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Danny Thorn [thorndanny@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 11:45 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Danny Thorn
710 18th Ave W
Kirkland, WA 98033-4818

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Warren Jackson [warren@yuvawn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 11:38 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Warren Jackson
2100 3rd Ave Apt 2305
Seattle, WA 98121-2304
(206) 322-7033

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jim Reynolds [cathyreynolds@juno.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 11:35 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jim Reynolds
4945 140th Ave SW
Rochester, WA 98579-9711

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kathy Jones [stargatekathy@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 11:29 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Kathy Jones
6531 SE Ivon St
Portland, OR 97206-1250

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Angie Flores [aflores_720@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 11:13 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Angie Flores
5801 Thistledown Dr
Pasco, WA 99301-6025
(509) 521-8835

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mary Mcgilvra [mary@mcgilvradesign.com]
Sent: Sunday, November 20, 2011 2:29 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 20, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Mcgilvra
3807 SE Clinton St
Portland, OR 97202-1632

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Patricia M Rodgers [patriciam@clearwire.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 11:13 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Patricia M Rodgers
8121 NE 141st St
Kirkland, WA 98034-5324
(425) 823-0386

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Susan Marsh [sunseeker56@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 11:04 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Marsh
64939 E Pine Tree Way
Rhododendron, OR 97049-9700
(503) 622-1236

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kathleen Procter [kamp999@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 11:04 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathleen Procter
22402 NE 85th St
Vancouver, WA 98682-9502
(360) 883-6323

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Diane Roubal [roubadan@aol.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 10:58 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Roubal
853 NW 77th St
Seattle, WA 98117-5145
(206) 789-0425

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ruth Janes-Allen [ruut@fidalgo.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 10:54 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ruth Janes-Allen
13800 84th St NE
Lake Stevens, WA 98258-8830
(425) 971-3648

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lynn Tucker [mslynntucker@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 10:50 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lynn Tucker
1538 S Dawson St
Seattle, WA 98108-2361
(206) 764-9366

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Shirley Luxem [saluxem@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 10:46 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Shirley Luxem
9500 315th Ave SE
Issaquah, WA 98027-8740

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ina O'Donnell [irdonnell@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 10:46 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ina O'Donnell
2529 Michigan St
Bellingham, WA 98226-4040
(360) 738-3713

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ted Klump [tedklump@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 10:46 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ted Klump
8616 Silver Star Ave
Vancouver, WA 98664-2539

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Joyce Leggatt [joyce@harbor-properties.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 11:04 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Joyce Leggatt
173 NE Bridgeton Rd
Slip 6
Portland, OR 97211-1074
(503) 285-1511

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Chelsie Hatch [chelsiehatch@care2.com]
Sent: Sunday, November 20, 2011 1:59 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 20, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Chelsie Hatch
19999 Sorrento Pl
Bend, OR 97702-3512

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Phyllis Brandt [brandtrp@q.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 10:29 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Phyllis Brandt
4212 Meadowsweet St
Pasco, WA 99301-9443
(509) 545-1417

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Annapoorne Colangelo [anapurna@whidbey.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 10:23 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Annapoorne Colangelo
7651 Scatchet Head Rd
Clinton, WA 98236-9768
(360) 579-3735

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Amy Heyneman [amyheyneman@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 10:04 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Amy Heyneman
10579 NE Manor Ln
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-4189

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Melody Butenko [melody.butenko@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 9:46 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Melody Butenko
19716 SE 30th St
Sammamish, WA 98075-9657

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Julie Laidlaw [jeztjulz@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 9:32 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Julie Laidlaw
PO Box 2513
Friday Harbor, WA 98250-2513

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Janet Harris [teoale@gorge.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 9:27 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Janet Harris
1270 Sunset Rtd
Hood River, OR 97031

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Emily Stewart [emcemjune@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 9:26 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Emily Stewart
5040 SE 75th Ave
Portland, OR 97206-4308

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Justin Barnett [jahstone@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 9:26 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Justin Barnett
380 SE 27th Ave Apt 3
Portland, OR 97214-1784

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Wendy James [wendy@jrkerr.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 9:07 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Wendy James
520 Whitecap Rd
Bellingham, WA 98229-8911

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Fred Rakevich [frakevich_6@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 9:07 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Fred Rakevich
124 Elma McCleary Rd Trlr 13
Elma, WA 98541-9401

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of James Tyree [oregonfieldmowing@hotmail.com]
Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 1:01 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 18, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Tyree
5125 SW Scholls Ferry Rd Apt 23
Portland, OR 97225-1663
(503) 297-4880

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sean Brady [sa_brady@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 9:05 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Sean Brady
1124 14th St
Lafayette, OR 97127-9658

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mary Riley [mk.inwa3@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 9:05 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Riley
121 Karr Ave
Hoquiam, WA 98550-1726
(360) 612-3473

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Raymond Parker [rphilpark@peoplepc.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 9:05 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Raymond Parker
1225 Front St Apt 6
Lynden, WA 98264-1888

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Hector R. Amaro [hnpamaro@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 8:52 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Hector R. Amaro
4716 60th Ave NE
Salem, OR 97305-3713
(503) 585-6658

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Gemma Tussy [gktuss@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 8:47 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gemma Tussy
13842 209th Ave NE
Woodinville, WA 98077-7657

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kay Meyers [kayjmeyers@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 8:42 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kay Meyers
1745 SE Saint Andrews Dr
Portland, OR 97202-9016

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jennifer Kliese [jennkliese@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 8:34 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Miss Jennifer Kliese
2705 Gull Harbor Rd NE
Olympia, WA 98506-2860

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Alice Goss [allie@whidbey.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 8:24 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Alice Goss
3885 Campbell Rd
Clinton, WA 98236-8623

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ann Bronson [bop@gorge.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 8:15 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ann Bronson
678 Larch Ct
Hood River, OR 97031-7802
(541) 386-1314

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Paticia Reid [par98070@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 8:05 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Paticia Reid
10903 Palisades Ave SW
Vashon, WA 98070-3050
(206) 567-4631

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Andy Quig [fishnbats@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 1:01 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 18, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Andy Quig
1040 NW Honlywood Place
Issaquah, WA 98027-2658
(425) 677-7337

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Chester Lusk [chet_lusk@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 8:05 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Chester Lusk
2142 8th Ave N Apt 305
Seattle, WA 98109-2476
(206) 283-6134

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Dru Druzianich [drudruzianich@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 7:59 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dru Druzianich
22811 Lakeview Dr
Mountlake Terrace, WA 98043-2865

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of David Chaney [davechaney@earthlink.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 7:59 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Chaney
1220 Farwell Ave NW
Olympia, WA 98502-4366

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ashley Rowe [wiccanroses13@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 7:54 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Miss Ashley Rowe
21219 N Madison Rd
Mead, WA 99021-7793

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Colleen Bonin [colliebonin@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 7:54 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Colleen Bonin
93 S Jackson St
Seattle, WA 98104-2818

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sheila Filan [sheilameow@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 7:54 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sheila Filan
808 Clay St
Ashland, OR 97520-1421

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Henry Kuharic [henrykuh@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 7:46 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Henry Kuharic
900 University St Apt 2u
Seattle, WA 98101-2726
(206) 922-2622

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of L Irish [lbirish@earthlink.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 7:40 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. L Irish
PO Box 578
Lakebay, WA 98349-0578

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Bob Thomas [rockthomas@mcsi.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 7:38 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bob Thomas
2001 Weaver Rd
Myrtle Creek, OR 97457-8668
(541) 863-7035

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kerry Kovarik [kerrykovarik@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 7:26 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kerry Kovarik
637 3rd Ave W
Seattle, WA 98119-4477

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Rhodila Allred [rhodilakay@wavecable.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:17 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.
Our state is so wonderful. Please help us keep it that way!
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rhodila Allred
200 NE Riverhill Ln
Belfair, WA 98528-9683

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kathleen Stasny [kstas53@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 1:00 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 18, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathleen Stasny
133 Orange Ave
Ashland, OR 97520-1122
(541) 482-9710

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Marilyn Darilek [rmdarilek@asisna.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 7:13 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Marilyn Darilek
1814 W Briarcliff Ln
Spokane, WA 99208-8983
(509) 328-7750

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sharon Tiedeman [althearose@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 6:57 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharon Tiedeman
4230 Timberline Rd
Clinton, WA 98236-8412
(360) 341-1735

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ian Cox [ibc123@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 6:37 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ian Cox
761 Boylston Ave E
Seattle, WA 98102-2801

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Brian Farmer [brian@f-w-d.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 6:34 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian Farmer
900 Butler Mkt
Bend, OR 97701-8074

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of James Ralston [jamesjen3@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 6:34 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Ralston
17450 Old Pacific Hwy
Rockaway Beach, OR 97136-9817

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Winfield Hutton [winhut@web.de]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 6:32 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Winfield Hutton
6059 S Redwing St
Seattle, WA 98118-6020

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Janet Blumer [oreomolly@cet.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 6:13 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Janet Blumer
4818 W Hoffman Pl
Spokane, WA 99205-1918

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Tom Jenkins [torolin1@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 6:07 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tom Jenkins
14380 Salt Creek Rd
Dallas, OR 97338-9307
(503) 623-8850

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kathleen Kestell [jkestell@q.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 6:02 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kathleen Kestell
15611 N Little Spokane Dr
Spokane, WA 99208-8527

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Roger Lewis [ableglassworks1@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 5:55 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Roger Lewis
PO Box 962
Sherwood, OR 97140-0962
(503) 537-0793

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Diane Fox [dfvixen@gmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, November 19, 2011 9:56 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 19, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Fox
2625 SE 151st Ave
Portland, OR 97236-2321
(971) 255-0149

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jean Dilley [jeandilley@ymail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 5:50 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jean Dilley
164 Palos Verdes
White Salmon, WA 98672-8949
(509) 493-4834

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Judith Mercer [dosgatos438@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 4:42 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Judith Mercer
438 SE Dos Gatos Ct
Roseburg, OR 97470-3857

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of William Lee Lee Kohler [potawatomi13@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 4:30 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. William Lee Lee Kohler
1238 Coburg Rd
Eugene, OR 97401-5283
(541) 686-0049

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Aurora Griffin [rorkay@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 4:27 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Aurora Griffin
PO Box 283
Irrigon, OR 97844-0283

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Emily Copeland [wheezetoid7@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:57 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Emily Copeland
17332 SE 133rd St
Renton, WA 98059-7026

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Luke Murphy [doomdude601@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:57 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Luke Murphy
321 Clay St
Spc 45
Ashland, OR 97520-7377

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Tracy McBride-Barr [t.mcbride@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:55 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Tracy McBride-Barr
920 90th St SW
Everett, WA 98204-1625
(425) 347-0374

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Heidi Gould [heidi360@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:55 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Heidi Gould
8942 Colony Ln SE
Tenino, WA 98589-9426

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Dennis Steussy [steussycwa@clearwire.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:55 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dennis Steussy
1912 S 254th Pl
Des Moines, WA 98198-9015
(253) 941-8116

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jeri Linn [jg_linn@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:55 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jeri Linn
91222 Shane Ct
Eugene, OR 97408-9252

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Rick Romito [stargazer0329@comcast.net]
Sent: Saturday, November 19, 2011 8:56 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 19, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rick Romito
4534 Fir Tree Way
Bellingham, WA 98229-2639

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Janalee Roy [cwnovel@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:52 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Janalee Roy
4828 Slayden Rd NE
Tacoma, WA 98422-1859
(253) 952-6183

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jim Crowle [jccrowle@aol.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:52 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jim Crowle
17319 126th plse
kent, WA 98030-8916
(253) 631-8480

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Eric Lind [measle@criticalmess.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:52 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Eric Lind
14244 29th Ave S
Seatac, WA 98168-3856

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Intz Walker [intzwalker@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:52 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment and thank you for listening.

Sincerely,

Ms. Intz Walker
1937 NE Oar Ave
Lincoln City, OR 97367-3949
(541) 921-1565

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Elyette Weinstein [elyette_w@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:49 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elyette Weinstein
5000 Orvas Ct SE
Olympia, WA 98501-4765
(360) 786-7641

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Laura Craig [lauracraig@fairpoint.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:48 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Laura Craig
21840 Piessner Rd SE
Yelm, WA 98597-7950
(360) 894-1418

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Maria Sause [mkrausster@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:48 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Maria Sause
15 NW Brook St
Newport, OR 97365-3740

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Pat Cooper [cooperpat@juno.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:44 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Pat Cooper
6040 SW 171st Ave
Beaverton, OR 97007-3943
(503) 356-1413

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Teos Abadia [tabadia@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:44 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Teos Abadia
708 NW Skyline Crest Rd
Portland, OR 97229-6833
(503) 206-7319

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ellen Mccabe [mccabee53@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:44 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ellen Mccabe
8231 31st Avenue SW
Seattle, WA 98126
(206) 920-0392

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jonathan Walter [greatwarrior777@aol.com]
Sent: Saturday, November 19, 2011 8:26 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 19, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jonathan Walter
6531 Dennis Pl SW
Tumwater, WA 98501-5438

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Becky Anderson [upookapan@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:44 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Becky Anderson
2043 Ponderosa Ct
Bellingham, WA 98229-5367

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of C Braaten [dbclb@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:40 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. C Braaten
1222 SW Leschi Dr
Oak Harbor, WA 98277-5810

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mary Parodi [mlwp1@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:39 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Parodi
12045 SW Springwood Dr
Tigard, OR 97223-3329
(503) 524-3497

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Robyn Cleaves [nursekitty83@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:39 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Robyn Cleaves
PO Box 65366
Tacoma, WA 98464-1366

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ursula Hair [uhair@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:39 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Miss Ursula Hair
Regents Boulevard
Fircrest, WA 98466

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Loretta Cukale [mauigirl1998@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:36 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Loretta Cukale
20603 SW Rosa Dr
Beaverton, OR 97007-1035

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of DAVID GOLDMAN [herepog2@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:36 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. DAVID GOLDMAN
PO Box 1954
Port Townsend, WA 98368-0067
(360) 385-3455

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lyle Collins [collik@pobox.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:33 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lyle Collins
200 N 70th Ave Apt 4
Yakima, WA 98908-1308
(509) 966-4174

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Beverly Krasner [fkrasner@charter.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:33 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Beverly Krasner
950 Neil Creek Rd
Ashland, OR 97520-8773
(541) 482-4303

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Brad Carlquist [bradbear52@hotmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, November 19, 2011 3:28 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 19, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brad Carlquist
408 NW 67th St
Seattle, WA 98117-5035
(206) 368-8148

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Cynthia Ignatovich [goodhiker@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 6:09 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 18, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cynthia Ignatovich
719 NE 110th Ave
Portland, OR 97220-3130
(503) 761-2207

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of John Long [jml757@bendcable.com]
Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 5:39 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 18, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Long
586 Nutcracker Dr
Redmond, OR 97756-7335
(541) 504-2842

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of K Lyle [dklyle@centurytel.net]
Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 5:39 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 18, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. K Lyle
PO Box 1982
Gig Harbor, WA 98335-3982

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Satya Vayu [satyavayu@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 4:09 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 18, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Satya Vayu
4418 SE Harrison St
Portland, OR 97215-3157

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Carol Bruce [carolbruce18@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 12:02 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 18, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Bruce
19900 SW Gassner Rd
Aloha, OR 97007-6004

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Janet Weisel [weiscon@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:47 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

I love visiting national parks in my home state so I am writing to ask that your help in controlling emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. This plant is putting out a lot of air pollution and 2025 is a long time away.If you help to reduce emissions from this plant then Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will all see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Janet Weisel
18610 NE 157th Pl
Woodinville, WA 98072-9160
(206) 714-4904

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Brenda Michaels [brenda@conscioustalk.net]
Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 11:32 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 18, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Brenda Michaels
l5617 258th Place SE
Issaquah, WA 98027-3896

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of David Robinson [dlrobinson49@rcabletv.com]
Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 9:31 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 18, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Robinson
PO Box 151
Curlew, WA 99118-0151
(509) 779-4967

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Virginia Conley [vlconley@hotmail.com]
Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 8:01 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 18, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Virginia Conley
5676 D St
Springfield, OR 97478-5491
(541) 726-5146

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of June Dean [junestan2007@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 17, 2011 12:31 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 17, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. June Dean
641 W Horton Way Apt 130
Bellingham, WA 98226-7341
(760) 213-0920

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Stan Dean [stanjune2003@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 17, 2011 11:50 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 17, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stan Dean
641 W Horton Way Apt 130
Bellingham, WA 98226-7341
(760) 213-0920

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Vicky Matsui [vickymatsui@hotmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 17, 2011 7:44 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 17, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Vicky Matsui
541 19th Ave
Seattle, WA 98122-5739

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sarah Hewson [littlelady01@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 17, 2011 7:44 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 17, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sarah Hewson
1535 11th Ave
Seattle, WA 98122-3933

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Spencer Selander [spencerselander@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 17, 2011 1:54 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 17, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Spencer Selander
341 Pioneer Ave NE
PO Box 363
Castle Rock, WA 98611-9233
(360) 274-6536

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Steven E Schmidt [harstinesteve@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 17, 2011 12:24 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 17, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steven E Schmidt
3143 E North Island Dr
Shelton, WA 98584-9403

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Laurie Todd [lltodd@mindspring.com]
Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 1:00 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 18, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laurie Todd
3756 SE Stephens St
Portland, OR 97214-5152

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Vladimir Ushakoff [vushakof@nwlink.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:45 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Our Citizen's lives are being shortened because a large coal air polluting company won't decrease its dirty air output for many years (until 2025) and doesn't want to decrease its extravagent earnings to do so! Implement the laws to do so now!

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Vladimir Ushakoff
43 W Pheasant Ln
Sequim, WA 98382-8252
(360) 582-0497

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Susan Loyland [susanloyland@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 1:00 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 18, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Loyland
219 Semanski St
Enumclaw, WA 98022-2092

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lesli Ireland [lesli.ireland@providence.org]
Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 12:59 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 18, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Miss Lesli Ireland
2807 SE 78th Ave
Portland, OR 97206-1705

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mike Renfrow [pdxbeartooth@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 12:59 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 18, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mike Renfrow
205 NE 58th Ave
Portland, OR 97213-3805
(503) 236-1553

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of James Miller [jandhmiller@comcast.net]
Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 12:59 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 18, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. James Miller
2866 Heron Loop SE
Albany, OR 97322-7274

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Leslie Shenkin [leslie.shenkin@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 17, 2011 6:33 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 17, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Leslie Shenkin
1260 W Broadway
Eugene, OR 97402-4534
(541) 683-1298

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Pamela Harris [pamharris810@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 17, 2011 6:03 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 17, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Pamela Harris
3404 S 176th St
Seatac, WA 98188-4024

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Gale Lurie [galeluriepottery@comcast.net]
Sent: Thursday, November 17, 2011 5:03 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 17, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gale Lurie
3526 NE 92nd St
Seattle, WA 98115-3662

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Robert Tull [rwtull75@charter.net]
Sent: Thursday, November 17, 2011 3:03 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 17, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Tull
1200 Mira Mar Ave
Apt 808
Medford, OR 97504-8555
(541) 857-6526

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Nicole Jergovic [njergov@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 6:49 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nicole Jergovic
7655 SE 22nd Ave
Portland, OR 97202-6253

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Maria White [capa_7@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 6:19 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Maria White
18880 SW Hart Rd
Beaverton, OR 97007-5623

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Beth Rockwell [thelambsown@earthlink.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

Mr. Ted Sturdevant
Director, Washington State Department of Ecology 300 Desmond Drive SE Lacey, Washington 98503

Re: My Public Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysiss

Dear Mr. Sturdevant:

As someone who loves the National Parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you put in place immediately efforts to control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution. Our beautiful Mount Rainier, the North Cascades and Olympic National Parks, the communities of Washington State and its citizens will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full twenty-five percent (25%) reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It does not make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits.

I also ask that the Department of Ecology require TransAlta to provide a report to DOE on each step in this process with an identified deadline, so the company cannot get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air. These reports on each step in the process should be made available through both DOE and TransAlta to the general public, so that all citizens are aware that progress is being made.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Beth Rockwell
Post Office Box 12023
Olympia Washington 98508-2023

Sincerely,

Mrs. Beth Rockwell
PO Box 12023
Olympia, WA 98508-2023

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Stuart R. Shaw [stubonshaw@comcast.net]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 5:54 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stuart R. Shaw
2559 Ojai Ct NW
Salem, OR 97304-4212

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Morton Wood [earthflag@clearwire.net]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 5:54 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Morton Wood
4525 NE 93rd St
Seattle, WA 98115-3928
(206) 524-5738

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Linda Wright [lindawright12@comcast.net]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 5:54 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Wright
12 Ward St
Seattle, WA 98109-5615
(206) 551-3053

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Linda Stern [lindamstern@comcast.net]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 5:54 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Stern
6102 SE 22nd Ave
Portland, OR 97202-5364
(503) 232-5637

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sallie Shippen [sallie.shippen@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 5:24 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sallie Shippen
756 14th Way SW
Edmonds, WA 98020-6612

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Edith Cole [ediero@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 17, 2011 12:24 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 17, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Edith Cole
18419 Bucoda Hwy SE Trlr 8
Tenino, WA 98589-9547

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Phillip Collins [yeah104@juno.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 10:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 17, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Phillip Collins
2332 N 128th St
Seattle, WA 98133-7850

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jessica Butler [jbscorpio@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 9:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jessica Butler
31 Gillis Ave
Sequim, WA 98382-3641

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of David Grant [d2avid@charter.net]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 8:50 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. David Grant
211 Stanford Ave
Medford, OR 97504-9734
(541) 261-1727

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kellie Federico [keldawg8@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 7:50 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kellie Federico
2459 Crestview Dr S
Salem, OR 97302-5804

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kerry Canfield [kerry.s.canfield@co.multnomah.or.us]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:31 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who has lived in the Pacific Northwest all my life and loves the national parks of our region, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution. Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to ultimately do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kerry Canfield
4915 SE 45th Ave
Portland, OR 97206-5034

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Anthony Buch [maritoni_buch@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 7:19 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Anthony Buch
6179 NE Radford Dr
Seattle, WA 98115-7985
(360) 672-0209

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Marguerite Winkel [pegartista@earthlink.net]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 7:19 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marguerite Winkel
2012 W 3rd Ave
Spokane, WA 99201-5465

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Leonor Robles [leonorrobles@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 7:19 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Leonor Robles
1221 Taylor Ave N Apt 504
Seattle, WA 98109-3307

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kristen Busold [xero390@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 12:23 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kristen Busold
3720 SE 54th Ave
Portland, OR 97206-2922

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Marjorie Curci [rainbowbend@olypen.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 12:23 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marjorie Curci
PO Box 502
Beaver, WA 98305-0502

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Hal Enerson [ensn@lycos.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 11:22 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Hal Enerson
PO Box 1375
Port Angeles, WA 98362-0255
(360) 457-3605

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Katherine Dontigny [kathidontigny@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 11:22 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Katherine Dontigny
28605 SW Herd Ln
Hillsboro, OR 97123-9459

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Robert Clark [shirleyandbob@comcast.net]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 11:22 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Robert Clark
PO Box 1864
Snoqualmie, WA 98065-1864
(425) 396-7867

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kyle Waller [tarnsilverwolf@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 1:54 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kyle Waller
12021 140th St Ct E
Puyallup, WA 98374-3594
(253) 770-0860

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Michael Golden [mrfire22@q.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 12:53 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Golden
PO Box 702
Corvallis, OR 97339-0702

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Collin Summers [collin.summers@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 11:45 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, This form letter showed up in my e-mail - and I'd like to say thank you for what you do (un-like the letter I received).

These people, obviously, do not understand we need to create power, use power to exist ourselves.

Last, I support keeping our power cost as low as possible - and hope other people, that don't like power generation, would go live in the woods without power - water - normal conveniences - long enough to realize how wonderful having them are.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Collin Summers
PO Box 1493
Merlin, OR 97532-1493

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jenny Boulton [boulton_jenny@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 12:53 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Miss Jenny Boulton
505 SE 18th Ln
Gresham, OR 97080-7249

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Alixine Sasonoff [alixine@msn.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 12:23 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Alixine Sasonoff
3701 SW Three Tree Point Ln
Burien, WA 98166-3137
(206) 242-1074

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Earlene Benefield [earleneb@comcast.net]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 10:52 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Earlene Benefield
11043 108th Pl NE
Kirkland, WA 98033-4459
(425) 827-3257

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Susan Rhodes [srhodes@ltwire.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 10:52 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Rhodes
4326 SW Southern St
Seattle, WA 98136-2253

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Adam Roske [roske95@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 10:52 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Adam Roske
80717 Sears Rd
Cottage Grove, OR 97424-9524

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Donna Souza-Postles [llpndsp@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 10:52 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Donna Souza-Postles
PO Box 324
Dillard, OR 97432-0324

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lenore Bussing [norchabus@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 10:22 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lenore Bussing
2645 State Route 153
Twisp, WA 98856-9747

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of O'Neill Louchard [oneill@olympus.net]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 4:54 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. O'Neill Louchard
PO Box 1628
Port Townsend, WA 98368-0119

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Joel Kay [jjkof1@msn.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 4:54 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Joel Kay
10707 SE Stanley Ave
Portland, OR 97222-4362
(503) 654-5567

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Pat Matheny-White [patandsid@comcast.net]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 3:54 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Pat Matheny-White
3568a Simmons Mill Ct SW
Tumwater, WA 98512-7806

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Diane Bolte-Silverman [boltesilverman@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 10:54 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

We live in Oregon and want our air to be as clean as possible.
TransAlta needs to immediately clean up its' emissions for the benefit of all of us.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Diane Bolte-Silverman
2555 Central Blvd
Eugene, OR 97403-2803
(641) 472-7145

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Erna Gilbertson [naturesimages541@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 3:53 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Erna Gilbertson
2855 Ferry St
Eugene, OR 97405-3633

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Michael Murphy [rosevale@mindspring.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 3:23 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Murphy
150 NE 95th St Apt 411
Seattle, WA 98115-2038
(206) 729-1568

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Martin Bring [mlbring@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 2:23 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Martin Bring
3730 Canterbury Ln Apt 57
Bellingham, WA 98225-1183
(360) 671-1181

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Julie Rodgers [d98028@netscape.net]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 10:22 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Julie Rodgers
7406 NE 145th Pl
Kenmore, WA 98028-4923
(425) 821-0368

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Carolyn Marshall [scrapadoo11@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 2:44 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carolyn Marshall
7850 80th Pl SE
Mercer Island, WA 98040-5906

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Paul Daly [kerwickdaly@comcast.net]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 2:44 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Daly
366 Foxtail Dr
Eugene, OR 97405-4030

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Susi Hulbert [susih1313@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 2:44 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susi Hulbert
530 Hillcrest Dr
Longview, WA 98632-5746
(360) 423-1313

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Laura Stice [labrooke03@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 2:44 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laura Stice
1377 Arthur St
Eugene, OR 97402-3511

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Marcia Pauley [magnolian@msn.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 2:44 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marcia Pauley
10543 Central Valley Rd NW
Poulsbo, WA 98370-8196
(360) 698-1466

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jerry Melton [melton0010@comcast.net]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 2:44 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jerry Melton
1129 NW Harrison Blvd
Corvallis, OR 97330-6025

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Shelley Dahlgren [shelley@dahlgren.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 10:08 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

TransAlta will drag their feet as long as they can.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Shelley Dahlgren
4449 242nd Ave SE
Issaquah, WA 98029-7520

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Barbara Wallesz [wallesz@comcast.net]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 10:06 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Wallesz
4915 Samish Way Unit 79
Bellingham, WA 98229-8952
(360) 220-5655

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Heidi Brewer [hmbwolf@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 9:37 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Heidi Brewer
PO Box 176
Brightwood, OR 97011-0176

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Elizabeth Archodominion [elight5@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 9:06 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Elizabeth Archodominion
13315 SE 57th St
Bellevue, WA 98006-4105

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Gabriel Golez [gabigolez@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 8:36 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gabriel Golez
1831 SW Park Ave
Portland, OR 97201-3258

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Cheryl Bentley [cbentley@cablespeed.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 8:05 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cheryl Bentley
4794 Bell St
Port Townsend, WA 98368-1914
(360) 379-0953

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Chris Moser [cmoser@coas.oregonstate.edu]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 4:04 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Chris Moser
970 NW Highland Terrace Ave
Corvallis, OR 97330-9705

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mariska Voronovszky [m.voronovszky@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 2:46 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mariska Voronovszky
4806 NE 316th Ct
Camas, WA 98607-9656

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Michael Davis [svargonauta.mwd@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 2:45 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Davis
2442 NW Market St # 785
Seattle, WA 98107-4137
(206) 491-9787

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of John Seeburger [chakrawind@msn.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 2:45 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Seeburger
8 Lakeside Club SW
Tacoma, WA 98407-5555

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of William Conger [congewe@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 2:45 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. William Conger
4247 Sharpe Rd
Anacortes, WA 98221-8584

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ardis Lunn [ardislunn@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 2:38 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As a mother, a grandmother, and someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution. Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ardis Lunn
804 SW Dalmation St
Sublimity, OR 97385-9776

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ann Cordero [corderoa@teleport.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 10:04 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. As a frequent visitor to Mount Rainier I would be appreciative of your efforts.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ann Cordero
2814 Lilac St
Longview, WA 98632-3529
(360) 577-8626

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of L P [lpaap@alumnae.mills.edu]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 2:44 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. L P
21078 Thomas Dr
Bend, OR 97702-2455

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Craig Smith [craig_liz@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 5:15 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Craig Smith
4130 SW 117th Ave
Pmb274
Beaverton, OR 97005-5606

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Craig Garcia [craigg@portfridayharbor.org]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 4:45 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Craig Garcia
2343 San Juan Valley Rd
Friday Harbor, WA 98250-8240

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Tollie Bohl [tollieb@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 4:45 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Tollie Bohl
1310 A St
Hood River, OR 97031-1632

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Donald Dimock [dond@minetfiber.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:00 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Donald Dimock
543 Clay St E Unit 5
Monmouth, OR 97361-2338

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of A Stanger [astanger@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:00 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. A Stanger
4323 Sunset Dr
Lake Oswego, OR 97035-4323
(503) 636-2833

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Chris Boernke [chris@bellysbotanicals.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:00 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Chris Boernke
Nelson Road
Deming, WA 98244

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Samsara Sorrells [samsaras1111@wildmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:58 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Samsara Sorrells
227 NW 11th St Apt 2
Corvallis, OR 97330-6049

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Belinda Hanley [bhanley@fgsd.k12.or.us]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:58 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Belinda Hanley
14316 NW Lyda Dr
Gales Creek, OR 97117-9368

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Laura Broyhill [laura@rainrock.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:58 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laura Broyhill
10123 NE 124th Pl
Kirkland, WA 98034-2806

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Todd Hildebrandt [hildegan@earthlink.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 9:10 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

It is critical that reductions in pollution are stringent and enforced with the full force of the law. This is a public health issue and must not be allowed to become a delay- bait and switch scam to allow power plants to maximize profit at the expense of our lungs and our children's health.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Todd Hildebrandt
PO Box 189
Elmira, OR 97437-0189
(541) 935-1121

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Philip Brunner [pdbrunner@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:58 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Philip Brunner
1920 N Schofield St
Portland, OR 97217-6663

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Margaret Wilis [mawillis2@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:58 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Margaret Wilis
4103 SW 48th Pl
Portland, OR 97221-3737
(503) 297-5239

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mark Mullbock [markbock@mac.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:58 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Mullbock
1001 NW Lovejoy St Unit 1301
Portland, OR 97209-3572
(503) 805-9154

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Glen Carroll [cello5521@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:58 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Glen Carroll
6455 S 127th Pl
Seattle, WA 98178-3634
(206) 772-9269

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of John Trefethen [pegasus2@q.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:58 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Trefethen
17115 Trefethen Ln SE
Jefferson, OR 97352-9430

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Galen Hansen [galen1943@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:58 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Galen Hansen
2420 76th Avenue Ct NW
Gig Harbor, WA 98335-7455

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Bob Welsh [bob@mind-over-media.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:57 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bob Welsh
2183 Juneau Ct S
Salem, OR 97302-2221

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Stephen Graham [sngraham@centurytel.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:57 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stephen Graham
11525 SW 212th Pl
Vashon, WA 98070-6401
(206) 463-9579

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of White Bear [whitebear2171@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:57 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. White Bear
PO Box 69533
Seattle, WA 98168-9533

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ellen Dorfman [ejdorfman@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:57 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ellen Dorfman
1823 Orange St SE
Olympia, WA 98501-3055

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jean Lanz [lanzjb@earthlink.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 8:44 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jean Lanz
1600 30th Ave
Seattle, WA 98122-3216

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of David Obenchain [gardenjam@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:57 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Obenchain
PO Box 439
Bremerton, WA 98337-0107

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mary Davis [cysliders@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:57 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Mary Davis
8049 SE Ogden St
Portland, OR 97206-7856

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mary Emmons [memmons@mcdougallfruit.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:57 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Mary Emmons
PO Box 86
Leavenworth, WA 98826-0086

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Simon Skiles [uneairkagh@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:57 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Simon Skiles
PO Box 150
Rickreall, OR 97371-0150

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Chase Hunter [chpythonic@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:57 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Chase Hunter
18380 NW Cornell Rd Apt C
Beaverton, OR 97006-7448
(503) 746-7301

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Dale Birdsell [dbirdsell@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:57 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Dale Birdsell
19701 126th Ave NE
Bothell, WA 98011-7624
(425) 949-7753

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of David Luxem [dave.luxem@zones.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:54 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Luxem
1903 SW Hillcrest Rd
Burien, WA 98166-3321

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Delia Gerhard [djgerhard@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:54 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Delia Gerhard
117 NW 80th St
Seattle, WA 98117-3038
(206) 789-3690

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mike Conlan [mickconlan@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:54 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Mike Conlan
6421 139th Pl NE Apt 52
Redmond, WA 98052-4588

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Stephen Matera [stephen@materaphoto.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:54 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stephen Matera
943 NW 63rd St
Seattle, WA 98107-2215
(206) 715-4572

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Rodney & Kathryn Woodman [rwoody20042000@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:05 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

Please make sure we in Washington State do not have to put up with the current air polution from the TransAlta site in Centralia. They as well as other industries are making the air we breath unhealthy.

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rodney & Kathryn Woodman
50 E Nikki Ln
Belfair, WA 98528-8598

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jeanne Wahler [jeannewahler@msn.com]
Sent: Sunday, November 13, 2011 5:23 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 13, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jeanne Wahler
15222 Deception Rd
Anacortes, WA 98221-8210
(360) 293-9419

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Keith Harlow [harlowk@me.com]
Sent: Saturday, November 12, 2011 11:29 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 12, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Keith Harlow
6005 5th Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98107-2120
(206) 784-8759

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Joanne Berdeen [jberdeen@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:00 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Joanne Berdeen
485 Fairview St Apt A
Ashland, OR 97520-2979

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Karen Hedwig Backman [madmaker13@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:00 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen Hedwig Backman
31010 18th Ave S Apt 4
Federal Way, WA 98003-4934

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sandra L. Herndon [herndon@ithaca.edu]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:00 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sandra L. Herndon
449 E Pointes Dr E
Shelton, WA 98584-8850

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Maureen Buckley [mbuckley50@mac.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:00 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Maureen Buckley
67 Bayview Drive
Point Roberts, WA 98281

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Dan Sherwood [dan@dansherwood.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:03 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dan Sherwood
1719 SE 35th Ave
Portland, OR 97214-5038

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Emily Day [emilyday@dancingcolors.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:03 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Emily Day
PO Box 61
5180 Nighthawk
Langley, WA 98260-0061
(360) 221-5989

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mark Wheeler [mark@rootsrealty.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:03 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Wheeler
628 SE 58th Ave
Portland, OR 97215-1826
(503) 819-5336

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Clyde Roark [croark@charter.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:28 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Clyde Roark
6235 NE Evergreen Ln
Newport, OR 97365-1261
(541) 574-0896

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Rodney & Kathryn Woodman [rwoody20042000@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:05 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

Please make sure we in Washington State do not have to put up with the current air polution from the TransAlta site in Centralia. They as well as other industries are making the air we breath unhealthy.

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rodney & Kathryn Woodman
50 E Nikki Ln
Belfair, WA 98528-8598

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Johnny Mims [johnny_mims@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:28 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Johnny Mims
1430 154th Ave NE
Apt 4507
Bellevue, WA 98007-4427
(425) 644-1113

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ransom D Stone [avambler@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:20 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ransom D Stone
415 SE 177th Ave Unit 210
Vancouver, WA 98683-4202
(360) 896-8490

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kathleen Halberg [halbergk@csulb.edu]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:20 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Kathleen Halberg
2055 Monterey Ln
Eugene, OR 97401-7203

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Annie Wei [travel_pet2@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:20 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Annie Wei
Papatoetoe 20250
Auckland, WA 48700

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Roy Adsit [royadsit@netscape.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:20 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Roy Adsit
PO Box 6494
Portland, OR 97228-6494
(503) 555-1234

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Casey Schnaible [toru_kun_1@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:15 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Miss Casey Schnaible
2330 College Way
Medford, OR 97504

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jenny Goodnough [jenny@peak.org]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:11 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jenny Goodnough
1973 Pierce St
Eugene, OR 97405-1618

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of May Shimbo [myshimbo@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:09 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. May Shimbo
10218 40th Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98146-1128
(206) 937-3640

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Summer Kozisek [lazymoose2001@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:09 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Summer Kozisek
10210 215th Ave E
Bonney Lake, WA 98391-3761

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Amber Jurgensen [aj01x@jurgensenfamily.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:09 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Amber Jurgensen
16507 Tiger Mountain Rd SE
Issaquah, WA 98027-7309
(425) 392-1724

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Peg Hastings [jernhon2@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:57 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment and for making the right choice for all of us.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Peg Hastings
9175 Great Blue Heron Ln
Blaine, WA 98230-9330

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Diana Covington [ladyofthelake_9@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:09 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Diana Covington
719 S Orchard St
Tacoma, WA 98465-2526

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ann Clarkson [abcphdpdx@spiritone.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:05 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Ann Clarkson
5203 SE 385h
0
Portland, OR 97202-4205

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Niall Carroll [modusoperandi2@mac.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:05 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Niall Carroll
3991 Franklin Ave
Astoria, OR 97103-2439

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Billy Hooker [billy_hooker@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:01 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Billy Hooker
3403 Crystal Ridge Dr SE
Puyallup, WA 98372-5298
(253) 770-2455

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of K Goschen [kgoschen@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:59 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. K Goschen
401 Pond Ln
Sequim, WA 98382-8925

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of C Branson-Braud [carihb2001@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:56 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. C Branson-Braud
PO Box 5499
Vancouver, WA 98668-5499

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Terry Volkirch [terrynaut@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:56 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Terry Volkirch
5413 Village Park Dr SE
Apt 2835
Bellevue, WA 98006-5589

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Howard Steeley [hrsteeley@excite.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:53 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Howard Steeley
5309 Donnelly Dr SE
Olympia, WA 98501-5015
(360) 491-8261

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mara Price [pricemara@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:53 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Mara Price
10509 76th Dr NE
Marysville, WA 98270-7935
(360) 722-5752

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Clyde C Williams [ccw2crl@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:53 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Clyde C Williams
7672 SE Roots Rd
Portland, OR 97267-4307
(503) 314-9558

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Yovonne Autrey-Schell [sulien_1@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:57 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who lives up here in Washington state, not too far from Centralia, and someone who also loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution. Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Yovonne Autrey-Schell
360 Duck Lake Dr NE
Ocean Shores, WA 98569-9452
(206) 459-1954

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Eric Hirst [erichirst@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:49 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Eric Hirst
1932 Rhododendron Way
Bellingham, WA 98229-4559

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Amanda Sloane [amandaevesloane@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:47 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Amanda Sloane
1731 SW Market St
Portland, OR 97201-6013

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Robert Brown [larkbrown@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:45 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Brown
1443 Edwards Ave
Fircrest, WA 98466-6640
(253) 302-4480

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of William Rizer [rizerwd@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:45 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. William Rizer
9775 Highway 47
Carlton, OR 97111-9521
503852

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Phil Pennock [obiecat@earthlink.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:39 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Phil Pennock
7520 25th Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98117-4418

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Melinda Morse [turbogoose@wavecable.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:39 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Melinda Morse
PO Box 772
Port Orchard, WA 98366-0772

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Michelle Pavcovich [ladiabla333@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:34 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Michelle Pavcovich
11351 20th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98125-6553

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Michelle Pavcovich [ladiabla333@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:34 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Michelle Pavcovich
11351 20th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98125-6553

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Steven Wright [dodgerwa@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:34 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steven Wright
1605 5th St NE
Auburn, WA 98002-4627
(253) 333-1374

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Clint Orchuk [pacificage@me.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:34 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Clint Orchuk
1000 Morton Way
Jacksonville, OR 97530-9803

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Philip Randall [phil@tiedyed.us]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:39 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.
My son Alex lives in Seattle. He has asthma, Air pollution from Centralia threatens his life. The time to clean up Washington's air is now-not in some distant future !!!

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Philip Randall
27 NW Mueller Ave
Bend, OR 97701-2525
(541) 388-1793

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Shirley Konizeski [aumbre@earthlink.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:30 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Shirley Konizeski
1314 191st Dr SE
Snohomish, WA 98290-9527

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Robert Yde [robertyde@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:30 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Yde
2645 NW Ginseng Pl
Corvallis, OR 97330-3312
(254) 228-5465

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of David Rousseau [daver@clarkston.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:30 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Rousseau
2027 13th St
Clarkston, WA 99403-3101
(509) 758-8254

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jennifer Titilah [jftitilah@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:30 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jennifer Titilah
36703 SE Gravenstein Ct
Snoqualmie, WA 98065-8948

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Philip Chanen [pchane@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:28 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Philip Chanen
2573 Shoreland Dr S
Seattle, WA 98144-5632
(206) 721-0422

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Bob Krigbaum [bckrigbaum@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:28 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bob Krigbaum
14115 80th St SE
Snohomish, WA 98290-9088
(360) 568-3884

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Teri Breitenbach [tbreit1982@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:28 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Teri Breitenbach
832 291st Ave NE
Carnation, WA 98014-9603

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Michael Cowsert [amy.mike@wavecable.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:24 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Cowsert
5039 Country Club Way SE
Port Orchard, WA 98367-9034
(360) 769-9588

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sue Moon [sueamoon@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:23 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sue Moon
1300 Lake Washington Blvd S
Seattle, WA 98144-4018
(206) 322-2177

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lisa Leon-Guerrero [lisaleonguerrero@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:23 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lisa Leon-Guerrero
4408 Delridge Way SW Apt 402
Seattle, WA 98106-1347

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Robert Hughes [bob.maryhughes@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:39 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

Please limit emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025. Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Robert Hughes
2895 SE Glenn St
Corvallis, OR 97333-2169

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of William Wanda Franklin [frankwj@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:23 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. William Wanda Franklin
8701 E Mill Plain Blvd
Vancouver, WA 98664-2569

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ineke Deruyter [ideruyter@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:19 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ineke Deruyter
9322 N Oswego Ave
Portland, OR 97203-2339

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of John Deitch [john_deitch@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:17 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Deitch
2006 Highway 101
Pmb 238
Florence, OR 97439-9723

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lloyd Hedger [lloydmhedger@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:17 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lloyd Hedger
224 N G St Apt 405
Tacoma, WA 98403-2281
(253) 274-0937

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Donna Doty [rainlayde@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:17 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Donna Doty
16638 35th Ave S
Seatac, WA 98188-3139

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kevin Schmidt [kevin@kevinschmidt.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:17 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kevin Schmidt
5186 New Sweden Rd NE
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110-3119

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Anne Cross [annecross62@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:17 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Anne Cross
510 Flower Meadows St
Port Orchard, WA 98366-1981

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Celia Milota [pixydust007@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:12 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Celia Milota
11413 NE 91st St
Kirkland, WA 98033-5733

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jerry Liebermann [jerry.liebermann@alum.mit.edu]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:12 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jerry Liebermann
1214 16th Ave E
Seattle, WA 98112-3313

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Dean Webb [dm_webb@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:12 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Dean Webb
4522 36th Ave W
Seattle, WA 98199-1154
(206) 285-3672

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mark Bir [mbiropm@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:27 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

Yet another example of a corporation getting a free hand out. 14 years to comply to clear air. Give me a break- how many people will die in that time because of this.

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Bir
4818 Terrace Dr NE
Seattle, WA 98105-3924

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Goldie Silverman [goldie.silverman@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:12 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Goldie Silverman
3718 48th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98105-5250
(206) 524-5309

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jane Alberts [mistygreens@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:08 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jane Alberts
12205 SE 54th St
Bellevue, WA 98006-2814
(425) 643-3454

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Laura Hanks [laura.hanks@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:08 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laura Hanks
7634 SE Alder St
Portland, OR 97215-2312
(503) 257-2312

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Bill Ostrom [bostrom90@charter.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:08 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bill Ostrom
24 N Wahanna Rd Apt 3
Seaside, OR 97138-4615
(503) 717-5431

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Charlie Graham [cgraham@teleport.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:08 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charlie Graham
695 NE 4th Ave
Hillsboro, OR 97124-2330

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Donald Engel [dwengel10@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:05 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Donald Engel
530 Terry St
Sunnyside, WA 98944-1941
(509) 837-2761

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Barbara Whitney [gypsyshouse@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:05 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Whitney
14701 Dayton Ave N Apt 114
Shoreline, WA 98133-6497

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Bryan Gilbert [buttontrader@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:01 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bryan Gilbert
1377 Skye Pkwy
West Linn, OR 97068-1844

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Wendy Bartlett [wendyvw74@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 2:01 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Miss Wendy Bartlett
255 N Forest St Apt 116
Bellingham, WA 98225-5828

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kathleen Randall [kathleen.randall@overlakehospital.org]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:59 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathleen Randall
4315 7th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98105-4701
(245) 241-5227

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Gay Kramer-Dodd [dodd7720@comcast.net]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 2:34 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 16, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

I'm a native of the Pacific Northwest, and our parks and our area are so important. When I was in college I worked at Mt. Rainier. Please control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution. Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gay Kramer-Dodd
372 Lodenquai Ln
Eugene, OR 97404-1605

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kathleen Burgett [kburgett@olympus.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:59 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline, ASAP!! so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathleen Burgett
1727 Umatilla Ave
Port Townsend, WA 98368-4831

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kathy Mckinney [kathy010148@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:56 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathy Mckinney
4223 SW Spratt Way Apt 174
Beaverton, OR 97007-8805
(503) 679-9814

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Maureen Oneal [momoneal77@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:56 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Maureen Oneal
9100 SW 80th Ave
Portland, OR 97223-8981
(503) 293-1796

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of jan clark [jmclark2535@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:56 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. jan clark
box466
yelm
yelm, WA 98597
(360) 446-4406

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of D. Wood [talespinners@embarqmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:56 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. D. Wood
3238 NW Quay Dr
Lincoln City, OR 97367-4706

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Roger Sauer [loupgrisusa@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:56 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Roger Sauer
1188 106th Ave NE
Apt 425
Bellevue, WA 98004-8615
(206) 497-3518

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Helen Meeker [helenmeeker@centurytel.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:54 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Helen Meeker
11325 SW 220th St
Vashon, WA 98070-6421
(206) 463-9773

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mary Pease [mhpease@earthlink.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:54 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Mary Pease
921 11th Ave E Apt 5
Seattle, WA 98102-4549
(206) 322-1545

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jan Macwilliams [janmacwill@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:49 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jan Macwilliams
2007 NE 65th Ave
Portland, OR 97213-4812

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Joy Shain [joy_shain@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:49 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Joy Shain
2042 E 17th Ave
Eugene, OR 97403-2017

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Allan Slipher [allanslipher@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:49 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Allan Slipher
10 Harborview Dr Unit 10
Port Townsend, WA 98368-9550
(360) 379-9972

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lynn Zachow [lynn@zachow.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:42 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

I am someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest-Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and the Olympics-therefore I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution so that our parks and communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lynn Zachow
3357 S 290th St
Auburn, WA 98001-1407
(253) 839-8060

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Charles Fornia [cmfornia@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:49 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Fornia
12220 E Gibson Rd Apt 3
Everett, WA 98204-8698

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Leslie Geller [leslie_geller@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:46 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Leslie Geller
15102 SE 43rd St
Bellevue, WA 98006-2412
(425) 649-9742

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Helga Riehlein [her@olypen.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:46 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Helga Riehlein
PO Box Box5
Carlsborg, WA 98324-0005

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Loius Chadderton [legendrav@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:46 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Loius Chadderton
106 Johnson Ln
Kelso, WA 98626-9511
(360) 232-3133

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Bevin Lucker [lucker.b@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:43 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Bevin Lucker
4620 3rd Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98107-4405
(206) 285-5783

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of ANA VOLLMANN [anavita@ywave.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:43 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. ANA VOLLMANN
15530 Runyon Rd SE
Rainier, WA 98576-9715

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Alison Quinn [qalison@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:43 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Alison Quinn
4715 Whitman Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103-6650

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Scott Martin [scottdouglasmartin@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:41 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Scott Martin
2611 Eastlake Ave E Apt 102
Seattle, WA 98102-3280

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Brian Reymore [brian.reymore@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:41 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian Reymore
21972 Floral Ct NE
Aurora, OR 97002-9758

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Karen Kelly [kell970@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:41 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen Kelly
970 Rockaway Ln
Camano Island, WA 98282-8605

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Joseph and Diane Williams [dwilliams3880@aol.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:31 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

We expect that you will take s teps to control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close.

We also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution. Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, we urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, we support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joseph and Diane Williams
3880 Stikes Dr SE
Lacey, WA 98503-8207
(360) 491-4865

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Franklin Kapustka [fjkapustka2@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:39 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Franklin Kapustka
1539 SW 203rd Ave
Aloha, OR 97006-2670
(503) 268-1073

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Rita Winn [rwinn@fidalgo.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:39 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rita Winn
2405 Austin Ln
Apt 112
Mount Vernon, WA 98273-5843

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of M Chessin [mpchessin@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:39 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. M Chessin
4115 Greenwood Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103-7017

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of William Sneiderwine [indexter46219@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:39 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. William Sneiderwine
14901 SE Sun Park Ct
Vancouver, WA 98683-8333
(360) 608-0444

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sondra Huber [ccolumbia@mac.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:39 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sondra Huber
480 NE 62nd Ave
Hillsboro, OR 97124-7358

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Patrick Aitchison [patrick.aitchison@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:36 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Patrick Aitchison
4200 SW 107th Ave Apt 3601
Beaverton, OR 97005-3168

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Pat Markus [gaits2go@teleport.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:36 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Pat Markus
82223 Hanna Rd
Dexter, OR 97431-9749

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Glenn Walter [glenn.walter@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:32 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Glenn Walter
620 5th Ave W
Apt 404
Seattle, WA 98119-4494

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kurtis Loren [kurtisprince@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:32 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kurtis Loren
17183 Carlson Ct
Lake Oswego, OR 97034-5802
(503) 635-4467

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Christina Spooner [coolbreeze78@ymail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:31 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Miss Christina Spooner
805 12th Ave SE
Apt 7
Albany, OR 97322-3291
(541) 928-0308

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Debra Feammelli [dfcommunicates@mac.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:31 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

I am writing to you as a hiker and photographer of Mt. Rainier and as a mother concerned about the possible effects of pollutants to children.

Between now and 2025 when the TransAlta coal plant in Centralia, Wash., is planned to be closed, I urge you to ensure its emissions will be limited as much as possible, starting right now.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that the Department of Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. Each step in this process should have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Debra Feammelli
32144 33rd Ave SW
Federal Way, WA 98023-2275

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Heather Newton [hnewton@europa.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:31 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Heather Newton
3223 SE Yamhill St
Portland, OR 97214-4274
(000) 000-0000

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Susan Bakke [bandicoot@wingsspan.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:31 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Bakke
1214 Skyridge St SE
Lacey, WA 98503-1857
(360) 412-0220

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kristin Pearson-Franks [pearsonfr@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:27 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kristin Pearson-Franks
24001 SE 103rd St
Issaquah, WA 98027-8325

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of eva lester [godiam777@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:27 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. eva lester
PO Box 7530
Yelm, WA 98597-7530

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jon Noggle [panamadoves@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:23 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jon Noggle
10 Offshore Ct
Bellingham, WA 98229-7933
(360) 647-3875

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of bruce miller [bimbim14@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:19 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. bruce miller
801 E Lakeshore Dr E
Shelton, WA 98584-6905

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Darlene O'Grady [darlene@turtletraxx.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:19 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Darlene O'Grady
17340 136th Pl SE
Monroe, WA 98272-9764
(360) 794-3452

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Linda Wallon [lcwrtrct@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:16 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Wallon
7249 Lake Alice Road SE
Fall City, WA 98024

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jean Toles [jeantoles@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:14 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jean Toles
7214 N Mohawk Ave Apt 1
Portland, OR 97203-3800

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Evan Purcell [evanprcl@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:14 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Evan Purcell
2430 E Marrowstone Rd
Nordland, WA 98358-9523
(425) 458-8888

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jonathan Netherton [john.neth33624@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:05 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, Washington as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution. Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jonathan Netherton
20145 NE Sandy Blvd
Fairview, OR 97024-9787

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jason Zullo [zullo100@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:14 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jason Zullo
317 Harvard Ave E
Seattle, WA 98102-6022

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mary Carter [buffalo517@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:10 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Carter
5085 Bald Eagle Ln SW
Olympia, WA 98512-8031

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Barbara N [beenicholas@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:08 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Barbara N
590 NW Sonora Dr
Bend, OR 97701-1145

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Charlotte Stahl [grandmacharlottes@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:08 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Charlotte Stahl
1167 NW Wallula Ave Apt 372
Gresham, OR 97030-3675

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Avram Novick [avram97520@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:05 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Avram Novick
532 Scenic Dr
Ashland, OR 97520-1641
(541) 552-0873

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Christopher Pond [cpondx@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:03 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Christopher Pond
22129 N Umpqua Hwy
Glide, OR 97443-9742
(541) 537-1768

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Martin Barney [m.e.barney@att.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 1:01 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Martin Barney
1817 Creekside Pl
Anacortes, WA 98221-2469
(360) 588-0750

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Derek Neis [ushistorycrazyman@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:56 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Derek Neis
943 NW 8th Avenue Dr
Hillsboro, OR 97124-2237

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jeff Davis [jadpinecone@wavecable.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:56 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jeff Davis
2781 Maple Tree Ln
Camano Island, WA 98282-8211

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jeff Walton [jeff.walton@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:56 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jeff Walton
19955 Poplar St
Bend, OR 97702-9114

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Marcus Lanskey [mlanskey@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:49 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As a native of Oregon and National Parks supporter, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution. Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Marcus Lanskey
3867 Potter St
Eugene, OR 97405-4516

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Clay Simon [clays@gorge.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:50 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Clay Simon
1790 State Rd
Mosier, OR 97040-9778
(541) 478-2000

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Wesley Banks [vancdanbanks@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:50 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Wesley Banks
PO Box 73
Vancouver, WA 98666-0073
(111) 111-1111

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mary Sutherland [62marianne@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:50 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Mary Sutherland
3802 246th Street Ct E
Spanaway, WA 98387-7011

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Margaret Linn [mlinnvoysing@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:50 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Margaret Linn
10455 SW 87th Ave
Tigard, OR 97223-6605

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Caroline Sumpter [c.d.artsmiths@earthlink.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:47 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Caroline Sumpter
26814 3rd Ave NE
Arlington, WA 98223-8068

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Dan Schneider [danny83@q.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:47 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dan Schneider
814 NE 84th St
Seattle, WA 98115-4217

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Deb Cruz [dwcruz@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:43 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Deb Cruz
1454 Willeys Lake Rd
Ferndale, WA 98248-9774
(360) 392-8552

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Elizabeth Waldron [ralphwaldron@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:43 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Elizabeth Waldron
2610 NW Glenwood Dr
Corvallis, OR 97330-3134
(541) 753-2207

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Stefani Seiber [snowlin87@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:43 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Stefani Seiber
8821 52nd Street Ct W
University Place, WA 98467-1761

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Gail Boness [gboness@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:41 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gail Boness
912 Water St
Springfield, OR 97477-3775

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Alice Evans [arbevans@earthlink.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:36 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

I am 72, and I had childhood asthma. For the children who are affected today, and for my own great desire to experience cleaner air before I die, I urge you to take steps that result in the cleanest air possible as soon as possible. We the people deserve it.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Alice Evans
2455 127th Ave NE
Bellevue, WA 98005-1535
(425) 885-3562

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Charlene Mclaughlin [charadfly@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:41 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Charlene Mclaughlin
1404 Kope Rd
Bellingham, WA 98226-9246

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Susan Brown [suebrown4@mac.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:41 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Brown
121 Arbor Dr
Eugene, OR 97404-3263
(541) 607-1078

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Virgene Link [linkerwan@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:41 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Virgene Link
PO Box 543
Anacortes, WA 98221-0543
(360) 293-0950

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Diana Halse [dlhalse@charter.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:41 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Diana Halse
PO Box 1431
Port Orford, OR 97465-1431

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Michael Pena [penamw@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:37 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Pena
13802 60th Ave W
Edmonds, WA 98026-3306

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Bonny Mccormick [bonny1220@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:37 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Bonny Mccormick
7300 NE Van Mall Drive
D42
Vancouver, WA 98662

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Steven Hartholz [sbhartholz@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:37 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steven Hartholz
615 12th Ave E Apt 303
Seattle, WA 98102-5145
(206) 324-7197

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Tim Mcguire [tmmcguire@earthlink.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:34 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tim Mcguire
4444 44th Ave SW Apt 106
Seattle, WA 98116-4146
(206) 438-3175

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Angela Fendley [angelafendley@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:31 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Angela Fendley
1625 NW Forestgreen Ave Apt 16
Corvallis, OR 97330-1371

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Eric Fosburgh [ericfosburgh@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:31 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Eric Fosburgh
1415 E Republican St Apt 203
Seattle, WA 98112-4551

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Deborah Mehal [mehald@cwu.edu]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:24 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions. Please help us see that the children born today have cleaner air to breathe now! We must do our best for those who can't speak for themselves yet. Tomorrow is not soon enough for the infants who are learning to climb and hike our forests today.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air. Watch dogs should be assigned to make the safest environment readily available in the most timely manner.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Deborah Mehal
1710 N Iowa St
Ellensburg, WA 98926-2585

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Margaret Hashmi [sakibaytu@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:31 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Margaret Hashmi
3704 Tree Farm Ln
Bellingham, WA 98226-1718
(960) 752-0075

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Carolyn Church [carochurch@centurytel.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:31 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carolyn Church
10703 Cramer Road
Kpn
Gig Harbor, WA 98329

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Robert and Dolores Scheelen [scheelen@earthlink.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:26 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert and Dolores Scheelen
123 Sunrise Ave
Medford, OR 97504-7022

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Gary Dirks [gdirks2972@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:26 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gary Dirks
6473 E Hilldale Rd
Port Orchard, WA 98366-8025

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jack Shively [jalesh@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:24 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jack Shively
750 SE Meadowood Dr
Winston, OR 97496-8552
(541) 679-2918

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Marietta Corrales [mariettacorrales@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:23 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marietta Corrales
6006 21st Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98106-1418

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of John Tangney [jctangney@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:23 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Tangney
15614 SE Dream Weaver Dr
Happy Valley, OR 97086-4232

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of L.Susan Griffiths [lsg1649@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:23 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. L.Susan Griffiths
8293 SW 167th Pl
Beaverton, OR 97007-6522

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Teri Travis [teritravis@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:23 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Teri Travis
9736 Sand Pt Way NE
Seattle, WA 98115-2651
(206) 947-7878

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Patricia Hinneburg [cactuswash@earthlink.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:19 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Patricia Hinneburg
PO Box 637
Carnation, WA 98014-0637
(425) 333-6345

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Gloria Monroe [gmonroe1@q.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:16 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

Dear Dept. of Ecology,
As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Gloria Monroe
180 Crume Dr
Grants Pass, OR 97526-7768
(541) 956-5814

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Pauline Black [pbandej@opendoor.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:19 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Pauline Black
974 Pinecrest Ter
Ashland, OR 97520-3479

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mike Macdougall [mmacdougall2@excite.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:15 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mike Macdougall
10105 N Parkside Dr
Nine Mile Fls, WA 99026-9269
(509) 464-2537

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Glen Duncan [duncag@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:13 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Glen Duncan
6529 Latona Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-6423
(206) 218-8712

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Glen Duncan [duncag@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:13 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Glen Duncan
6529 Latona Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-6423
(206) 218-8712

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Debra Harrison [annie_harrison@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:13 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Debra Harrison
1218 Devon Loop NE
Olympia, WA 98506-6414

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lete Davis [leted@europa.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:05 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lete Davis
21843 SW Sherwood Blvd Apt 203
Sherwood, OR 97140-9367

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Joe Brazie [jbrazie@jps.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:05 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joe Brazie
PO Box 669
Cottage Grove, OR 97424-0029
(541) 942-5068

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Karen Wible [kmwonthebeach@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:05 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen Wible
4210 NE 130th Cir
Vancouver, WA 98686-2673

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Diane Weinstein [diane_weinstein@msn.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:03 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Weinstein
24116 SE 45th Pl
Issaquah, WA 98029-7524
(425) 391-3909

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Carina Bolaños Lewen [composurecomposure@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:03 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Miss Carina Bolaños Lewen
2518 E Howell St
Seattle, WA 98122-3032
(206) 999-6712

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lindsey Wise [lindsey.a.k.wise@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:59 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As a former biological science technician and researcher at Mt. Rainier National Park, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution. Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lindsey Wise
3404 NE 73rd Ave
Portland, OR 97213-5826

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sheila Spencer [sheraspencer@earthlink.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:03 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sheila Spencer
2212 SW 4th St
Gresham, OR 97080-6416

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Christi Durden [cld420@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:00 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Christi Durden
150 Fulton St
Seattle, WA 98109-1722

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Edward Lisowski [lisowski@nwinfo.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:00 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Edward Lisowski
220 S 14th Ave
Yakima, WA 98902-3103
(509) 248-4590

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Thomas Hager [tomterrific3@juno.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 12:00 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thomas Hager
695 Summer Ln
White Salmon, WA 98672-7400
(509) 493-2445

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Carole Henry [xmas_carole@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:57 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carole Henry
6109 Seabeck Holly Rd NW
Seabeck, WA 98380-8866

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Eric Dolph [dolpheric@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:57 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Eric Dolph
2707 Brackett Ave
Yakima, WA 98902-4057
(509) 452-2396

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Shirley Luxem [saluxem@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Shirley Luxem
9500 315th Ave SE
Issaquah, WA 98027-8740

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Denee Scribner [deneec@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Denee Scribner
1113 E 2nd Ave
Ellensburg, WA 98926-3520

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of D. Stevens [mail_dave0305@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:49 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. D. Stevens
PO Box 1182
Gig Harbor, WA 98335-3182

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Chelsea Davis [chelsea.davis@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:46 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Chelsea Davis
4405 SE 35th Pl
Portland, OR 97202-3376

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jennie Tanzi [jtanzi79@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 6:18 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who has spent her whole life in the Northwest and loves the national parks of the here, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution. Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits.Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jennie Tanzi
409 SW 12th Ave Apt 403
Portland, OR 97205-2336

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Greg Willmarth [gwillmarth@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:19 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

I believe it is our sacred duty to protect the environment, wilderness, and wildlife for future generations. As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution. Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Greg Willmarth
17765 Hillside Dr
West Linn, OR 97068-1240

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Patricia Misner [phoebe@pacifier.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:43 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Patricia Misner
172 Ross Lane
Cannon Beach, OR 97110

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Stephen Anderson [hops@douglasfast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:43 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stephen Anderson
450 Edjon Ln
Oakland, OR 97462-9765

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Doris Blair [jeanieb4cats@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:40 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Doris Blair
20 E Annas Ct
Shelton, WA 98584-9089
(360) 426-3636

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Laura Ackerman [simahafarm@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:38 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laura Ackerman
3118 S Windsor Rd
Spokane, WA 99224-5043

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Marceline Gearry [marcelineg@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:38 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Marceline Gearry
2884 NW Savier St
Portland, OR 97210-2418

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jim Milstead [joakworm@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:38 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jim Milstead
1469 Oriental Ave
Bellingham, WA 98229-5033

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Carol Neil [carol_neil@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:36 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Carol Neil
PO Box 721
Camp Sherman, OR 97730-0721
(541) 595-2429

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Julie Collins [girl_paddler@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:32 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Julie Collins
3043 NW 65th St
Seattle, WA 98117-6212

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jerry Liszak [jliszak@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:30 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jerry Liszak
16663 SE 17th Pl
Bellevue, WA 98008-5124
(425) 644-0190

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Scott Crockett [smc320@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:30 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Scott Crockett
PO Box 810
Florence, OR 97439-0033

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Janet Marx [janetmarx_76@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:46 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

GIVE US AS CLEAN AIR AS POSSIBLE FOR THE NEXT 13 YEARS. YOU ARE SUPPOSE TO BE PROTECTING US NOT THE POLLUTERS!

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Janet Marx
112 Lockerbie Pl
Port Angeles, WA 98362-9296

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lorraine Hartmann [lorrainehartmann@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:30 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Lorraine Hartmann
10627 Durland Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98125-6943
(206) 367-1518

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kenneth Roth [kennethpaulroth@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:30 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kenneth Roth
PO Box 289
21001 Apache Pass Rd.
Wilbur, WA 99185-0289
(509) 378-4782

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Shary Bozied [shary50@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:30 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Shary Bozied
1950 Alaskan Way
Seattle, WA 98101-1075
(206) 856-6620

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Susan Kuhn [susan@kuhnmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:27 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Kuhn
2835se64th Avenue
Portland, OR 97206

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kathryn Schetzer [kschetzer@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:25 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathryn Schetzer
923 Liberty St
Bellingham, WA 98225-5632

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Tony Girolami [tonyggir@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tony Girolami
5516 105th Ave NE
Kirkland, WA 98033-7405
(425) 822-5658

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Timothy Bankson [trbankson@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Timothy Bankson
30 Miller Rd
Chelan, WA 98816-9502
(509) 470-1762

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Shane Daugherty [wilderfreesurf@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Shane Daugherty
87996 Bill Creek Ln
Bandon, OR 97411-9165

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ronlyn Osmond [ronlyn53@earthlink.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:18 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ronlyn Osmond
3648 Davie Lane
Langley, WA 98260

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kenneth Grieser [grieserkc@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:18 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kenneth Grieser
303 S 325th Ln
Federal Way, WA 98003-5752
(253) 874-4805

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Joseph Majchrowicz [daphotoman@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:07 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for taking your time on a very important issue for everyone living in Washington State.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joseph Majchrowicz
2281 Hawks Rd
Colville, WA 99114-9631

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sara King [sara.king@pobox.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:18 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sara King
6647 Montevista Dr SE
Auburn, WA 98092-8221
(253) 804-9798

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kenneth Grieser [grieserkc@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:18 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kenneth Grieser
303 S 325th Ln
Federal Way, WA 98003-5752
(253) 874-4805

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ian Shelley [ianjs@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:18 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ian Shelley
50 SW 97th Ave
Portland, OR 97225-6902

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Elisa Edgington [mrsedge24@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:18 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Elisa Edgington
5961 SE 15th Loop
Gresham, OR 97080-3908

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Penny Platt [bythesea8c@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:18 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Penny Platt
12954 Sunset Ln
Anacortes, WA 98221-8525

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Darius Mitchell [dariusmitchell@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:16 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Darius Mitchell
2727 W Manor Pl
Seattle, WA 98199-2073
(206) 301-0249

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lorraine Johnson [lorraine.d.johnson@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:13 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lorraine Johnson
4858 S Kenny St
Seattle, WA 98118-2851
(206) 722-8922

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Susan Barrett [soobyb@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:13 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Barrett
E Maplewood
Bellingham, WA 98225

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Rand Guthrie [magiktreez@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:13 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rand Guthrie
7102 77th Ave SE
Snohomish, WA 98290-5815
(360) 568-2665

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Rebecca Ferrell [rbcferrell9@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:09 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rebecca Ferrell
16405 SE 24th St
Bellevue, WA 98008-5432
(425) 641-7381

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Grace Neff [graceswallow@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:57 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Everyone deserves the cleanest air possible with a more timely deadline.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Grace Neff
800 28th Ave SE
Albany, OR 97322-4177

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Susan Onaclea [onacrona@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:09 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Onaclea
2150 Laura St Spc 42
Springfield, OR 97477-2187

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Heather Parker [mtnheather@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:06 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Heather Parker
16030 SE 322nd St
Auburn, WA 98092-5914

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Elaine Packard [espackard@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:06 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elaine Packard
222 31st Ave
Seattle, WA 98122-6316

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Barbara Moore [barbtmor@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:06 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Moore
3654 Lois Dr
Hood River, OR 97031-9727

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Janet Cooke [gonefishin1979@frontier.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:03 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Janet Cooke
2229 S Oak St
Kennewick, WA 99337-6111

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Victoria Hotson [campchaos03@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:03 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Victoria Hotson
527 Clark Rd
Bellingham, WA 98225-7844
(360) 527-2811

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Rachel Richmond [modine@lithiawater.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:01 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Rachel Richmond
1389 Mill Pond Rd
Ashland, OR 97520-7321

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Wendy Hernandez [wendy_lee@peoplepc.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:01 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Wendy Hernandez
57588 State Route 20 # 33
Rockport, WA 98283-9751
(360) 873-4090

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lori Ahouse [lori_ahouse@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:59 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lori Ahouse
4529 S Brandon St
Seattle, WA 98118-2342
(206) 760-5725

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Paul Borcherding [pb_palomine@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:59 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Borcherding
PO Box 543
La Grande, OR 97850-0543
(541) 962-6102

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of robert fisher [bfisher99@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:53 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

We all need clean air to survive being healthy. My father lived the last 12 years of his life so I know the effects of pulluted air to breathe.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. robert fisher
PO Box 3151
Bellingham, WA 98227-3151
(360) 325-8015

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mathew Metcalf [mathewmetcalf@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:57 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mathew Metcalf
Resident Of
Olympia, WA 98501

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Anne Roda [a.roda@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:57 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Anne Roda
8415 Island Dr S
Seattle, WA 98118-4731

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kenneth Johansson [sjoflygarn@mac.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:54 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kenneth Johansson
3012 Shawnee Dr NW
Gig Harbor, WA 98335-6018

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kathleen Wolfe [catlight45@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:54 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathleen Wolfe
28701 6th Pl S Apt 201
Des Moines, WA 98198-8274
(206) 947-6035

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Carla Williams [towerycarla@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:51 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Carla Williams
1490 Jason Lee Ave
Cottage Grove, OR 97424-1909

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Marjorie Worthington [maworth@skynetbb.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:48 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Marjorie Worthington
1947 Clovercrest St
Enumclaw, WA 98022-2332

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Madeline Smith [msmith28@uoregon.edu]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:46 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Madeline Smith
594 W 11th Ave
Eugene, OR 97401-3450
(541) 687-1610

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Penny Gates-Christianson [penpengc@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:43 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Penny Gates-Christianson
1214 32nd St NE
Auburn, WA 98002-2361
(253) 508-6747

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jimmie Davis [jimrdavis@charter.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:43 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jimmie Davis
14925 Hoerster Ln
Rogue River, OR 97537-4769

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of William P. Ostrander, Jr. [ostranderjr@peoplepc.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:43 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. William P. Ostrander, Jr.
1117 37th St
Bellingham, WA 98229-3131
(360) 734-4945

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Rosalie Sable [rsable@bjllp.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:38 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Clean air is important to every living thing on this planet.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rosalie Sable
7315 SW Beaverton Hillsdale Hwy
Apt 107
Portland, OR 97225-2068

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sally Gillette [sallyarlene@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:43 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sally Gillette
9655 SW North Dakota St
Portland, OR 97223-6574

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Richard Winsor [rickwinsor@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:43 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Winsor
18160 Cottonwood Rd
Pmb 500
Sunriver, OR 97707-9317
(541) 383-7138

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Chandra Holsten [chandra@jeffnet.org]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:41 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Chandra Holsten
1214 Munson Dr
Ashland, OR 97520-7303
(541) 840-8843

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kay Novak [novk@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:41 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kay Novak
4941 SW Hollyhock Cir
Corvallis, OR 97333-1773

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Crystal Hess [crystalannhess@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:41 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Crystal Hess
525 Garfield St
Medford, OR 97501-4030

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Michael Scholar [michaelscholar@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:41 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Scholar
545 Boyer Ave
Walla Walla, WA 99362-2010

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Arthur Laliberte [lalibaj@ymail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:37 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Arthur Laliberte
1114 W Holly St Apt 2
Bellingham, WA 98225-2939
(360) 671-5095

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Carrie Cole [dharmacarrie@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:35 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carrie Cole
31 NE 58th Ave
Portland, OR 97213-3801

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lisa Vandermay [aussipug@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:31 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lisa Vandermay
16203 SE 175th Pl
Renton, WA 98058-9101
(425) 226-3675

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Joseph Paraszewski [joaniejoegoldie@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:31 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joseph Paraszewski
1490 Park Ave
Eugene, OR 97404-3036
(541) 461-7843

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Brian Gregory [smilodon1@earthlink.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:58 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Clean air is needed now and not tomorrow!

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian Gregory
1124 Pennsylvania Ave
Bremerton, WA 98337-1143
(360) 377-8704

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Cassandra Browning [rechildes@netscape.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cassandra Browning
2170 Raynor St SE
Salem, OR 97302-2138

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ashley Phillis [acphillis@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ashley Phillis
650 NW Autumncreek Way
Apt N205
Beaverton, OR 97006-8752

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Eugene Kiver [froghollow@sisna.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Eugene Kiver
22206 S Frog Hollow Ln
Cheney, WA 99004-9796
(509) 235-6448

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Violet Sunderland [ndloop@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Violet Sunderland
1031 SW Hayter St
Apt 1
Dallas, OR 97338-2207

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Julie Stevens [jujubee@bctonline.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Julie Stevens
216298 S Jones Road
Beavercreek, OR 97004-7004
(503) 632-3882

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Bob Hilgenberg [bghilgenberg@juno.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:22 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bob Hilgenberg
358 Heather Rd
Everett, WA 98203-1919
(425) 258-9643

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Vivian Johnston [sbanktb@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:22 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Vivian Johnston
1683 S Bank Rd
Oakville, WA 98568-9738

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Vivian Johnston [sbanktb@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:22 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Vivian Johnston
1683 S Bank Rd
Oakville, WA 98568-9738

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Gina Ennis [ginaennis@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:22 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Gina Ennis
12515 200th Avenue Ct E
Bonney Lake, WA 98391-5424
(253) 750-4190

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Trina Cooper [trina.cooper@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:22 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Trina Cooper
2239 SW 331st St
Federal Way, WA 98023-2831
(713) 205-7070

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Charles Kennedy [cwfsiam@casco.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:46 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Kennedy
91575 W Fork Rd
Deadwood, OR 97430-9703
(541) 964-3012

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Greg Meyer [gregdskater@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:18 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Greg Meyer
1461 Iron St
Bellingham, WA 98225-4939

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Norman Baker [ntbakerphd@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:16 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Norman Baker
3789 Lost Mountain Rd
Sequim, WA 98382-7924
(360) 683-8046

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Trish Davis [msmoomoo@earthlink.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:11 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Trish Davis
2620 N Carr St
Tacoma, WA 98403-3020
(253) 272-2678

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Will Silva [wjsilva@post.harvard.edu]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:11 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Will Silva
7315 17th Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98117-5422
(206) 782-4736

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jackson Eisaman [jeisaman@spu.edu]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:09 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jackson Eisaman
11550 Stone Ave N Apt 205
Seattle, WA 98133-1514

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sharon Lee [tekashch@juno.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:06 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharon Lee
2277 NE Baron Ct
Bend, OR 97701-6606
(708) 679-2903

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jan Dunbrack [jdunbrack@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:06 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jan Dunbrack
8020 SE Carlton St
Portland, OR 97206-6325

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Dee Renee Ericks [ericks2726@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:06 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dee Renee Ericks
622 S Francis St
Port Angeles, WA 98362-6433

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Joanne Woodruff [jodywood@mind.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:02 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Joanne Woodruff
9682 Wagner Creek Rd
Talent, OR 97540-7802
(541) 535-3281

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Patricia Merrill [trish.merrill@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:02 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Patricia Merrill
2512 9th Ave W
Seattle, WA 98119-2523
(206) 282-0527

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Richard Curtis [dlc@myhome.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:43 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

We live close to the Transalta Plant and frequently visit Rainier NP and Mt. St. Helens NM. For both our personal health and that of our Parks we are asking you to control emissions from TransAlta's power plant outside Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution. Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Curtis
PO Box 451
Ethel, WA 98542-0451

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jan Thorne [janusthorne@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:02 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jan Thorne
406 S Coeur D' Alene Avenue, #E
Spokane, WA 99201-2358

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Brendan Lee [walksalone18@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:01 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brendan Lee
PO Box 315
Marylhurst, OR 97036-0315

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Carol Horner [chorner99@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:53 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Horner
2235 Leisure Ln SE
Lacey, WA 98503-3111
(360) 438-5230

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Cameron Ellsworth [cameronellsworth@operamail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:53 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Cameron Ellsworth
PO Box 122
Ridgefield, WA 98642-0122
(360) 977-0419

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Gina Myers [gshatas@charter.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:53 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gina Myers
640 8th St
Jacksonville, OR 97530-9869

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Carol Horner [chorner99@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:48 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Horner
2235 Leisure Ln SE
Lacey, WA 98503-3111
(360) 438-5230

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Nancy White [nancypendletonwhite@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:47 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy White
13311 E Forrest Ave
Spokane Valley, WA 99216-0202

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Judith Masters [justmasters@clearwire.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:47 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Judith Masters
335 Beechwod Drive
Grants Pass, OR 97526-9002
(541) 479-0709

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Vicki Lewis [vichar@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:47 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Vicki Lewis
2507 20th Ave NE
Olympia, WA 98506-3560
(360) 352-2258

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Keith Cowan [leftymanu@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:47 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Keith Cowan
3709 SW Trenton St
Seattle, WA 98126-3642
(206) 932-9064

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Edward Vaughn [imkleggett@frontier.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:39 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close.

I will be dead before that date. I'm 67. I want clean air now!

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Edward Vaughn
10710 Evergreen Way
Apt H106
Everett, WA 98204-4335
(425) 353-1772

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Harold Johnson [erthdaddy@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:47 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Harold Johnson
667 NE 9th St
Bend, OR 97701-4722

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Angela Smith [enlitened@earthlink.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:45 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Angela Smith
126 SW 148th St
Burien, WA 98166-1984

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Shirley Wright [shirleywright35@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:45 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Shirley Wright
505 Pine St
Apt 203
Edmonds, WA 98020-4061
(425) 673-1049

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Russ Griffith [dragfotos@peoplepc.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:45 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Russ Griffith
381 Fortune Rd
Naches, WA 98937-9782
(509) 653-1739

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of David Sparling [dsparling@igc.org]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:45 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Sparling
PO Box 88637
Steilacoom, WA 98388-0637
(253) 588-9611

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Christy Cornelsen [opal_1978@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:42 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Christy Cornelsen
212 E Hillside
Warden, WA 98857-9674

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kimberly Christensen [kimc@speakeasy.org]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:42 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kimberly Christensen
3827 Bagley Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103-8412

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Daniel Evjen [dpevjen@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:40 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Daniel Evjen
3808 110th Ave E
Edgewood, WA 98372-2249
(253) 326-4336

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Rebekah Mcclain [superchick106@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:40 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rebekah Mcclain
2545 14th Ave S Apt 813
Seattle, WA 98144-5079

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lauren Sullivan [sulli.lauren@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:40 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lauren Sullivan
502 E Boone Ave # 2896msc
Spokane, WA 99258-1774

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of James Boone [jameslboone@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 5:45 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

I recently moved from Centralia WA where I lived for more than a decade and frequented Mount Rainier NP on a fairly regular basis, and took my out-of-town visitors there as well. I was certainly aware of the local power plant and all the air pollution it generated.

To be informed that this pollution will continue for another 14 years is pretty hard to take. I think you should control emissions from TransAlta's plant as much as possible between now and when it closes in 2025, when the plant is expected to close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Boone
15633 NW Saint Andrews Dr
Portland, OR 97229-7820
(503) 645-3181

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sharon Wilson [thuja8@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:39 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As a person of faith who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution. Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharon Wilson
3240 NE 96th St
Seattle, WA 98115-2528

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jim Valluzzi [jvalluzz@easystreet.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:38 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jim Valluzzi
3277 SE Lincoln St
Portland, OR 97214-5741

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sally Purbrick-Illek [purbie@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:38 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sally Purbrick-Illek
255 W Vista Ave S
Salem, OR 97302-4571

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Debbie Thorn [thorndebbie@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:38 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Debbie Thorn
710 18th Ave W
Kirkland, WA 98033-4818

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Melodie Martin [martincat@earthlink.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:38 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Melodie Martin
2339 11th Ave E
Seattle, WA 98102-4013

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Glenn Kirkindall [glenn_kirkindall@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:34 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Glenn Kirkindall
4529 NE Killingsworth St Apt 3
Portland, OR 97218-1970

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ed Davie [edavie@frontier.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:34 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ed Davie
255 Jessica Dr
Forest Grove, OR 97116-1295

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kathy Hardie-Williams [hardiewilliams@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:32 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathy Hardie-Williams
5029 Foothills Rd Apt D
Lake Oswego, OR 97034-3209
(503) 305-8478

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Denine Heinemann [deninevh@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:29 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Denine Heinemann
5531 N Commercial Ave
Portland, OR 97217-2339

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Nicole Westre [ceraphem@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:29 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Miss Nicole Westre
15704 SE 44th St
Bellevue, WA 98006-4514

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Julie Reil [quikplumfj@wamail.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Julie Reil
1711 N Fife St
Tacoma, WA 98406-7509

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of John Woolley, President [woolley@tfon.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:27 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

Olympic Forest Coalition - OFCO - constituency is very concerned that air quality is not being given a higher priority to resolve problems sooner.As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution. Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

We think you should require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

OFCO also supports optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Woolley, President
1606 E Sequim Bay Rd
Sequim, WA 98382-7649
(360) 683-0724

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Nina Kristiansen [note2nina@bendbroadband.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nina Kristiansen
141 SW 15th St
Bend, OR 97702-1057

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Suzanne Hamer [atkinshamer@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Suzanne Hamer
17227 NE 195th St
Woodinville, WA 98072-6611

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kent Radek [sporadek@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kent Radek
9002 NE 152nd Pl
Kenmore, WA 98028-8307
(425) 205-7511

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Joseph Connell [jcconnell@frontier.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joseph Connell
3416 S Conway Ct
Kennewick, WA 99337-3053
(509) 582-0114

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Aaron Heiss [aaron.heiss@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Aaron Heiss
3340 SE Morrison St Apt 414
Portland, OR 97214-3179

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Steven Wells [stevenjwells@earthlink.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:16 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steven Wells
9249 1st Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98117-2004

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Linda Ellsworth [sandboa51@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:16 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Ellsworth
61 Rosehip Rd
Eastsound, WA 98245-8966

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kathryn Alexandra [kalexandra@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:13 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathryn Alexandra
4311 Ginnett Rd
Anacortes, WA 98221-8581
(360) 293-8606

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Louise Gallion [flopsey1@frontier.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:13 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Louise Gallion
17438 Bothell Way NE
Apt C105
Bothell, WA 98011-1963
(425) 488-7048

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Susan Ashton [suejashton@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:13 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Ashton
1818 Hemlock St
Eugene, OR 97404-2127

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Richard Walter [richard@kettlefallsradio.org]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:27 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.
Having attended Forestry classes in Centralia, I now appreciate the very clean air we have in Kettle Falls.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Walter
1010 S. Oak, #1006
Kettle Falls, WA 99141

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Leslie Nordstrom [shyhaven@clearwire.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:13 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Miss Leslie Nordstrom
15909 238th St SE
Monroe, WA 98272-9444
(360) 794-3532

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Marv Binegar [mbinegar@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:09 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Marv Binegar
12347 Boynton St
Oregon City, OR 97045-6745
(503) 723-6165

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Dan Stabel [danstabel@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:09 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dan Stabel
6108 Central Park Dr
Aberdeen, WA 98520-7164
(360) 532-0999

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Emily Willoughby [emilya57@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:08 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Emily Willoughby
17000 53rd Ave S
Tukwila, WA 98188-3250
(206) 241-5885

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Nancy Cushwa [tenwa@jps.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:08 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Nancy Cushwa
2715 N Terry St
Portland, OR 97217-6251

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jacquelyn Easley [easleyr@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:08 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jacquelyn Easley
11429 SE 322nd Pl
Auburn, WA 98092-4835

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ann O'Connell [aloc1@q.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:08 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ann O'Connell
804 N Marsh Ct
Florence, OR 97439-8200
(541) 997-6250

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Christopher Helmer [christopher.helmer@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:04 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Christopher Helmer
1126 Sea Cliff Dr NW
Gig Harbor, WA 98332-7537

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lenore Heimbuch [lenore4jewels@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:04 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lenore Heimbuch
16400 NE 80th St
Vancouver, WA 98682-1518

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mary Lyda [artisan7@frontiernet.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:01 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Lyda
PO Box 1928
Cave Junction, OR 97523-1928
(541) 592-3930

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Susan Cousineau [sccy12@dishmail.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA. I am aware that the plant is supposed to close in 2025. I strongly encourage you to make sure pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution. We expect Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will witness healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. We expect technology to do much better than 50%.

I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Cousineau
42501 Green Canyon Rd E
Davenport, WA 99122-8593

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Charlotte Pitt [cpitt@wstribes.org]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 9:01 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Charlotte Pitt
PO Box 1028
Warm Springs, OR 97761-1028

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Rollin Odell [rolodell@earthlink.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:58 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Rollin Odell
13210 NE James Way
Kingston, WA 98346-9197
(360) 297-9531

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mark Macdonald [mark@mindfulcraftsman.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:58 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Macdonald
206 3rd Ave S
Kirkland, WA 98033-6507
(206) 454-9768

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Cienna Simmons [fyrehed@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:58 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cienna Simmons
1060 Centennial Blvd
Springfield, OR 97477-3250

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Bryan Kopel [thanks4thinking@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:55 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bryan Kopel
15325 Redmond Way Apt I197
Redmond, WA 98052-4228

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Judith Vincent [jwvincent1@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Judith Vincent
2258 Shelton St SE
Salem, OR 97301-6644

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Samuel Berg [sber6415@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Samuel Berg
29601 NE David Ln
Newberg, OR 97132-6457

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Justin Sweet [dulce1021@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:50 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Justin Sweet
4132 3rd Ave NW Apt 3
Seattle, WA 98107-5061

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Horst Pfand [pfand@frontier.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:50 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Horst Pfand
32 Geer Cir
Port Orford, OR 97465-9517
(541) 332-8445

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Amy Schoppert [amykingschoppert@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:46 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Amy Schoppert
3320 N 30th St
Tacoma, WA 98407-6253

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Raymond Williams [maxrwilliams@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.
Remember; less polution can also mean less energy used (i.e, hybrid cars)

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Raymond Williams
3920 Road 105
Pasco, WA 99301-6750
(509) 547-8658

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Nick Littlejohn [nicklittlejohn@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:43 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Nick Littlejohn
134 SE 12th Ave
Portland, OR 97214-1320

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ai Mccarthy [aym73@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:43 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ai Mccarthy
13526 NE 70th St
Redmond, WA 98052-9434

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ruth Leavitt [leavitt7sage@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:39 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ruth Leavitt
12102 4th Ave W Apt 16-206
Everett, WA 98204-5776

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Donita O'Brien [donitao@frontier.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:39 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Donita O'Brien
8421 NE 147th Pl
Kenmore, WA 98028-4739

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Galen Davis [neorenfield@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:39 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Galen Davis
9114 8th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-2811

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Diane Shaughnessy [dshau1@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:39 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Shaughnessy
7308 N Skyview Pl
Apt A208
Tacoma, WA 98406-1379
(253) 282-8485

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Joannab3095 @Gma Buckley [joannab3095@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:39 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Joannab3095@Gma Buckley
3095 Fir Tree Dr SE
Salem, OR 97317-9416

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Daneen Uhling [duhling@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:39 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Daneen Uhling
2308 S Steen Rd
Spokane Valley, WA 99037-8009

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Roberta Cade [bobbie2233@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:39 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Roberta Cade
1735 Wallace Rd NW
Salem, OR 97304-2115

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Linda Martin [zzpaws1@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:39 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Martin
1976 Onion Creek Rd
Colville, WA 99114-9678

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Todd Hauser [thpp@reachone.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the National Parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air when you fulfill this act.

Specifically, I urge you to require, for starters, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution as the technology can do much better.

I support further optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have a specific deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Todd Hauser
PO Box 1611
Ocean Park, WA 98640-1611

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kenneth Stinnett [krstinnett@centurytel.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:39 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kenneth Stinnett
119 Belcher Rd
Randle, WA 98377-9012

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sara Baker [swbaker32@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:39 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sara Baker
1030 SW Jefferson St Apt 543
Portland, OR 97201-3468
(503) 278-2030

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Timothy Bombar [tim_bombar@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:39 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Timothy Bombar
2605 236th Pl NE
Sammamish, WA 98074-5449
(425) 868-9587

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Elizabeth Rosendahl [hickman.beth@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:39 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Elizabeth Rosendahl
14006 SE Lake Holm Rd
Auburn, WA 98092-9232
(253) 576-8435

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Larry Watson [oredoc1@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:39 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Larry Watson
1430 McCoy Ave NE
Salem, OR 97301-7828

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Eileen Deutsch [shepherdlass@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:39 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Eileen Deutsch
2755 30th St
Port Townsend, WA 98368-4730
(360) 379-9158

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Adina Parsley [dickandpat3@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:39 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Adina Parsley
709 W Wiser Lake Rd
Ferndale, WA 98248-9489

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Setsuko Maruki-Fox [setsukom@charter.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:39 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Setsuko Maruki-Fox
3967 Almar Rd
Grants Pass, OR 97527-4551

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jill Mcinturff [jmac4219@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:39 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jill Mcinturff
110 S Lakeside Rd
Liberty Lake, WA 99019-9575

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Dean Windh [karaokeking1@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:39 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dean Windh
7506 95th Ave SW
Lakewood, WA 98498-3315

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Catherine Siskron [siskron@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:50 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

Please control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. Also please make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution. Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Catherine Siskron
2446 Onyx Aly
Eugene, OR 97403-1572

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Britt Pearson [brittp@mtashland.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:39 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Britt Pearson
796 Forest St
Ashland, OR 97520-3117
(541) 482-7988

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Raelyn Michaelson [measle@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:39 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Raelyn Michaelson
14244 29th Ave S
Seatac, WA 98168-3856

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Dr Callahan [creativequester@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:39 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Dr Callahan
First Street
Yelm, WA 98597-7686
(360) 458-2699

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sherry Bupp [sherry_bupp@joimail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:39 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sherry Bupp
500 College St NE
Lacey, WA 98516-5339

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kirsten Sweet [icicle4@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:39 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kirsten Sweet
7104 Icicle Rd
Leavenworth, WA 98826-9367
(520) 578-2081

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Alan Curtis [abcwoods1@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:39 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Alan Curtis
2370 Douglas Dr
Eugene, OR 97405-1711
(541) 345-2571

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Aliza Keddem [alizak@pacifier.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:38 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Aliza Keddem
36 NE 76th Ave
Portland, OR 97213-6323
(503) 257-1885

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Carolyn De Lorenzo [cdelorenz@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:38 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Carolyn De Lorenzo
825 SE 43rd Ave
Portland, OR 97215-1613

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jennifer Prime [primejl@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:38 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jennifer Prime
1640 Griffith Point Rd
Nordland, WA 98358-9632
(360) 531-4772

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Russ Ripp [61impala9811@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:38 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Russ Ripp
9811 Elwood Dr SW
Lakewood, WA 98498-4464

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Dean Warren [mrdwarren@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:48 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you allow TranAlta to continue to operate through the next decade. The pollution levels from the plant meet current requirements and my family and I are happy with that.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dean Warren
1707 Lexington Pl
Wenatchee, WA 98801-1762

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Julaine Morley [rayjmo@charter.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:38 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Julaine Morley
PO Box 788
183 Oceanwayside Lane
Yachats, OR 97498-0788

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of David Kelley [instinctuallogic@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:35 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Kelley
167 Lincoln St Apt 17
Ashland, OR 97520-3922

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of William Bumgardner [speedyorca@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:35 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. William Bumgardner
4740 40th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98105-3940
(206) 525-2773

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Debbie Thorn [thorndebbie@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:35 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Debbie Thorn
710 18th Ave W
Kirkland, WA 98033-4818

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Chad Derosier [chad.derosier@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:35 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Chad Derosier
2534 SE 31st Ave
Portland, OR 97202-1402

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Daniel Newell [danielnewell@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:35 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Daniel Newell
5818 NE 70th St
Apt A207
Seattle, WA 98115-8136

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Julianne Ramaker [ramaker@coinet.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:35 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Julianne Ramaker
1375 NE Elk Ct
Bend, OR 97701-5365
(541) 383-3514

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of John Woolley, President [woolley@tfon.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:30 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Woolley, President
1606 E Sequim Bay Rd
Sequim, WA 98382-7649
(360) 683-0724

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Marlyce Childers [marlycechilders@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:30 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marlyce Childers
2125 S Hosmer St
Tacoma, WA 98405-3148
(253) 383-0725

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Nancy Fleming [nflemingrn@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:30 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Fleming
802 SW Terwilliger Pl
Portland, OR 97239-2666
(503) 246-5608

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Susan Dawson [lapianta@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:33 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.
Also please protect the health of the people of this region!
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Dawson
17855 W Spring Lake Dr SE
Renton, WA 98058-0612
(425) 432-3879

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Edward Craig [epcraig@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:30 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Edward Craig
850 W 5th Ave
Apt 11
Eugene, OR 97402-5149
(541) 515-9159

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Douglas Depue [dougdepue@onemain.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:30 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Douglas Depue
PO Box 12668
Salem, OR 97309-0668
(503) 689-2121

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Don Knutzen [donk61@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:30 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Don Knutzen
1305 Boon St Apt 111
Sumas, WA 98295-9645
(360) 988-0501

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Gail Ohara [gail@chickfactor.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:27 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gail Ohara
Hoyt Street
Portland, OR 97213

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of John Woolley, President [woolley@tfon.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:27 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Woolley, President
1606 E Sequim Bay Rd
Sequim, WA 98382-7649
(360) 683-0724

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Bonita Migliore [bonita@migsworld.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:27 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Bonita Migliore
18256 171st Ct SE
Renton, WA 98058-8855

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Scott Bishop [sbishop@oly-wa.us]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Scott Bishop
1710 Giles Ave NW
Olympia, WA 98502-4734

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jacob Seluga [jseluga@frontier.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jacob Seluga
15955 SW Milan Ln
Portland, OR 97223-0667

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ingeborg Seastone [iseastone@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ingeborg Seastone
9720 N Ivanhoe St
Portland, OR 97203-1416

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Monica Gilman [monicagilman@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Monica Gilman
25525 S Laura Ln
Estacada, OR 97023-9417

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Philip Ronco [philiprronco13@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:19 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Please do this for all Americans!!

Sincerely,

Mr. Philip Ronco
102 5th Ave
Apt 5-304
Milton, WA 98354-8641
(253) 926-2521

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Janice Haynes [jgenie43@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Janice Haynes
11622 Silver Lake Rd
Trlr 65
Everett, WA 98208-2574
(425) 232-9232

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Val Reeves [ldeebug@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:24 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Val Reeves
2205 Sleater Kinney Rd SE
Lacey, WA 98503-3160
(360) 438-5676

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Velva Eskenazi [eskenazi@whidbey.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:24 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Velva Eskenazi
PO Box 489
Freeland, WA 98249-0489

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sharon Hunt [gvsahunt@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:24 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sharon Hunt
17227 SW Loma Vista St
Beaverton, OR 97007-5795

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Rosemary Stevens [rr_stevens@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:24 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rosemary Stevens
2410 32nd St
Anacortes, WA 98221-2626

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jerian Abel [abelj123@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:24 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jerian Abel
4304 SE 30th Ave
Portland, OR 97202-3540
(503) 280-0319

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Karen Horn [karenhorn@mind.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:24 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen Horn
140 Clay St
Ashland, OR 97520-1316

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of William Malloy [mimabiqi07@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:24 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. William Malloy
16224a 49th Ave W
Edmonds, WA 98026-4848

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Rita Moore [rmoore@eds.org]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Rita Moore
4509 Ferncroft Rd
Mercer Island, WA 98040-3819
(206) 275-3883

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Laurie Fisher [lauriefisher@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Laurie Fisher
10414 SW Bonanza Way
Address1
Tigard, OR 97224-4339

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Helen Caswell [helencaswell@aol.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:44 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

I have family near Centralia, and am concerned for their health.
Emissions from the TransAlta power plant definately need to be regulated and controlled.

I don't understand why our country so often puts the welfare of profitable energy plants above the health of the people. Please stand up for Americans, please protect the air of our National Parks.

Require the the greatest reduction of emissions possible. Cleaner air is critically important. The health of Americans should be the top priority.

Thank you for you time.

Sincerely,

Ms. Helen Caswell
4190 12th St SE
Salem, OR 97302-1873

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Polly Tarpley [tarpleypolly@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:08 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

The "scrubbing" technology has long been available, and successfully in use. That American befoulers are allowed to opt out of this use is criminal and should be illegal. Too bad their money and lobbyists are able to keep them from laws which would require anything protective for our populace!

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Polly Tarpley
24585 Waghorn Rd NW
Poulsbo, WA 98370-7151
(360) 394-8344

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kristina Thorpe [kristinathorpe@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kristina Thorpe
PO Box 3284
Redmond, WA 98073-3284

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Charles Emerson [emerson4color@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Emerson
4649 Sunnyside Ave N Apt 505
Seattle, WA 98103-6952

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Leia Minch [announcingme@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Leia Minch
3008 Ivy Glen Dr
Eugene, OR 97402-6654

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Elena Rumiantseva [coficat24@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elena Rumiantseva
8051 20th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-4405
(206) 324-1610

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Anne Richardson [zannadel@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Anne Richardson
PO Box 2966
Ferndale, WA 98248-2966
(360) 689-7373

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Roger Schmidt [schmidtroger1000@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Roger Schmidt
PO Box 8394
Portland, OR 97207-8394

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of C Currey [bush_lied_soldiers_died@maxxc.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. C Currey
1730 SW Harbor Way
H503
Portland, OR 97201-5100

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of James Chesky [jchesky@pacifier.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Chesky
18108 SE 35th St
Vancouver, WA 98683-8262

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Keira Harrison [keiralani@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Keira Harrison
675 Ashland St
Ashland, OR 97520-3137

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Patricia Kolstad [kolstadp@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:07 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who lives within 25 miles of Centralia, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution. Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Patricia Kolstad
5207 Oyster Bay Rd NW
Olympia, WA 98502-9570

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Karol Rawlings [dawnsun-62@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karol Rawlings
5314 W Sunset Highway Sp, #19
Spokane, WA 99224-9468

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Nikol Gestrine [gestrine1972@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Miss Nikol Gestrine
440 N Market Blvd Apt 402
Chehalis, WA 98532-2609
(360) 740-4820

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sarah Sanford [eques720@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sarah Sanford
14150 NE 20th St
Bellevue, WA 98007-3700

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mark Simpson [bravoshark44@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:19 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Simpson
1313 W Cota St
Shelton, WA 98584-3811
(805) 405-0253

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Claire Cohen [clasico18@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:19 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Claire Cohen
5051 Foothills Dr Unit F
Lake Oswego, OR 97034-3201

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Steve Sheehy [sheehy.s@charter.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:19 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steve Sheehy
4727 Alpine Dr
Klamath Falls, OR 97603-8303
(541) 882-8010

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Marian Schwarzenbach [marianschwarzenbach@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:19 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marian Schwarzenbach
4542 Stanford Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98105-2149
(206) 525-4086

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Anold Lane [stellarlane2@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:19 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Anold Lane
820 NW 193rd St
Shoreline, WA 98177-2631
(206) 542-8174

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Paul Delahanty [pauldelahanty@rocketmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:14 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Delahanty
207 SE 172nd Ave
Portland, OR 97233-4505

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Basey Klopp [bklopp@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:14 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Basey Klopp
1808 NW Vicksburg Ave
Bend, OR 97701-1440

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of John Bremer [john.bremer@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:07 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

In 2011 it is insane to subsidize these Canadians by requiring United States citizens to expose their children to toxic particulates, SO2, mercury, and other pollutants from their coal burning. There is a prima facie case for shutting down this dangerous Canadian operation, let alone allowing them to trample the rights of Americans. As the vulnerable nations meet to deal with the destruction of their ecological services by these money grubbers, the question is "Have you no sense of obligation to our children?"

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Bremer
2604 Kentucky St
Bellingham, WA 98229-4058
(360) 527-3503

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ross Miller [rmiller147@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:13 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ross Miller
31857 W Morrison St
Carnation, WA 98014-7618

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Terry Hodgin [oddiebotkins@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:13 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Terry Hodgin
25851 Jeans Rd
Veneta, OR 97487-9638

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sylvia Black [yobuddy@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:13 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sylvia Black
11511 SW 39th Ave
Portland, OR 97219-7407

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Avin Marr [avinmarr@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:13 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Avin Marr
5555 E Evergreen Blvd Apt 127
Vancouver, WA 98661-6651

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Susan Christenson [bosu@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:13 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Christenson
15655 SW 114th Ct Unit 14
Portland, OR 97224-3317

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mckenna Princing [mprincing@ymail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:13 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mckenna Princing
PO Box 1138
North Bend, WA 98045-1138

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lindsey Effner [lindseyeffner@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:08 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lindsey Effner
1710 S Jackson St Apt 604
Seattle, WA 98144-2175

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Cheryl Laos [cheryllaos@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:08 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Cheryl Laos
1327 SE Harney St
Portland, OR 97202-7127

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Stephanie Engelbrecht [misssle@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:08 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Stephanie Engelbrecht
3091 Rocky Point Rd NW
Bremerton, WA 98312-1918

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mary Harvey [tturtle1@live.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:08 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Harvey
3032 NE 140th St
Seattle, WA 98125-3547
(206) 206-9609

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of James Day [jamesday99@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:03 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

Having grown up in Centralia I can tell you that the impact of that steam plant has been largely negative and will only continue to drain vital capital away from cleaner sources of power and poison the lives of those who live nearby.

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Day
5450 California Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98136-1576

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Margaret Hartley [viszlasrgreat@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:08 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Margaret Hartley
3512 Hahn Pl S
Seattle, WA 98144-7324
(206) 762-9249

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Milton Clark [miltwend2@q.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:08 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Milton Clark
12623 Greenwood Avenue N
Seattle, WA 98133
(306) 367-0068

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Gene Groom [geneophotos@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:07 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gene Groom
306 Williams Blvd NW
Orting, WA 98360-9449

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kelly Probst [skullcap@olypen.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:07 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kelly Probst
PO Box 3863
Sequim, WA 98382-5075

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kenneth Ennor [ksennor@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:07 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Kenneth Ennor
11322 42nd St SE
Snohomish, WA 98290-5577
(425) 397-4074

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ruth Mehner [budandruth@soundex.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:07 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ruth Mehner
15208 49th Street Ct E
Sumner, WA 98390-9563
(253) 826-6195

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Rick Lambert [prankstr@q.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:04 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rick Lambert
439 Log Cabin St
Independence, OR 97351-2115

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Barbara Parker [bkparker@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:04 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Barbara Parker
118 143rd Pl NE
Bellevue, WA 98007-6920
(425) 505-2252

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lydia Woods [lydia68@q.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:03 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lydia Woods
8510 Jeffery Ln NW
Silverdale, WA 98383-9350

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Elizabeth Schwartz [schwartzelizabeth@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:04 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elizabeth Schwartz
1604 NE Saratoga St
Portland, OR 97211-4730

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of J B Pearce [skraelling1@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:03 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to close entirely. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution. Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. J B Pearce
PO Box 20772
Seattle, WA 98102-1772

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Miguel Ramos [manteca@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:03 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Miguel Ramos
2319 Pacific St
Bellingham, WA 98229-4656

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Judie Maron-Friend [j_maron@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:03 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Judie Maron-Friend
123 Abcde
Portland, OR 97220

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jean Davis [jeandart@techline.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:03 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jean Davis
36 N St
Hoquiam, WA 98550-2214
(360) 538-0680

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Glen A [glen@olywa.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:00 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Glen A
5015 15th Ave SE
Lacey, WA 98503-2723
(360) 491-9093

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jean Teach [jteach@webtv.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:00 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jean Teach
3708 E Evergreen Blvd
Vancouver, WA 98661-5417
(360) 694-9753

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of John Wadsworth [johnsonwadsworth@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:00 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. John Wadsworth
9271 SW 3rd Ave
Portland, OR 97219-4811
(057) 000-0000

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jc Bower [julianbower@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:00 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jc Bower
1904 Gary St
Sumner, WA 98390-1236

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of John Eschen [desperatelyseekingcompanionship@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:00 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Eschen
308 E St
Grand Coulee, WA 99133-9749

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Joanne Pence [mpence@ados.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:00 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Joanne Pence
325 Pacific St
Columbia City, OR 97018-9784
(503) 397-7764

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Charmaine Slaven [ladylilei@charliebeck.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:58 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Charmaine Slaven
10624 4th Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98146-1568

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Keith Stracchino [keithvsg@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:00 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you limit emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a maximum limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support continuing improvement of pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits.
I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

The limitations to pollutant emission reduction are not technical and have not been technical for many years, the limitations are based on the fear by corporate executives that their annual performance related bonuses will be reduced in the short term due to the impact of the short term costs associated with equipment purchase, installation and operation. It is an irony that the history of emission reduction technology has been that it often improves long term corporate financial results, sometimes directly and sometimes indirectly.

As a society we are in urgent need of a change from our present shortsighted policies to a policy of long-term planning and implementation of cleaner technologies in all fields.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Keith Stracchino
10508 E Cimmaron Dr
Spokane Valley, WA 99206-8649

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Philip Locke [dividedby@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:58 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Philip Locke
119 19th Ave E
Seattle, WA 98112-6300
(206) 265-2025

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Patty Bonney [pattybonney@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:58 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Patty Bonney
8625 SW Oleson Rd
Portland, OR 97223-6828

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Robert Nowlan [rostno1@mac.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:58 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Nowlan
866 37th St
Astoria, OR 97103-2539

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Rose Wasche [air.rose@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:58 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Rose Wasche
16878 Alder Cir
Lake Oswego, OR 97034-5604

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Pamela Engler [pengler@nwlink.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:58 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Pamela Engler
7022 - 21st Avenue NE
Seattle, WA 98115

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lloyd Marshall [lgm9854@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:58 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lloyd Marshall
2209 NW 59th St Apt 2
Seattle, WA 98107-3151

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Carol Trasatto [nettlelove@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:58 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Trasatto
11304 Nooksack Ct SE
Olympia, WA 98501-9550

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Michael Von Sacher-Masoch [mvsm666@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:54 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Von Sacher-Masoch
PO Box 5273
Everett, WA 98206-5273

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Martha Perez [marthaoperez@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:54 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Martha Perez
920 NW Kearney St Apt 110
Portland, OR 97209-3435
(503) 954-8653

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sadek Deena [dsdogdr@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:54 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Sadek Deena
7702 28th Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98117-4538
(206) 913-2335

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of John Koenig [johnk@oregonnet.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 8:00 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

What good is full employment and a prosperous economy IF you end up suffering from lung disease or other health problems caused by air pollution. Most important to me and what should be to you also is my health and the health of my family.

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Koenig
3968 Braeburn Drive
Eugene, OR 97405-4973

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Robert Burch [robertburch51@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:54 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Burch
56965 Gladewood Rd
Coquille, OR 97423-8509

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Johanna Zamora [johannazamora@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:54 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Johanna Zamora
110 NW Glenhaven Dr
Pullman, WA 99163-2869

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Janet Hagge [oregonsoma@peak.org]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:54 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Janet Hagge
93653 E Blue Bird Ln
North Bend, OR 97459-9493

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Maryellen Read [maryellenread@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:54 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Maryellen Read
125 SW Collins St
Portland, OR 97219-6584

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of John Meyer [john@nwoc.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:54 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Meyer
2100 Westlake Ave N Ste 1
Seattle, WA 98109-5805

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of William Catton [wrnlcatton@mac.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:54 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. William Catton
12108 Gravelly Lake Dr SW
Lakewood, WA 98499-1416
(253) 589-5179

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Bryan Bremner [bryan@curlewkeep.name]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bryan Bremner
1 Bremner Ln
Republic, WA 99166-9521
(509) 775-0162

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Laura Finkelstein [lafsea@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laura Finkelstein
PO Box 19312
Seattle, WA 98109-1312
(206) 282-9056

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Nancy Kilgore [nncklgr@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Kilgore
1444 Brittany Ln NE Apt L101
Lacey, WA 98516-4725
(360) 459-1078

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of David Wilson [dscottwilson2002@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Wilson
PO Box 335
Myrtle Point, OR 97458-0335

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Clara Jacobson [clarajacobson@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions. I want clean air now as I live in the area and must breathe that air.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air. The plant should be closed NOW if it can't clean the air my family and I breathe.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Clara Jacobson
2628 113th Way SW
Olympia, WA 98512-9000
(360) 357-7305

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Greg Steinke [gsteinke9@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Greg Steinke
445 SW Spindrift
Depoe Bay, OR 97341-9530
(541) 765-2406

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Don Glickman [glickwak@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Don Glickman
3717 R Ave
Anacortes, WA 98221-3499

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Patrick Bak [bakpatrick@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Patrick Bak
359 NE Bryant St
Portland, OR 97211-2903
(503) 460-2903

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Douglas Yearout [drwildwolf@clearwire.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Douglas Yearout
9004 Vernon Rd
Lake Stevens, WA 98258-3114

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of D. Keith Baynard [kbaynard@proaxis.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. D. Keith Baynard
1163 Geary St SE Apt G1
Albany, OR 97322-6832

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Barbara Snapp [araby1957@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Snapp
123 No Address
Mercer Island, WA 98040

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Linda Spellman [lin.jim@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Spellman
2218 54th Street Ct NW
Gig Harbor, WA 98335-2474
(253) 377-3401

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Dee Pinkerton [dwpinkerton@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dee Pinkerton
114 N 171st St
Shoreline, WA 98133-5215
(206) 542-4005

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Wade Stoddard [wadestoddard@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Wade Stoddard
4105 N Massachusetts Ave
Portland, OR 97217-3231

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Randall Nerwick [rnerwick@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Randall Nerwick
3438 SE Mary Ct
Milwaukie, OR 97222-5540

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Christopher Lawrence [simba82047@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in LESS air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu.IT DOESN'T MAKE SENSE TO START WITH A LIMIT that allows 50% MORE POLLUTION, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Christopher Lawrence
19 E 32nd Ave
Spokane, WA 99203-2651
(509) 624-9639

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of James Greene [merlinblu@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Greene
9532 6th Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98117-2121

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Laurie Werbner [moonshellxx@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laurie Werbner
2334 King St
Bellingham, WA 98225-3838

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of L Johnson [lmjohnson532@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. L Johnson
7909 59th Avenue Ct W Apt N201
Lakewood, WA 98499-8557
(253) 302-3467

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Douglas Janzen [jerryrips@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Douglas Janzen
9005 SE Hawthorne Blvd
Portland, OR 97216-1848

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Anne Mack [macka@seanet.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Anne Mack
9428 SE 54th St
Mercer Island, WA 98040-5121
(206) 232-0307

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Marcia Peterson [nwmarci@embarqmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marcia Peterson
1152 Old Eaglemount Rd
Port Townsend, WA 98368-8719
(360) 732-4867

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kathi Laws [llaws26303@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kathi Laws
809 NE 41st Ave
Portland, OR 97232-2617
(503) 236-0421

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lowell Bushey [petalflower12345@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lowell Bushey
1630 NE Valley Rd
Apt Q101
Pullman, WA 99163-4408

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Margaret Goodwin [margaretmg1942@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Margaret Goodwin
141 S 17th St Unit 35
Independence, OR 97351-9767

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Eileen Chieco [ekchieco@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Eileen Chieco
1000 Frank Hill Rd
Ashland, OR 97520-9167
(541) 778-9715

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jeff Blair [jeff.blair@northwestschool.org]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jeff Blair
10533 2nd Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98177-4805

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Polly Stonier [patandpolly@charter.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Polly Stonier
300 Coral Cir
Phoenix, OR 97535-6627

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of John Nettleton [jpn5710@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Nettleton
4311 SE 37th Ave Apt 21
Portland, OR 97202-3265

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Dj Bradley [dj@djbradleyphotography.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dj Bradley
12236 10th Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98177-4308
(206) 364-5236

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Don White [lightcatchersite@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:52 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Don White
1228 NE Oleander Ln
Hillsboro, OR 97124-2654
(503) 640-6588

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Liz Campbell [zil@u.washington.edu]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:50 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Liz Campbell
605 N 64th St
Seattle, WA 98103-5631

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of JIM HAJEK [jhajek1938@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:50 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. JIM HAJEK
PO Box 25
Port Orford, OR 97465-0025

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Nick Page [nickpage502@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:50 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Nick Page
5720 Windgate Dr
Ferndale, WA 98248-9685
(360) 384-3222

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ronald Long [ronald.long@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:48 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ronald Long
18526 186th Pl NE
Woodinville, WA 98077-8233
(425) 788-6342

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Luke Hallstone [luke.hallstone@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:48 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Luke Hallstone
17713 106th St E
Bonney Lake, WA 98391-5140
(253) 223-1128

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sammy Low [cougarcreek7@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:48 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Sammy Low
709 W Wiser Lake Rd
Ferndale, WA 98248-9489

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sharon Hubbell [shubbell2@juno.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2011 3:16 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 15, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

As an Olympia resident and frequent visitor to the Centralia area, I have personally observed the smoke rising from this coal plant, and I have long been concerned about air pollution in the area. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharon Hubbell
120 State Ave NE # 359
Olympia, WA 98501-1131
(360) 791-8419

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Rhett Lawrence [rhettlawrence@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:54 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As an Oregon resident and someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution. Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rhett Lawrence
6445 N Commercial Ave
Portland, OR 97217-2024
(503) 286-0215

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Eric Berlin [eric_berlin@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:42 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Eric Berlin
13014 110th Ave NE
Kirkland, WA 98034-6304

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Paul Jenkins [pjsemail1@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:38 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Jenkins
4400 NE 11th St
Renton, WA 98059-4413

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Carolyn Scott [ckscott3132@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:38 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carolyn Scott
1112 16th St NE
Auburn, WA 98002-3330
(253) 833-8743

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of James Wiley [jigwiley@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:38 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Wiley
137 NW 74th St
Seattle, WA 98117-4820

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Karen Rogers [karen@clarint.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 7:38 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen Rogers
28029 133rd Ave SW
Vashon, WA 98070-8700

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Brian Waters [bwaterss2000@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:38 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian Waters
12816 95th Ave NE
Kirkland, WA 98034-2764

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Nicholas Gilroy [candngilroy@bendcable.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:38 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Nicholas Gilroy
2853 NW Nightfall Cir
Bend, OR 97701-5441
(541) 382-9172

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jackie Branagan [dragonflymother@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:38 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jackie Branagan
PO Box 4445
398 W Jackson St
Nooksack, WA 98276-0445
(360) 392-0113

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Michael Lab [micklab@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:36 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Lab
PO Box 1432
Port Townsend, WA 98368-0034

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mariko Apperson [cecilmomma@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:36 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mariko Apperson
919 NE 24th Ave
Portland, OR 97232-2225

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of M. Saylor [firstpancakeproductions@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:11 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

i am an asthmatic and you are killing me .

Sincerely,

Mr. M. Saylor
4200 Chilberg Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98116-3531

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Steve Wilson [safe_drvr@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:36 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steve Wilson
PO Box 4035
West Richland, WA 99353-4000

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Linda Hale [ljhale1011@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:36 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Miss Linda Hale
1011 Thornton Dr
Sequim, WA 98382-8085

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Brent Naylor [brentn@willapabay.org]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:33 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brent Naylor
129 Monohon Landing Rd
Raymond, WA 98577-9220

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Susan Kay [classicalsculptor@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:33 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Susan Kay
PO Box 451
Vashon, WA 98070-0451

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Charles Hartik [kalle@nvinet.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:33 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Hartik
PO Box 461
Tonasket, WA 98855-0461
(509) 486-2560

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lonnette Prather [pratherlon@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:33 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lonnette Prather
19980 SW Pike St
Beaverton, OR 97007-1124

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Leeann Greaves [leeanng1@me.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:33 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Leeann Greaves
13810 E 41st Ave
Spokane, WA 99206-9332

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ann Hollyfield [hollyhast@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:32 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ann Hollyfield
PO Box 70
Seal Rock, OR 97376-0070
(541) 563-3376

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Virginia Lindsey [virginia@whidbey.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:32 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Virginia Lindsey
PO Box 970
Freeland, WA 98249-0970
(360) 331-8455

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sean McCoy [yukface27@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:32 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Sean McCoy
12533 133rd Ave SE
Rainier, WA 98576-9799
(360) 446-2472

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Timothy Manns [bctm@fidalgo.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:09 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

I was active in the campaign to schedule the ending of coal-burning at the TransAlta plant, and I'm happy that an agreement is in place.
However, it's equally important that as much as possible be done to reduce emissions before that final end to coal-burning in Washington State. I urge DOE to require and enforce reductions in emissions at the TransAlta plant in line with the best available technology.

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Timothy Manns
1220 S 11th St
Mount Vernon, WA 98274-5002
(360) 336-8753

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ethel Birnbach [birnbach@hevanet.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:32 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ethel Birnbach
4703 C SW Caldew
Portland, OR 97219-1575

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lin Simpson [kibblemom@myfastmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:32 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lin Simpson
PO Box 1427
Vashon, WA 98070-1427

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Gary Wright [adak2003@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:32 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gary Wright
78231 Snauer Ln
Cottage Grove, OR 97424-9463
(541) 942-2720

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jeffrey Moidel [jmoidel@mac.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:32 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jeffrey Moidel
421 30th Ave S
Seattle, WA 98144-2507

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ericka Camp [erickad01@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:28 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ericka Camp
3250 SW Avalon Way Apt 106
Seattle, WA 98126-4415

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Cheryl Nunn [csnunn@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:28 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cheryl Nunn
21321 Poplar Way
Brier, WA 98036-8910

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jon Stein [docjstein@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:28 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jon Stein
19617 NE Risto Rd
Battle Ground, WA 98604-9614

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sandra Perkins [sandraperkins@seanet.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:28 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sandra Perkins
13226 42nd Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98125-4627

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jill Timm [jtimm@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:28 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jill Timm
10 Cove Ave S Apt 11
Wenatchee, WA 98801-2565

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ken Weeks [kjweeks@embarqmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:28 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ken Weeks
4 Luftfeld Rd
Lyle, WA 98635-9460

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Shirley White [thiswritersturf@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:51 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment and for your actions to protect our air quality.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Shirley White
25115 E Broadway Ave
Apt 3
Veneta, OR 97487-9717

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Frank Pauley [fpauley@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:28 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Frank Pauley
1937 Modoc Dr NE
Keizer, OR 97303-2053

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Beverly Bentley [bawielgo@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:25 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Beverly Bentley
1377 Morrow Rd
Apt 12
Medford, OR 97504-5259
(541) 499-6233

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Stephen Wilson [stevetall@charter.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:25 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stephen Wilson
460 Salishan Hills Drive
Gleneden Beach, OR 97388

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Marjorie Kundiger [marji5@q.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:25 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marjorie Kundiger
62418 Axel Rd
Saint Helens, OR 97051-9036
(503) 397-2082

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Keith A. Scarmato [keto3000@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:24 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Keith A. Scarmato
PO Box 2627
Seattle, WA 98111-2627

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Bill Helton [billhelton@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:24 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Bill Helton
4613 234th Pl SE
Bothell, WA 98021-9056

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Susan Klebl [sklebl@mac.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:24 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Susan Klebl
7175 SW La View Dr
Portland, OR 97219-3003
(503) 719-6595

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Barbara L Wood [barbara@dobsis.org]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:24 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara L Wood
12507 Greenwood Ave N
Apt A402
Seattle, WA 98133-8068
(206) 949-9264

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Tuck Petertil [t-petertil@juno.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:24 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tuck Petertil
803 Carlyon Ave SE
Olympia, WA 98501-3501
(360) 352-1256

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Donna Crane [d.crane@care2.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:24 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Donna Crane
1576 Beebe Ln
Eugene, OR 97404-2906

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Nan St.Michael [sagetrekker@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:00 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

REMEMBER-THERE IS NO PLANET B

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nan St.Michael
4545 SW Highway 101
Lincoln City, OR 97367-1549

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Robert Whitbeck [robert.j.whitbeck@boeing.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:24 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Whitbeck
1554 Hillside Dr SE
Issaquah, WA 98027-4811

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mark Luce [markaluce@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Luce
4509 80th St SW
Mukilteo, WA 98275-3042
(425) 348-6633

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jill Heishman [heishman.jill@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jill Heishman
7777 13th Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98106-2035

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Virginia Ciszek [v.ciszek@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Virginia Ciszek
24216 47th Ave SW
Vashon, WA 98070-8110

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Larry Erickson [erickpdx@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Larry Erickson
1709 SW Morrison St Apt 405
Portland, OR 97205-4805
(503) 295-5811

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ruth Resch [rcresch@jeffnet.org]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Ruth Resch
Terra Avenue
Ashland, OR 97520

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Charles H Sarin [chskilak88@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles H Sarin
1 Lookout Mountain Ln
Bellingham, WA 98229-7730
(360) 734-4401

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jerry Wheeler [born2hike@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:18 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jerry Wheeler
13356 35th Ave S
Tukwila, WA 98168-3906
(206) 242-9344

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kathryn Brignac [brikat20@evergreen.edu]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:18 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathryn Brignac
4020 Rock Maple Ln NW
Olympia, WA 98502-6801

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jane Hickey [jane_hickey@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:18 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jane Hickey
3617 NE 65th Ave
Portland, OR 97213-4419

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Richard Stellner [wild91@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:58 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

I regularly visit and love the national parks of the Northwest. I want to ask you to control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

I appreciate your considering my comments.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Stellner
133 NW 18th Ave Apt 6
Portland, OR 97209-2148

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Bllly Kemp [bkemp@healthpointchc.org]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:18 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bllly Kemp
PO Box 112396
Tacoma, WA 98411-2396

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Genevieve MacKinnon [healingchant@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:15 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Genevieve MacKinnon
826 Boulder Creek Ln
Ashland, OR 97520-9112

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sj Conyers [sarahconyers@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:02 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sj Conyers
329 N 102nd St
Seattle, WA 98133-9117
(206) 784-8966

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Susan Upton [ladysue@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:59 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Susan Upton
7246 SE 141st Ave
Portland, OR 97236-5400

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Paulette Simmons [pyhsimmons@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:59 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Paulette Simmons
1430 NW Terracegreen Pl
Corvallis, OR 97330-1346
(541) 753-2964

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Harriet Husbands [ritestar@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:59 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Harriet Husbands
6321 Atlas Pl SW
Seattle, WA 98136-1343

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jewel Hall [chickiebird08@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:59 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jewel Hall
105 E 49th Ave
Eugene, OR 97405-4822

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Nicole Staudinger [nicole.staudinger@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:59 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nicole Staudinger
3419 SE Belmont St
Portland, OR 97214-4288

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Nick Barcott [nbarcott@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:59 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Nick Barcott
1318 N Lake Stickney Dr
Lynnwood, WA 98087-2029

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lois White [loey11@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:56 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lois White
486 Tunnel Creek Rd
Grants Pass, OR 97526-9748

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Shane Farnor [sfarnor@npca.org]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 10:48 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

I am a frequent visitor to Mount Rainier National Park, and I expect clean air when I visit. It would be nice to know that the Dept. of Ecology is doing everything possible to clean up TransAlta's plant so that those clear, vast vistas that we all enjoy at Mount Rainier are protected, not to mention park visitors' health.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Shane Farnor
4237 S Bozeman St
Apt D
Seattle, WA 98118-4159

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Camille Von Eberstein [camillevoneb@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:56 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Camille Von Eberstein
83rd Street
Seattle, WA 98117

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Keith Milligan [kongakeith@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:56 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Keith Milligan
PO Box 1124
Veradale, WA 99037-1124
(208) 818-1803

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Barbara Edwards [beddy5@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:56 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Edwards
228 Talent Ave
Talent, OR 97540-9608

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Tanner Mccullough [mccullt@pdx.edu]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:56 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tanner Mccullough
1604 SW Clay St Apt 406
Portland, OR 97201-8510

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Katherine Lea [tiki-annie@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:56 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Katherine Lea
4819 NE 86th Ave
Portland, OR 97220-4742

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Debra Rehn [bibleeogirl@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:54 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Debra Rehn
5130 SE 30th Ave Apt 9
Portland, OR 97202-4557

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Antonia Guerra [antoniacguerra@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:54 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Antonia Guerra
1264 View St
Camano Island, WA 98282-7509

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Anthony Albert [albert2910@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:54 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Anthony Albert
664 NW 18th St
Corvallis, OR 97330-5779

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Craig Perkins [perkin39@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:15 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Craig Perkins
9643 SE Clatsop St
Portland, OR 97266-6128

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Carol Whitehurst [stickwhitehurst@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:15 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Whitehurst
715 N 3rd St Apt 1
Tacoma, WA 98403-3409

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Debbie Gilliam [rapunzels34@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:09 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

WE WANT CLEAN, RENEWABLE ENERGY!!!!!!!

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Debbie Gilliam
5434 SE Boise St
Portland, OR 97206-3941

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kay Batt [klbatt1@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:15 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kay Batt
16960 129th Ave SE
Renton, WA 98058-6145

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kristen Schubach [chaosapprentice@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:15 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kristen Schubach
11514 W Charles Rd
Nine Mile Falls, WA 99026-9656

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Brian Longley [brian@zotzdigital.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:15 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian Longley
525 NW Savage St
Grants Pass, OR 97526-1239

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Greg Malmberg [gbmalm@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:11 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Greg Malmberg
2953 Mission Ridge Rd
Wenatchee, WA 98801-8811

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Steve Jaggers [sjjag@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:11 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steve Jaggers
17405 SE River Rd
Portland, OR 97267-5629
(503) 653-3423

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Gary Garrett [sbuddygarrett@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:11 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gary Garrett
510 Timothy St
Junction City, OR 97448-1040

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Michelle Hamilton [four4me2@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:11 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Michelle Hamilton
6503 61st St NE
Marysville, WA 98270-9599

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Michael Elich [coldspur@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:11 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Elich
15 S Pioneer St
Ashland, OR 97520-2749

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Elizabeth Berggren [elizabethberggren@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:11 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elizabeth Berggren
2859 33rd Ave S
Seattle, WA 98144-6113
(206) 725-7170

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Joseph Gaugler [joeg1985@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:11 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joseph Gaugler
1703 Harvard Ave Apt 12
Seattle, WA 98122-2237

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of D. Deloff [darfd@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:51 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone from the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close.

I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ms. D. Deloff
4430 SW 202nd Ave
Beaverton, OR 97007-2254

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Dean Pryer [deanpryer@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:11 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dean Pryer
1343 1/2 W 8th Ave
Eugene, OR 97402-4589
(541) 790-9742

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Nancy Hutto [nancy@nwlink.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:09 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Hutto
10052 416th Ave SE
North Bend, WA 98045-9496

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Greta Rizzuti [gretarizzuti@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:09 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Greta Rizzuti
613 W Greta Ave
Spokane, WA 99208-6121

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jennifer Patterson [bluedevil1328@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:09 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jennifer Patterson
14915 NE 31st St
Vancouver, WA 98682-8353

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Charles Miler [royann@q.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:09 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Miler
4807 Seapine Drive
Florence, OR 97439

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kenneth Christopherson [kenandpoltacoma@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:09 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Kenneth Christopherson
809 Tule Lake Rd S
Tacoma, WA 98444-3503
(253) 537-3328

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jack Stansfield [jacks8981@frontier.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:09 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jack Stansfield
16314 62nd Ave NW
Stanwood, WA 98292-8981

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Robert Jaffe [toad-tarn@wavecable.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:09 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Jaffe
2265 Steamboat Loop E
Port Orchard, WA 98366-4856

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Craig Smith [craig_liz@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:09 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Craig Smith
4130 SW 117th Ave
Pmb274
Beaverton, OR 97005-5606
(503) 671-9005

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Randal Smith [randal_smith@stanfordalumni.org]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:04 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Randal Smith
1901 Franklin St SE
Olympia, WA 98501-2950

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of John Witte [jwitte@reed.edu]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:40 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

I say make the TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as pollute the air as little as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close.

Specifically, I want you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Sincerely,

Dr. John Witte
4855 SE Tenino Ct
Portland, OR 97206-0848

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Myra Freedman [my-t-my@q.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:04 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Myra Freedman
1936 SE Taggart St
Portland, OR 97202-2253

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Patt Doyle [patt@olypen.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:04 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Patt Doyle
PO Box 2522
Forks, WA 98331-2522
(360) 374-7710

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Carol Vinson [carolv@osfashland.org]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:04 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Vinson
374 Hargadine St
Ashland, OR 97520-2846

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Marty Clancy [copper.spirit@frontier.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:04 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marty Clancy
13085 SW Falcon Rise Dr
Portland, OR 97223-1875

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Darlene Schanfald [darlenes@olympus.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:04 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Darlene Schanfald
901 Medsker Rd
Sequim, WA 98382-8509

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Craig Howard [craiganddonna@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:55 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Craig Howard
10524 NW Tudor Ln
Portland, OR 97229-8829

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of David Kenagy [kenagyphoto@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:04 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Kenagy
3253 NW Valley View Dr
Albany, OR 97321-9647
(541) 971-2019

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kenneth Jones [kennykaren1@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:04 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kenneth Jones
446 NE Fargo St
Portland, OR 97212-2141

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Craig Howard [craiganddonna@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:55 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Craig Howard
10524 NW Tudor Ln
Portland, OR 97229-8829

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Michelle Rabin [michelle@tching.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:55 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Michelle Rabin
2230 Old Dalles Dr
Hood River, OR 97031-8533
(541) 387-0114

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Eldon Ball [eldonball@juno.com]
Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 2:02 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 18, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.
Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.
Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Eldon Ball
3200 NE 140th St Apt 11
Seattle, WA 98125-3670
(206) 366-8405

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Timothy Mathiason [wisdomseeker1@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:33 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

It is disturbing to know how long it will take to start cleaning things up! Please start today, so a cleaner, brighter future is available soon to our children.

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Timothy Mathiason
3397 3rd Ave SE
Salem, OR 97302-4601

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kathy Wilburn [kw@kathywilburn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:51 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathy Wilburn
7316 N Seward Ave
Portland, OR 97217-5844

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Bruce White [twenty150bye@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:51 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bruce White
11724 80th Ave NE
Kirkland, WA 98034-5845
(425) 823-0373

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kevin Lewis [kevinlewis007@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:51 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kevin Lewis
8751 21st Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98117-3707

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ruth Darden [dseattlered@seanet.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:51 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ruth Darden
900 University St Apt 401
Seattle, WA 98101-2724

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Eddie Bond [eddiejbond@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:51 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Eddie Bond
2045 Willamette St
Eugene, OR 97405-2883

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Thomas Higgins [thiggin_1@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:51 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thomas Higgins
4195 Holcomb St
Port Townsend, WA 98368-2150
(360) 379-0303

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Gretchen Allison [gretchen@rockisland.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:51 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gretchen Allison
426 Mountain Shadow Ln
Friday Harbor, WA 98250-8855
(360) 378-7003

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Dassi Mccurdy [dassidot@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:50 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dassi Mccurdy
635 Cheshire Ave
Eugene, OR 97402-5060

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Robert Bodner [rbone73@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:50 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Robert Bodner
4031 SE Sherman St
Portland, OR 97214-5962

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Michelle Billmaier [michellebillmaier@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:50 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Michelle Billmaier
22322 NE 157th St
Woodinville, WA 98077-7459
(425) 788-1530

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Paul Tomlinson Family [kept1@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

The crap that plant puts out is bad for our health, especially people with COPD, like me.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Tomlinson Family
2245 NW 64th St
Seattle, WA 98107-2442
(206) 784-4990

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kathy Krisinski [oceanchildkr@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:50 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathy Krisinski
12012 SE Gladstone St Apt C39
Portland, OR 97266-2182

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jared Mulhair [jhadarhythm@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:50 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jared Mulhair
1515 E Calhoun St
Seattle, WA 98112-2120

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kirk Robinson [kirkrobinson80@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:50 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kirk Robinson
PO Box 183
Welches, OR 97067-0183
(503) 780-9257

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ingrid Erickson [kashmirdream@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:50 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ingrid Erickson
1104 E Maryland St
Bellingham, WA 98226-3566
(360) 715-2996

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Dabra Grant [dabragrant@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:47 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dabra Grant
PO Box 457
Twisp, WA 98856-0457

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of D Yermolenko [towednesday@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:47 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. D Yermolenko
195 NW 95th Ave
Portland, OR 97229-6303

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kevin Kreiss [kik.g4.28@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:47 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kevin Kreiss
668 S King St
Seattle, WA 98104-2989

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Laurel Sobol [laurelsobol@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:47 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Laurel Sobol
1691 Marten Ave SW
Albany, OR 97321-4811
(541) 791-7089

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kristen Swanson [kristensart@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:47 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kristen Swanson
830 W 5th Ave Apt 5
Eugene, OR 97402-5173

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Nancy Bauer [bauertimandnancy@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:47 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Nancy Bauer
3231 NW 67th St
Seattle, WA 98117-6028
(206) 783-6146

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Daniel Ciske [danciske@drizzle.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest and one who is extremely sensitive to the adverse effects of emissions, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Daniel Ciske
1717 Sunset Ave SW
Seattle, WA 98116-1943
(206) 932-6085

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Anne Baker [crowwoman@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:47 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Anne Baker
2616 Neals Ln
Vancouver, WA 98661-5113
(360) 600-6189

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Gary Gilardi [gary.gilardi@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:47 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gary Gilardi
1132 8th St
Hood River, OR 97031-1917
(541) 300-0243

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Tony Defalco [waxwing7@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:47 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tony Defalco
4419 NE Sumner St
Portland, OR 97218-1545

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Donna Greathouse Neel [dragonsrest2@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:41 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Donna Greathouse Neel
42910 SE 173rd St
North Bend, WA 98045-9304
(425) 888-4373

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Russell Saffer [russell_saffer@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:41 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Russell Saffer
887 Oakdale Ave Apt 255
Springfield, OR 97477-7742
(541) 653-9029

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Bernetta Hoff [hoffbert@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:41 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Bernetta Hoff
7552 31st Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98115-4702
(206) 527-5173

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of David Dumas [thedumas4@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:41 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Dumas
83586 Cold Springs Rd
Florence, OR 97439-8344

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Don Glaister [don@foolsgoldstudio.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:41 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Don Glaister
9933 SW 212th St
Vashon, WA 98070-6530
(206) 567-5480

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mike Warnock [mike_warnock@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:41 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mike Warnock
2183 Chukar Ln
Clarkston, WA 99403-1748
(509) 758-4500

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Judith Schwab [jkschwab40@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:41 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Judith Schwab
3501 254th Ave SE
Issaquah, WA 98029-7725

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Fritzi` Cohen [nahcotta2@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Although I agree with the message drafted for me above, I do feel Ecology could do much better and should be doing much better to protect the health of Washingtonians.
Why do we have to wait until 2025?
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Fritzi` Cohen
PO Box 82
Nahcotta, WA 98637-0082

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Cami Cameron [dragon78923@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:41 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cami Cameron
1521 X St
Vancouver, WA 98661-4036

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Angela Anderson [poptart67@frontier.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:41 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Angela Anderson
7002 - 137th Place SE
Snohomish, WA 98296-7643

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Paul Ordway [paulordway@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:40 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Ordway
951 W 7th Ave Apt 10
Eugene, OR 97402-4667
(541) 868-1691

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mary Johnson [mjuniverse@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:40 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Johnson
6909 Novel Ln NW
Seabeck, WA 98380-9594

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of David S. Nichols [davemult@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:40 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. David S. Nichols
5107 NE Couch St
Portland, OR 97213-3021

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Robert Blumenthal [rblument@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:40 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Blumenthal
2812 NE 62nd St
Seattle, WA 98115-7221

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kim Groom [kimgroom@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:40 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kim Groom
306 Williams Blvd NW
Orting, WA 98360-9449

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Md Preston [pvtpreston@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:40 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Md Preston
5608 SE Holgate Blvd
Portland, OR 97206-3826

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Julie Whitacre [juliew@fourthcornernurseries.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:40 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Julie Whitacre
659 E Laurel Rd
Bellingham, WA 98226-9728
(360) 398-7135

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Pierre Wilson [ozone04p@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:40 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Pierre Wilson
1335 Pear St NE
Olympia, WA 98506-3945
(360) 754-7661

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Heather Ferguson [hjoyferg@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:19 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, and as a new resident in the area, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution. Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Heather Ferguson
9520 1st Ave NE Apt B301
Seattle, WA 98115-2064

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Dora Haslett [dprokope@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:40 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dora Haslett
735 S W. Street
Clair
Portland, OR 97205-1458

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Bronwen Evans [bronwynnevans@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:36 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Bronwen Evans
#210-130 East 15th. Ave
Vancouver, WA 98101

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sharon Holford [watersong41@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:36 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharon Holford
2580 SE Courtney Rd Apt 5
Portland, OR 97222-8152

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Robert Macdonald [arthorse99@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:36 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Macdonald
2973 Lakeview Way
Langley, WA 98260-9766

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Cheryl Biale [ytwolf@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 2:35 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cheryl Biale
7711 Greenridge St SW
Olympia, WA 98512-2336

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Tammy Halstead [thalstead@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:37 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Tammy Halstead
13840 175th Pl NE
Redmond, WA 98052-2180
(425) 869-1275

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of David Dickson [balanced@care2.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:37 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Dickson
PO Box 2164
Eugene, OR 97402-0042

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Gordon Corkrum [corkrumm@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:33 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gordon Corkrum
2815 Boylston Ave E Apt 204
Seattle, WA 98102-3024

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Chuck Williams [gorgegallery@gorge.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:33 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Chuck Williams
318 E 4th St
The Dalles, OR 97058-2208

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Dolores Hutson [doloresh@tacomaop.org]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:33 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dolores Hutson
935 Fawcett Ave
Tacoma, WA 98402-5605

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Nancy Curtiss [nlcurtiss@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:16 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

I am a Los Angeles transplant of 45 years, escaping the solutions of the amazing smog epidemic there. I know how air pollution affected life then and I want to convince you that 15 years from now, at 85 years of age, is not soon enough for me.

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Curtiss
2813 Aberdeen Ct SE
Olympia, WA 98501-3912

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Richard Moller [rmoller55@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:33 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Moller
15914 44th Ave W
Apt N204
Lynnwood, WA 98087-8934
(425) 582-0489

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Joseph Neuroth [jonahroth@live.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:33 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joseph Neuroth
PO Box 651
Seaview, WA 98644-0651

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jill Hein [jillhein@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:33 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jill Hein
195 Harrington Rd
Coupeville, WA 98239-9557

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Janine Lewis [charlenana@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:33 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Janine Lewis
1823 W Northridge Ct Apt 15
Spokane, WA 99208-4350

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ann Bartell [roebar@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:33 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ann Bartell
3810 Fairhaven Dr
West Linn, OR 97068-3768

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jonathan Lemer [le_lapain@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:33 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jonathan Lemer
2003 City View St
Eugene, OR 97405-1585

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Diane Tremmel [dtremmel@parametrix.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:33 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Tremmel
643 N Dekum St
Portland, OR 97217-1951

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mark Fleming [mflem59@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:33 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Fleming
3909 27th Ave S
Seattle, WA 98108-1513

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Chelsea Belfield [crbelfield@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:33 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Miss Chelsea Belfield
9675 Gladsjo Ln
Sedro Woolley, WA 98284-8300

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Anne Schreibe [anneschreibe@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:33 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Anne Schreibe
14623 8th Ave SW
Burien, WA 98166-1952

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Frank Kolwicz [kolwicz@minetfiber.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:11 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

Nothing less than the top-of-the-line pollution control is needed at the TransAlta plant.

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Frank Kolwicz
311 Cherry Ln S
Monmouth, OR 97361-1910

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Janet Feiring [jfeiring@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:33 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Janet Feiring
7806 Birch Bay Dr
Apt 712
Blaine, WA 98230-9042
(360) 000-0000

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Eleanor Dowson [eleanordowson@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:33 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Eleanor Dowson
2007 Millpointe Drive SE
Mill Creek, WA 98012-4817

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Melanie Granlund [meln1829@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:33 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Melanie Granlund
3027 184th Pl SE
Bothell, WA 98012-8810

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ella Melik [ella.melik@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:33 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ella Melik
PO Box 866
Moxee, WA 98936-0866
(509) 457-6634

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Paul Doane [paul@thedoanes.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:33 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Doane
3635 NW 12th Ave
Camas, WA 98607-7986

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Nancy Herr [ncherr@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:33 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Herr
3407 N Pioneer Canyon Dr
Ridgefield, WA 98642-8036

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mandi Houston [athena_102@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:33 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mandi Houston
31524 SE Bluff Rd
Gresham, OR 97080-8842

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Marian Bauman [ravenna47@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:33 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marian Bauman
917 NE 73rd St
Seattle, WA 98115-5642
(206) 527-2278

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jeanne Crowley [mgcrow2@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:30 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jeanne Crowley
619 Grand Ave
Astoria, OR 97103-4715

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ricardo Salinas [ricardo.salinas@nike.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:30 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ricardo Salinas
1 SW Bowerman Dr
Beaverton, OR 97005-0979

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Claudia Hall [aliceforest@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:13 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

I beg You, do not allow the Greed of a few, to destroy the Lives of Many.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Claudia Hall
14865 SW Osprey Dr Apt 813
Beaverton, OR 97007-7961
(503) 740-7130

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Selim Uzuner [uzunerselim@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:30 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Selim Uzuner
PO Box 750
Carnation, WA 98014-0750

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ray Isbell [raynkayi@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:30 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ray Isbell
1600 NW Crista Shores Ln # B08
Silverdale, WA 98383-9101
(360) 692-2842

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Helen Sears [polliwog45@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:30 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Helen Sears
603 Milwaukee Dr
Port Angeles, WA 98363-1418

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Cara Chestnut [credchestnut@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:30 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cara Chestnut
25421 98th Ave S
Kent, WA 98030-6113
(206) 818-5920

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Brady Casper [bcsscooter@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brady Casper
2011 NW 47th Ave
Camas, WA 98607-9118

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of R A Larson [rayakama@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. R A Larson
109 S 27th St
Mount Vernon, WA 98274-8925
(360) 280-7396

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Robert Bamford [rob_bamford@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Robert Bamford
2315 26th Ave E
Seattle, WA 98112-2611

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kevin Orme [bi670@scn.org]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kevin Orme
502 N 80th St
Seattle, WA 98103-4302

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Carol A Verga [caverga@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol A Verga
1521 2nd Ave Apt 2302
Seattle, WA 98101-4516

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mary Jo Dugaw [maryjo@maryjodugaw.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Jo Dugaw
PO Box 1257
Fall City, WA 98024-1257

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Michael O'Brien [alpinepainting@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:13 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities might see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael O'Brien
18214 W Spring Lake Dr SE
Renton, WA 98058-0604

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Chandra Atkins [chanatkins@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Chandra Atkins
755 Stevens St Apt 221
Medford, OR 97504-6748

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Steve Snyder [snydez99@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steve Snyder
3703 SE Cesar E Chavez Blvd
Portland, OR 97202-1704

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ted Washburne [t.washburne@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ted Washburne
4311 NE 93rd St
Vancouver, WA 98665-9391
(360) 546-1525

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Scott Tallman [scott.tallman@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Scott Tallman
620 N 34th St Apt 524
Seattle, WA 98103-8681

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Joel Mulder [joel_mulder@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joel Mulder
4312 29th Ave W
Seattle, WA 98199-1447

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mike Bluske [mikebluske@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mike Bluske
5237 Fauntleroy Way SW
Apt 103
Seattle, WA 98136-1200
(206) 937-6468

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ali Mosa [amosa22us@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ali Mosa
17753 Noll Rd NE
Poulsbo, WA 98370-7518
(360) 779-3844

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of David Turnoy [turnoy1@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:26 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Turnoy
811 Wendy Ct
West Linn, OR 97068-4059
(503) 557-1274

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Tim Tompt [dublet@me.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:25 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tim Tompt
618 NW 56th St
Seattle, WA 98107-2736

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jon Povill [jp@paxdyne.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:25 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jon Povill
1574 Gulf Rd
Pmb 1511
Point Roberts, WA 98281-9007

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mlou Christ [mnortie@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:13 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

Reduce TransAlta's pollution NOW! Unless you are willing to stand downwind of that power plant from today till 2025, you owe it to those who live in the areas impacted by that plant to make sure they don't have to endure the filth and poor health results that plant causes daily.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mlou Christ
11485 SW Greenburg Rd
Portland, OR 97223-5392
(503) 235-8384

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kathryn Plitt [kplitt@centurytel.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:25 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kathryn Plitt
1410 Sea Cliff Dr NW
Gig Harbor, WA 98332-9599

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jim Grob [jarthurgrob@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 1:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jim Grob
1125 Greenwood Blvd SW
Issaquah, WA 98027-4545

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ellen Pillow [ellenpillow@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:22 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ellen Pillow
3435 SW 12th Ave
Portland, OR 97239-2970
(503) 234-9357

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Valerie Miltko [valeriemiltko@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:22 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Valerie Miltko
350 Cherokee Ave
Roseburg, OR 97471-9583
(541) 440-8963

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Randall Collins [rancol23@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:22 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Randall Collins
530 4th Ave W Apt 309
Seattle, WA 98119-5913

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Alan Sleep [thormir@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:22 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Alan Sleep
PO Box 801
Hillsboro, OR 97123-0801

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Saab Lofton [saablofton@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:22 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Saab Lofton
619 3rd Ave
Seattle, WA 98104-1817

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Millard Martin [harpstring@centurytel.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:22 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Millard Martin
37194 Bay St NE
Hansville, WA 98340-9774

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Bridgid Persephone Newman-Henson [bridgidpnh@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:22 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Bridgid Persephone Newman-Henson
6321 Corgiat Dr S Ste B
Seattle, WA 98108-2862
(206) 595-4775

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kristin Noreen [dentedcan8@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:22 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kristin Noreen
4225 Wintergreen Cir Apt 155
Bellingham, WA 98226-7129

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Patti Wright [pwright333@comcast.net]
Sent: Friday, November 18, 2011 12:59 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 18, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in LESS air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to REQUIRE as a starting point the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% MORE pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Patti Wright
2 Bracken Pl
Bellingham, WA 98229-4405
(360) 441-7078

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Linda Andersson [llandersson4@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:11 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

What have we let American special interests do? We've injured the lungs and other organs of our citizens and ruined our earth around mining sites. Just so a handful of "entitled" people can earn huge, unprecedented profits. How has this become acceptable?

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Linda Andersson
2424 79th Ave NE
Medina, WA 98039-1517
(425) 450-1741

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Susan Wilson [leila_ann@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:22 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Susan Wilson
20929 110th Ave SE Apt 1504
Kent, WA 98031-1116

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of John Rowlette [nsemn8r@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:22 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Rowlette
2504 Cherry St
Hoquiam, WA 98550-3919

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mark Koehnen [mdfek87@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:22 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Koehnen
PO Box 185
Quincy, WA 98848-0185

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Thomas Meyer [thomas32492@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thomas Meyer
15 Klahanie View Ln
Port Angeles, WA 98363-8315

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of David Daniels-Lee [davedl@coastaccess.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Daniels-Lee
704 Duck Lake Dr NE
Ocean Shores, WA 98569-9355

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ron Johnson [ojnor@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ron Johnson
4619 79th Pl SW
Mukilteo, WA 98275-2723

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of M Rain [mrain07@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. M Rain
PO Box 51
Vancouver, WA 98666-0051

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Linda Orgel [ldotorg@olearycreek.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Orgel
1128 State Route 105
Aberdeen, WA 98520-9516

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Brent Rocks [brent_rocks@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brent Rocks
1518 SW Upper Hall Street
Portland, OR 97201-2563

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ayako Ezaki [ayako5523@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ayako Ezaki
4714 N Haight Ave
Portland, OR 97217-2817

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Dona Mcadam [donamac@mac.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:32 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. No do it!!!

Sincerely,

Ms. Dona Mcadam
119 Crockett St
Seattle, WA 98109-2515
(206) 282-7679

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Luisa Appleman [mlappleman@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Luisa Appleman
5120 SE 30th Ave Apt 47
Portland, OR 97202-4564

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kyle Haines [kfaeastside@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:21 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kyle Haines
5211 Aster Ln
Klamath Falls, OR 97601-8720
(000) 000-0000

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Peggy Hinsman [phinsman@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:19 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Peggy Hinsman
2775 Kismet Way
Eugene, OR 97405-6206

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Richard Walling [gti420@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:19 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Walling
885 Blackfoot Ave
Eugene, OR 97404-4506

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ann Simandl [asimandl@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:19 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ann Simandl
1969 NE Selsund Ct
Poulsbo, WA 98370-7327
(360) 598-3672

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Darlene St. Martin [stmartin79@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:19 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Darlene St. Martin
506 N Laventure Rd
Mount Vernon, WA 98273-3021
(360) 424-5207

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Howard Brotine [lizardluv@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:19 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Howard Brotine
3606 N Willis Blvd
Portland, OR 97217-7268

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Del E. Domke [delyicious@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:19 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Del E. Domke
16142 NE 15th St
Bellevue, WA 98008-2711
(425) 746-6363

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Tom Radley [tomradley@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:19 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tom Radley
17607 NE 88th Pl
Redmond, WA 98052-3252

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Donna & John Aha [johnaha@aracnet.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:19 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Donna & John Aha
8517 Sr 17th Avenue, #327
Portland, OR 97202-7381

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Edward Loosli [ed-l@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 4:28 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

I ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Edward Loosli
16405 SW King Charles Ave
Portland, OR 97224-2444

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Dorothy Swarts [dorothy.swarts@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:16 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Dorothy Swarts
13920 N Creek Dr Apt 2812
Mill Creek, WA 98012-8471
(425) 225-6256

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Dana Bleckinger [dbleckinger@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:16 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dana Bleckinger
3153 SW Dolph Ct Apt 13
Portland, OR 97219-3845
(503) 245-4601

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Max-Jayde Romero [maxjayde@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:16 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Max-Jayde Romero
8008 Stroud Ave N
Seattle, WA 98103-4531

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mitch Miller [madmarmot@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:16 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mitch Miller
3720 Pine Creek Ln SE
Lacey, WA 98503-8020
(253) 272-8487

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Albert Bechtel [bigjbechtel4711@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:16 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Albert Bechtel
4131-11th.Ave.Ne Apt.109
Seattle, WA 98105-6319
(206) 834-0204

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Randy Johnson [randy@501drive.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:16 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Randy Johnson
2751 NW Scandia Loop
Bend, OR 97701-8644

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Tom Swoffer [swofftr@q.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:16 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tom Swoffer
32607 SE 341st St
Ravensdale, WA 98051-8921

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of William Mahoney-Watson [will@humannatureart.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:13 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. William Mahoney-Watson
15127 Quarry Rd
Lake Oswego, OR 97035-2525

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Steve Uyenishi [suyenishi@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:13 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steve Uyenishi
12425 - 74th Lane S, #24
Seattle, WA 98178-4327

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mary Gronholt [mgronholt@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:13 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Mary Gronholt
4603 Cypress Dr
Anacortes, WA 98221-1114

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Reed Jarvis [reedjarvis@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 3:54 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

I am Mount Rainier National Park, [GASP], and am writing [COUGH, COUGH] about the lousy air you guys are sending over me. I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution. Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

I Don't Know How Much Longer I Can Breathe Without Killing My Trees!

Sincerely,

Mr. Reed Jarvis
100 Timber Ridge Way NW Unit 3212
Issaquah, WA 98027-2946
(425) 392-9633

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Nora Polk [nora.mattek@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:13 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Nora Polk
6405 SE 62nd Ave
Portland, OR 97206-6605

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Brenda Lewis [hikeguru@juno.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:13 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Brenda Lewis
539b Antoine Creek Rd
Chelan, WA 98816-8609
(509) 682-5158

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jeffrey Lagasse [jpl@whidbey.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:13 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jeffrey Lagasse
PO Box 637
Freeland, WA 98249-0637

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Anita Bryant [feathy@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:13 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Anita Bryant
2110 NE Park Rd
Seattle, WA 98105-2422

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Renee Cote [rcote@terragon.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:11 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Renee Cote
508 Brimstone Rd
Wolf Creek, OR 97497-8717

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Elizabeth Stucki [bstucki@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:11 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elizabeth Stucki
517 Carlyon Ave SE
Olympia, WA 98501-3412
(360) 786-1531

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Richard Kerby [rjkerby@clear.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:11 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Kerby
11549 Clear Creek Rd NW
Silverdale, WA 98383-9602
(360) 698-1072

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ji-Young Kim [jiyoungk98@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 12:11 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ji-Young Kim
19230 25th Ave SE
Bothell, WA 98012-6968

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Lee Markholt [hardcashechols@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:17 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lee Markholt
13419 Vickery Ave E
Tacoma, WA 98446-1733
(253) 537-4490

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ronda Good [rjohn22736@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:13 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ronda Good
2315 B St
Bellingham, WA 98225-3619

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jeanne Deane [jdathm@att.net]
Sent: Saturday, November 19, 2011 3:28 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Categories: FORM

Nov 19, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

I love the national parks of the Northwest, so I'm writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution. Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Please require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed is extremely important. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits.
I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jeanne Deane
22 Ivy Ln E
Monmouth, OR 97361-2222

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Stan Keightley [sssk2175@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:13 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stan Keightley
2175 Rogers Ln NW
Salem, OR 97304-1002

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Gary Killpack [gmkillpack@wcn.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:13 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gary Killpack
1850 SE 23rd Dr
Lincoln City, OR 97367-2217

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Richard Hieronymus [rhmusic_netsuke@interisland.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:13 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Hieronymus
167 Kilsburrow Rd
Friday Harbor, WA 98250-8866
(360) 378-3556

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Susan Wechsler [susanwechsler@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:13 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Wechsler
1820 NE Vine Ave
Corvallis, OR 97330-9207
(541) 757-8622

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Randy Eastman [lokismail@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:13 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Randy Eastman
2205 Bonnie Doon Ave # A
Yakima, WA 98902-4100

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jeriene Walberg [jeriene@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:13 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jeriene Walberg
3857 Williams Ave W
Seattle, WA 98199-1540

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sheila Reeves [sereeves43@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:13 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sheila Reeves
8815 S Victoria Ave
Rockaway Beach, OR 97136-9661
(503) 355-2375

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Clearysage Lasage [kiwimon@bmi.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:13 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Clearysage Lasage
445 Shan Creek Rd
Grants Pass, OR 97527-9656

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Elaine Green [greens@nas.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:13 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Elaine Green
4420 Harrison St
Bellingham, WA 98229-3382

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of John Brinkley [mbrinkle@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:13 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Dr. John Brinkley
2582 W 28th Ave
Eugene, OR 97405-1456

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: Hoefner, Mark [mark.hoefner@chelanpud.org]
Sent: Wednesday, October 19, 2011 10:32 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Air Quality comment

I see you reference Trans Alta coal fired plant and want to reduce emissions, that is good. The question/comment I have in regard to visible emissions/fine particulates is why the Forest Service is allowed to burn every fall releasing pollutants into the air without regard to the air stagnation. The air quality is very poor during the prescribed burns the Forest Service is allowed to do and almost yearly our air quality suffers.

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Paula Nowels [paulanowels@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:13 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Miss Paula Nowels
1511 Talent Ave
Talent, OR 97540-6615

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of David Cosman [cosmand@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:13 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Cosman
9808 Mandus Olson Rd NE
Bainbridge Is, WA 98110-1576
(206) 842-4995

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Janet Pinneo [jgpin73@q.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:13 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Janet Pinneo
530 Mt Park Blvd SW
Issaquah, WA 98027-3615

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Angela Johnson [asj777@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:13 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Angela Johnson
4050-SE gladstone #12
Portland, OR 97202-3192

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Linda Waer [waer.whitney@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:13 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Waer
5616 NE 45th Ave
Portland, OR 97218-1424

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Sandy Risse [itssandyr@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:13 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sandy Risse
2612 SW 167th St
Burien, WA 98166-3228

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Martha Miller [craddock1945@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:13 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Martha Miller
7830 196th St SW Apt 11
Edmonds, WA 98026-6531

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kathleen Burke [kathleen_kmb@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:13 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathleen Burke
14061 23rd Pl NE
Seattle, WA 98125-3328

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Laurie Black [blacklaur@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:11 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laurie Black
3333 Wallingford Ave N Apt 103
Seattle, WA 98103-9001

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jeremy Henry [punisher_jeremy@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:11 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jeremy Henry
2830 SE Colt Dr Apt 269
Portland, OR 97202-9409

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: Amicus Curia [pinbalwyz@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2011 2:16 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: comment on ALTA air pollution & haze in Chehalis Air Gap region

TO: Dept. of Ecology Officials considering regulatory changes to attenuate Haze emissions from ALTA

I do not have data revealing how much of the obnoxiously visible upper layer Haze I see in the Chehalis Air Gap is from ALTA. But regardless of its source, I'm opposed to its emission. It is not only visually polluting, the sub-2.5 micron particles are among the most lethal though they are not as visible as the larger particulate emissions.

I'm an independent producer and photojournalist (Soul Snatcher, Productions). I moved from Southern California to Western Washington State circa 1976. I'm very familiar, bases on my origins, with what haze, smog, and air pollution looks like. I can give you the following anecdotal account:

I was impressed with the cleanliness and clarity of Western Washington's air quality when I arrived in early spring of 1976. Many times I hike the Olympic Mountains and purchased a 22 acre farm on the Duckabush. A favorite peak of mine was Mt. Walker. I could clearly see into Canada and Oregon simultaneously. All of Puget Sound laid below and Mt. Rainier stood out like a diamond crusted emerald. The milky way was easily visible on cloudless nights. No more. Today, I find it nearly impossible to photograph Mt. Rainier from the same peak or to see into Canada or Oregon. Puget sound remains below the smog and virtually invisible. Brown fingers of air pollution creep up the Hood Canal on summer days. And these are but the visible effects.

I know I'm breathing in the pollution I can see, and more, 24/7. I know it will shorten my lifespan and that of my children and grandchildren who live near me. I read there is now mercury pollution in our alpine lakes which originates in coal fired plants. I know of no existing technology capable of efficiently removing the sub-2.5 micron particulates from stacks such as ALTA's.

Please help restore the beauty and the sky I first fell in love with when I moved to Western Washington and the Olympic Peninsula. Our air is the last great commons. It should not be destroyed, privatized or auctioned off through the existing permitting process. To do so is a theft which will seriously impact us all over our lifetimes. We have an inalienable right to breath clean air.

Children routinely die from asthma. Our right to live supersedes 'jobs, jobs, jobs'. Responsible parents would rather struggle for their child's next meal than watch that child struggle for its next breath.

You are a part of an institution we, the people, created to serve us, not industry. Please act accordingly by helping to protect our lives, our children, and our quality of life. The reason ALTA's smoke stacks are so tall is an admission, in itself, of how dangerous are their emissions, else they would be much shorter because local residents wouldn't notice. They're tall because it allows the dissemination of their toxic emissions farther afield and further from scrutiny and accountability to the point where, now, most of Western Washington is affected. Our fate, our community's future and that of our children is in your hands.

Cordially,
John Smith, goatherd (360)427-3599
DBA: Amicus Curia, paralegal ("We help you help yourself")
DBA: Amicus Curia Collections, Inc. ("Debt Redemption")

"If ye love wealth better than liberty, the tranquility of servitude than the animated contest of freedom, go from us in peace. We ask not your counsels or arms. Crouch down and lick the hands which feed you. May your chains sit lightly upon you, and may posterity forget that you were our countrymen!”
--Samuel Adams--
http://amicuscuria.com http://www.amicuscuria.com/roseycuria2.gif
http://amicuscuria.com/wordpress

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Bev Stanton [bs22@mailinator.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:11 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Bev Stanton
8718 Manchester Rd
Silver Spring, MD 20901-4233

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Stephanie Robertson [peaceful.steph@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:11 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Stephanie Robertson
815 NE 65th St
Seattle, WA 98115-5539

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Amy Tappen [atapp44@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:11 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Amy Tappen
517 166th Ave NE
Bellevue, WA 98008-4048

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Thor Atwood [thorman777@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:11 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thor Atwood
PO Box 204
Arlington, WA 98223-0204
(360) 403-9270

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Richard Koehler [richardkoehler@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:11 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Koehler
PO Box 1534
Florence, OR 97439-0077

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Blair Kangley [bkangley@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:11 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Blair Kangley
2531 W Dravus St
Seattle, WA 98199-2843
(206) 286-0788

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Mark Verner [mark.verner@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:09 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Verner
2500 81st Ave SE Apt 133
Mercer Island, WA 98040-2252

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Francine Debardi [francine.debardi@craneaerospace.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:09 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Francine Debardi
16214 48th Pl W
Edmonds, WA 98026-4812
(425) 743-4095

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Diana Nagy [dnagy83499@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:09 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diana Nagy
PO Box 601
Welches, OR 97067-0601

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Gill Fahrenwald [anvilman@orcalink.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:09 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gill Fahrenwald
PO Box 2323
Olympia, WA 98507-2323

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: Nathan Miller [nmiller@npca.org]
Sent: Monday, November 21, 2011 10:47 PM
To: Newman, Alan (ECY)
Subject: Comments on TransAlta revised BART Analysis and Order
Attachments: 2011-11 draft NPCA comments.pdf; Transalta SNCR Comments Sahu October 2011.pdf; Transalta SNCR Comments Oct 2011 Table 1.pdf; Transalta SNCR Comments Oct 2011 Table 2.pdf; tx-white-stallion_final-air-permit_2010-10-7.pdf

Mr. Newman,

Please find attached NPCA's comments on the revised BART Analysis and Order for TransAlta's Centralia facility.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit comments.

Sincerely,
Nathan Miller

Nathan Miller
Air Quality Analyst

National Parks Conservation Association
Midwest Regional Office
8 S. Michigan, Suite 2900
Chicago, IL 60603
P: 312.263.0111
F: 312.263.0140
C: 773.230.5823
nmiller@npca.org

Protecting Our National Parks for Future Generations

National Parks Conservation Association®
Protecting Our National Parks for Future Generations®

NPCA Comments to the Washington Department of Ecology on the Draft TransAlta BART Order and Analysis
November 21, 2011

1. In Section 4.1 and 4.2 of the Order, we request that Ecology specify that the units must cease burning any fuel, including biomass, solid waste, or other combustibles, in addition to coal.

2. This draft analyzes a 25% reduction in NOx emissions from SNCR (to a 0.18 lbs/mmbtu limit), but only requires a 10% reduction (to a 0.21 lbs/mmbtu limit). For the reasons detailed in the report of Dr. Ranajit (Ron) Sahu (attached as Exhibit 1), we do not believe there is sufficient justification for this change. A 10% reduction to a limit of 0.21 lbs/mmbtu will not necessarily require any meaningful emissions reductions. The reasons given by TransAlta for changing their longstanding estimate of 25% reduction are vague and unsupported by evidence, as the relevant studies have not yet been completed. Ecology anticipates that if the SNCR vendor's computational fluid dynamics modeling were available, that a lower number would be in this draft revision. Further, a vendor guarantee is not required in order to set a BART limit. We request that the limit remain at 0.18 lbs/mmbtu until adequate justification that this limit cannot be met is produced via testing, modeling, or optimization.

   We also note that the analysis is based entirely on 25% reduction and ammonia slip of 5 ppm. It is confusing to the public to represent these reductions and related visibility benefits when they will not in fact be met. We request that the limits analyzed match those which are in fact required, and believe that this is in fact an element of any complete and meaningful BART review.

   In addition, we request copies of the CFD modeling and any related test results as soon as they are available.

3. Regarding the timing and deadlines of the optimization study, we have three requests. First, the current draft includes no deadline for Ecology and SWCAA's review the final report produced by TransAlta. We believe that a 90 day deadline should be included for this critical portion of the optimization process. Without review and eventual acceptance by Ecology and SWCAA, the emission limits and procedural changes identified by the optimization process will not necessarily be enacted.

Second, we concur with Ecology's note on a prior draft that TransAlta should be able to produce the optimization plan prior to January 1, 2013. While we appreciate the requirement for a draft optimization plan, we ask that the deadline for submission of the final optimization plan to Ecology be changed to January 1, 2013 and that all later deadlines be subsequently adjusted.

4. We support the inclusion of startup and shutdown emissions in emissions limits. Specifically, we support the use of a definition for boiler operating day as described in the BART Guidelines: "You should consider a boiler operating day to be any 24-hour period between 12:00 midnight and the following midnight during which any fuel is combusted at any time at the steam generating unit."[1] Ecology's BART Analysis and Order are required to follow these guidelines. This definition is identical to the definition used by EPA in its recent proposed Federal Implementation Plan for North Dakota,[2] which includes the use of SNCR on certain units. It is also consistent with the definition specified in the New Source Performance Standards for fossil fuel fired steam generators at 40 CFR 60.41.

5. The current draft removes a requirement for continuous ammonia emissions monitoring. This does not seem to be supported by the record. As Ecology notes, they have required ammonia CEMS on a combustion source with low levels of ammonia slip. Further, ammonia CEMS was required for the White Stallion plant in Texas in 2010, which uses SNCR and has an ammonia slip limit more stringent than that currently proposed for TransAlta.[3] Quarterly periodic stack testing seems insufficient for ammonia testing, particularly during the optimization study, when the interplay between NOx emissions and ammonia slip are of primary interest. We request that Ecology revisit this issue and require continuous monitoring of ammonia.

   In absence of continuous monitoring, we request that the permit provide additional clarity as to how specifically ammonia emissions will be calculated for compliance in between periodic stack testing. The draft is not clear as to whether the stack test value or an estimated value based on other continuously monitored parameters will be used for compliance (Section 6.2.5 and Section 6.3). Further, the related calculations are not specified.

6. We believe that the optimization plan and the results of the optimization study should be made available for public review and comment. The specific elements of the plan (e.g. "acceptable maximum ammonia content of fly ash" or "the optimization process to be

[1] 40 CFR 51, Appendix Y, Section V.
[2] 76 FR 58647
[3] White Stallion is limited to 10 ppm hourly and 5 ppm annually. See attached permit at pdf 74 and 88.

followed") and its results (i.e. the final emission limits) have significant bearing on the public interest and the outcome of this process. At a minimum, we request a copy of the optimization plan and its results as soon as each is available, and we request that the same be sent to the affected Federal Land Managers.

7. The draft provides for a data substitution method that differs from EPA's Clean Air Markets Database (CAMD), meaning that unaltered CAMD data would not be able to show compliance with emission limits. CAMD is a simple means by which the public can easily determine compliance. We request that the emissions data relevant to TransAlta's compliance be made available in a similarly accessible and public way, if the CAMD data will no longer be an indicator of compliance.

8. We continue to support the use of unit-by-unit limits rather than plantwide averaging; the inclusion of a mass based limit, such as a ton per year limit; either explicit inclusion of malfunction emissions in overall emission limits or separate numeric limits for malfunction emissions; and the use of percent reduction from SCR that is representative of the technology's highest capability (>90%).

Table 1 - Tangential Coal Fired Units with SNCR (2010 data)

State	Facility Name	Facility ID (ORISPL)	Unit ID	Operating Time	Avg. NOx Rate (lb/MMBtu)	NOx Tons/Yr	Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)	Avg. HI, MMBtu/hr	Unit Type	NOx Control 1	NOx Control 2
AL	Barry	3	1	4,916	0.42	911.5	4,446,264	904.4	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Closed-coupled OFA	SNCR
AL	Barry	3	2	6,536	0.44	1,352.5	6,341,864	970.3	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Closed-coupled OFA	SNCR
AL	Barry	3	3	5,897	0.44	2,027.8	9,414,133	1596.4	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Closed-coupled OFA	SNCR
AL	Barry	3	4	7,490	0.30	2,542.4	17,757,304	2370.8	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Separated OFA	SNCR
DE	Edge Moor	593	3	5,859	0.08	135.8	3,468,224	591.9	Tangentially-fired		SNCR
FL	Crist Electric Generating Plant	641	4	4,515	0.28	510.5	3,223,270	713.9	Tangentially-fired	Other	SNCR
FL	Crist Electric Generating Plant	641	5	8,026	0.22	722.1	5,733,643	714.4	Tangentially-fired	Other	SNCR
FL	Lansing Smith Generating Plant	643	1	8,437	0.30	1,447.3	9,906,858	1174.2	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Closed-coupled/Separated OFA	SNCR
FL	Lansing Smith Generating Plant	643	2	6,480	0.31	1,417.5	9,394,511	1449.8	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Closed-coupled/Separated OFA	SNCR
IN	Harding Street Station (EW Stout)	990	50	7,987	0.25	892.1	6,895,227	863.3	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Separated OFA	SNCR
IN	Harding Street Station (EW Stout)	990	60	6,498	0.25	689.9	5,590,754	860.4	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Separated OFA	SNCR
KY	Elmer Smith	1374	2	7,490	0.27	2,362.7	17,304,560	2310.4	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Separated OFA	SNCR
MA	Somerset	1613	8	26	0.13	0.2	3,878	149.2	Tangentially-fired	Combustion Modification/Fuel Reburning	SNCR
MD	Luke Paper Company	50282	PR004	8,464		1,862.5		0.0	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Closed-coupled OFA	SNCR
MN	Boswell Energy Center	1893	4	6,327	0.26	4,425.2	32,356,117	5114.0	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Closed-coupled/Separated OFA (Began Oct 15, 2010)	SNCR

Table 1 - Tangential Coal Fired Units with SNCR (2010 data)

State	Facility Name	Facility ID (ORISPL)	Unit ID	Operating Time	Avg. NOx Rate (lb/MMBtu)	NOx Tons/Yr	Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)	Avg. HI, MMBtu/hr	Unit Type	NOx Control 1	NOx Control 2
MN	Taconite Harbor Energy Center	10075	1	8,082	0.14	392.1	5,620,580	695.4	Tangentially-fired	Overfire Air	SNCR
MN	Taconite Harbor Energy Center	10075	2	7,856	0.15	380.9	5,269,541	670.8	Tangentially-fired	Overfire Air	SNCR
NC	Blue Ridge Paper Products Inc.	50244	B4	3,462	0.30	195.9	1,303,394	376.5	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Separated OFA	SNCR
NC	Buck	2720	8	5,536	0.17	447.8	5,085,362	918.6	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Closed-coupled/Separated OFA	SNCR
NC	Buck	2720	9	5,131	0.19	476.6	5,039,562	982.2	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Closed-coupled/Separated OFA	SNCR
NC	Cape Fear	2708	5	7,900	0.31	1,182.8	7,595,445	961.4	Tangentially-fired	Overfire Air	SNCR
NC	Cape Fear	2708	6	8,049	0.31	1,773.2	11,213,550	1393.2	Tangentially-fired	Overfire Air	SNCR
NC	G G Allen	2718	1	5,793	0.19	665.1	7,179,022	1239.3	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Closed-coupled/Separated OFA	SNCR
NC	G G Allen	2718	2	5,415	0.19	596.7	6,456,184	1192.3	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Closed-coupled/Separated OFA	SNCR
NC	G G Allen	2718	3	6,995	0.19	1,239.7	13,297,585	1901.0	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Closed-coupled/Separated OFA	SNCR
NC	G G Allen	2718	4	6,874	0.19	1,255.6	13,520,848	1967.0	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Closed-coupled/Separated OFA	SNCR
NC	G G Allen	2718	5	6,429	0.19	1,288.0	13,722,566	2134.5	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Closed-coupled/Separated OFA	SNCR
NC	Marshall	2727	1	6,476	0.20	1,953.0	18,735,404	2893.1	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Closed-coupled/Separated OFA	SNCR
NC	Marshall	2727	2	5,894	0.20	1,770.9	17,057,761	2894.1	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Closed-coupled/Separated OFA	SNCR
NC	Marshall	2727	4	8,289	0.21	4,958.6	45,653,500	5507.7	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Closed-coupled/Separated OFA	SNCR

Table 1 - Tangential Coal Fired Units with SNCR (2010 data)

State	Facility Name	Facility ID (ORISPL)	Unit ID	Operating Time	Avg. NOx Rate (lb/MMBtu)	NOx Tons/Yr	Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)	Avg. HI, MMBtu/hr	Unit Type	NOx Control 1	NOx Control 2
NC	Riverbend	2732	10	4,699	0.27	491.9	4,338,167	923.2	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Closed-coupled/Separated OFA	SNCR
NC	Riverbend	2732	7	3,750	0.28	326.9	2,648,554	706.3	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Closed-coupled/Separated OFA	SNCR
NC	Riverbend	2732	8	3,569	0.29	288.6	2,470,141	692.1	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Closed-coupled/Separated OFA	SNCR
NC	Riverbend	2732	9	4,748	0.26	430.8	4,063,275	855.8	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Closed-coupled/Separated OFA	SNCR
OH	Eastlake	2837	3	5,988	0.23	623.9	5,243,229	875.6	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Separated OFA	SNCR
PA	Eddystone Generating Station	3161	1	4,537	0.36	2,177.9	12,404,202	2734.0	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Closed-coupled/Separated OFA	SNCR
PA	Eddystone Generating Station	3161	2	5,013	0.24	1,424.5	11,795,399	2353.0	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Closed-coupled/Separated OFA	SNCR
PA	Shawville	3131	3	6,096	0.37	1,399.7	7,475,026	1226.2	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Closed-coupled/Separated OFA	SNCR
PA	Shawville	3131	4	7,150	0.38	1,616.8	8,368,721	1170.5	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Closed-coupled/Separated OFA	SNCR
TN	John Sevier	3405	1	6,359	0.24	1,058.2	8,981,231	1412.4	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Separated OFA	SNCR
TN	John Sevier	3405	2	8,204	0.24	1,372.9	11,644,224	1419.3	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Separated OFA	SNCR
TN	John Sevier	3405	3	7,079	0.26	1,250.0	9,761,407	1378.9	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Separated OFA	SNCR
TN	John Sevier	3405	4	6,635	0.26	1,177.7	9,019,337	1359.4	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Separated OFA	SNCR
TN	Johnsonville	3406	1	8,760	0.29	1,082.1	7,349,411	839.0	Tangentially-fired	-	SNCR
TN	Johnsonville	3406	4	7,368	0.30	989.8	6,626,615	899.4	Tangentially-fired	-	SNCR

Table 1 - Tangential Coal Fired Units with SNCR (2010 data)

State	Facility Name	Facility ID (ORISPL)	Unit ID	Operating Time	Avg. NOx Rate (lb/MMBtu)	NOx Tons/Yr	Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)	Avg. HI, MMBtu/hr	Unit Type	NOx Control 1	NOx Control 2
TX	Big Brown	3497	1	8,510	0.14	3,266.8	47,105,912	5535.4	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Separated OFA	SNCR
TX	Big Brown	3497	2	8,368	0.14	3,472.8	48,238,106	5764.6	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Separated OFA	SNCR
TX	Monticello	6147	1	7,625	0.13	2,608.3	40,192,358	5271.1	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Separated OFA	SNCR
TX	Monticello	6147	2	8,424	0.13	2,812.2	42,634,585	5061.1	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Separated OFA	SNCR
VA	Chesapeake Energy Center	3803	1	7,169	0.49	1,601.1	6,589,655	919.2	Tangentially-fired	Other	SNCR
VA	Chesapeake Energy Center	3803	2	7,367	0.46	1,603.0	7,088,376	962.2	Tangentially-fired	Other	SNCR
VA	Clover Power Station	7213	1	8,380	0.28	4,689.9	32,634,770	3894.4	Tangentially-fired	Other	SNCR
VA	Clover Power Station	7213	2	8,405	0.30	5,144.7	33,981,205	4043.0	Tangentially-fired	Other	SNCR
VA	Yorktown Power Station	3809	1	5,811	0.35	1,166.7	6,788,203	1168.2	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Separated OFA	SNCR
VA	Yorktown Power Station	3809	2	6,607	0.38	1,559.2	8,175,546	1237.4	Tangentially-fired	Low NOx Burner Technology w/ Separated OFA	SNCR

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

On September 29, 2010, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ or Commission) considered the application of White Stallion Energy Center LLC for Air Quality Permit Nos. 86088, HAP28, PAL26, and PSD-TX-1160. A Proposal for Decision (PFD) was presented by Paul Keeper and Kerrie Jo Qualtrough, Administrative Law Judges (ALJs) with the State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH), who conducted a contested case hearing in this case from February 10 through 18, 2010, in Austin, Texas.

After considering the ALJs' PFD, the Commission adopts the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

I. FINDINGS OF FACT

Proposed Facility

1. On September 5, 2008, White Stallion Energy Center, LLC (WSEC) filed an application with the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ or Commission) for a permit (Permit) to construct and operate a new 1,200 net megawatt (MW) electric generation plant in Matagorda County, Texas.
2. There are no schools located within 3,000 feet of the proposed WSEC site.
3. WSEC proposes to construct and operate a new steam-electric utility generating facility using four circulating fluidized bed (CFB) boilers. A CFB boiler relies on high pressure air to improve combustion as the fuel moves across a surface of limestone.

4. WSEC proposes four water-cooled cooling towers, each with a cooling water circulation design of 161,000 gallons per minute.

5. The fuel and the limestone for the CFB beds will be delivered by barge, rail, or truck. The materials will be transported from the delivery site by partially enclosed conveyors to large stockpiles for storage. Activated carbon for mercury control, lime for sulfur dioxide (SO_2) control, and sand for CFB bed stabilization will be delivered by railcar or truck. The fly ash and boiler bottom ash solid wastes will be stored in silos near the boilers, loaded into trucks, and sent to an on-site landfill.

6. Emission control technologies will include selective non-catalytic reduction (SNCR), dry flue gas desulfurization (FGD), fabric filter baghouse, activated carbon injection, and good combustion practices.

7. The project will include seven liquid fuel storage tanks, a tank for the storage of acid for water treatment, and pressurized storage tanks for ammonia to be used for the control of nitrogen oxides (NO_x).

8. Combustion-type support facilities include two 2,800 kilowatt (kW) emergency electric generation engines and one 250 horsepower fire water-pump engine. Each engine will be limited to operate no more than 500 hours per year.

9. WSEC evaluated several alternative technologies, including integrated gasification combined cycle (IGCC) technology and pulverized coal (PC) boilers, before selecting CFB boilers fired by petroleum coke (pet coke) and coal as the appropriate means to meet its business objectives.

10. For the proposed WSEC facility, each CFB boiler will have a design maximum heat input of 3,300 million British thermal units per hour (MMBtu/hr). The gross electric output of the four generators will be about 1,320 MW. The net output—about 1,200 MW—is the difference between the power generated and the power required to operate the facility.

11. In addition to the CFB boilers, WSEC's ancillary equipment includes two diesel-fired emergency generators to provide electricity to WSEC in case of power failure; a diesel-fired pump engine to provide water in the event of a fire; various tanks to store ammonia for the SNCR system, acid for water-conditioning and pH control, No. 2 fuel oil for CFB boiler startup, and fuel for motor vehicles associated with the plant; cooling towers; and equipment associated with the receipt, handling, storage and processing of pet coke, coal, limestone, lime, activated carbon, sand and combustion by-products.

12. The proposed fuels are bituminous coal from the Illinois Basin and pet coke, a carbonaceous, high-ash byproduct of oil refining with a high heat content.

13. Low-sulfur distillate fuel oil or coal are proposed as the CFB startup fuels.

14. On September 11, 2008, the Executive Director (ED) declared the application administratively complete.

15. On October 1, 2008, WSEC published a Notice of Receipt of Application and Intent to Obtain Air Permit.

16. Between December 22, 2008, and February 16, 2009, WSEC supplemented the application.

17. On March 9, 2009, the Commission referred the matter to the State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH) to conduct a contested case hearing and to issue a proposal for decision (PFD).

18. On March 13, 2009, the ED concluded that the application was technically complete, issued a draft permit, and recommended that the application be approved.

19. On March 15, 2009, WSEC published a combined Notice of Application and Preliminary Decision, Notice of Public Meeting, and Notice of Hearing. On March 30, 2009, a public meeting was held in Bay City, Texas.

20. By letter dated April 14, 2009, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 6 submitted to TCEQ comments on the Draft Permit in which it: (1) recommended that TCEQ consider requiring continuous emission monitoring systems (CEMS) for particulate matter emissions; (2) asked TCEQ to reconcile a permit condition stating that compliance with the Plant-wide Applicability Limits (PAL) will be demonstrated with CEMS and the fact that PM CEMS were not required by the draft permit; (3) notified TCEQ that EPA was currently reviewing TCEQ's PAL rules and had not yet taken action to approve or disapprove them as part of Texas's State Implementation Plan (SIP); (4) asked TCEQ to request that WSEC forward to EPA Region 6 a final copy of the Startup/Shutdown written plan, when prepared; and (5) expressed concern about TCEQ's guidance for evaluating ozone impacts, and asked TCEQ to provide to EPA Region 6 photochemical modeling demonstrating what the effect of WSEC's emissions would be on specific ozone monitors in the Houston area.

21. On April 20, 2009, Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Paul Keeper convened a preliminary hearing in Bay City, Texas. No party contested either notice or jurisdiction and jurisdiction was established.

22. At the preliminary hearing, the ALJ granted party status to the Environmental Defense Fund (EDF), the Sierra Club (SC), and the No Coal Coalition (NCC). SC and NCC shared counsel, and the ALJ treated SC and NCC as a single party for administrative purposes.

23. On October 2, 2009, the ED issued responses to public comments and a revised draft permit.

24. On February 10, 2010, ALJs Keeper and Kerrie Jo Qualtrough convened the hearing on the merits. On February 18, 2010, the ALJs adjourned the hearing.

25. Representatives of the parties at the hearing were:

Party	Status	Counsel
WSEC	Applicant	Eric Groten and Patrick Lee
EDF	Protestant	Tom Weber and Paul Tough
SC/NCC	Protestant	Layla Mansuri and Christina Mann
OPIC	Statutory	Scott Humphrey
ED	Statutory	Booker Harrison and Ben Rhem

26. The parties filed written closing arguments and briefs, responses, and proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law. On May 5, 2010, the ALJs closed the administrative record.

Completeness of the Application

27. WSEC's Application is for an air quality permit that would also satisfy the permitting requirements for PSD, case-by-case Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT), and PAL permitting requirements.

28. TCEQ assigned the Draft Permit State Air Quality Permit No. 86088, HAP Permit No. 28, PAL Permit No. 26, and PSD Permit No. PSD-TX-1160.

29. WSEC's application includes a complete Form PI-1 General Application signed by Randy Bird, an authorized WSEC representative. The application was also signed and sealed by Shanon DiSorbo, a Texas registered professional engineer.

30. WSEC paid the $75,000 permit fee.

31. WSEC provided all supplemental information required by TCEQ's PI-1 Form.

32. WSEC's Application addresses all sources of air emissions from WSEC that are subject to permitting under TCEQ's rules.

33. WSEC's Application includes a list of all facilities to be included in the PAL and their potential to emit and expected maximum capacity. The calculation procedures to be used to determine monthly and 12-month rolling emissions, and the monitoring and recordkeeping to be used to meet the requirements of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE (TAC) § 116.186, are also included in the Application.

34. The ED reviewed WSEC's Application to determine whether it complied with all applicable rules and policies and documented the conclusions of that review in an internal report called the "Construction Permit Source Analysis & Technical Review."

Emissions

35. WSEC's facility may emit NO_X, carbon monoxide (CO), SO_2, particulate matter (PM), including PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$, volatile organic compounds (VOC), lead, sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4), hydrogen fluoride (HF), ammonia (NH_3), hydrogen chloride (HCl), and mercury (Hg).

Location

36. The proposed facility will be located in Matagorda County, Texas. Matagorda County currently attains all national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS).

37. Matagorda County lies immediately to the southwest of Brazoria County, the southernmost county included in the Houston-Galveston-Brazoria nonattainment area, which is designated as severe nonattainment for ozone.

30 TAC § 116.111(a)(2)(A): Protection of public health and welfare

38. WSEC performed atmospheric dispersion modeling to demonstrate that emissions from WSEC will be protective of public health and welfare.

39. Atmospheric dispersion modeling is the use of the scientific principles of atmospheric dispersion, embodied in a computerized mathematical model, to predict the maximum concentrations of emissions released from a source in the downwind ambient air.

40. WSEC used the American Meteorological Society/Environmental Protection Agency Regulatory Model, or "AERMOD," Version 07026. AERMOD is the latest generation of atmospheric dispersion models suitable for industrial sources, and is the model recommended by TCEQ.

41. TCEQ Staff performed an audit of the modeling report submitted by WSEC and determined that the modeling performed was acceptable for all types of regulatory review and for all pollutants.

42. WSEC modeled all emission sources associated with WSEC, but did not model road dust emissions.

43. TCEQ's modeling guidance explains the difficulties of accurately modeling road dust emissions, noting that "[c]ombined with worst-case operating scenarios, the modeling tool will overpredict concentrations, particularly in the vicinity of the source, and may incorrectly identify road emissions as the major cause of air pollution at a site."

44. WSEC's application contemplates the use of barges for fuel and limestone delivery and the associated barge unloading activity must be included as an emission source. The barge unloading area is an area about 80 meters long and 30 meters wide.

45. The Commission's Air Quality Modeling Guidelines require the placement of receptors along property lines where possible and appropriate.

46. Barges would arrive at WSEC's proposed barge unloading facility on the Colorado River. Their cargo would be lifted and placed on a hopper and then moved by conveyors to storage sites. For each of these unloading events, WSEC modeled the associated emissions.

47. WSEC measured emissions from a 25-meter buffer zone surrounding the barges as they are unloading.

48. The TCEQ's Dockside Guidance Document's "set distance" approach for analyzing off-site receptors over water requires the placement of receptors beginning at a distance of 25 meters from the edge of the source instead of on the actual property line.

49. WSEC made proper use of the Dockside Guidance Document in relying on a 25-meter buffer zone.

50. Modeling of road dust emissions for averaging periods less than annual is not necessary.

51. Modeling of road dust emissions for an annual averaging period is not necessary if the emissions will not be generated in association with the transport, storage, or transfer of road-base aggregate materials, and the applicant plans to use best management practices to control any road dust emissions.

52. WSEC will be transporting no aggregate materials at WSEC site and will be required to use best management practices for minimizing dust, such as paving and cleaning all permanent plant roads.

53. WSEC assumed that the worst-case meteorological conditions for dispersion would occur simultaneously with the worst-case emissions scenario.

54. WSEC's modeling assumed that all emissions sources at WSEC would be operating simultaneously.

NAAQS Analysis

55. NAAQS are set by EPA and represent ambient concentrations at which no adverse health or welfare impacts are expected to occur.

56. EPA has set both primary and secondary NAAQS.

57. Primary or "health-based" NAAQS are set to protect the health of even the most sensitive individuals with an adequate margin of safety. Sensitive individuals include children, the elderly, and people with a pre-existing medical condition.

58. Secondary or "welfare-based" NAAQS are set to protect against welfare effects such as decreased visibility, effects on climate, effects on crops and other vegetation, effects on wildlife, and effects on the economy.

59. EPA has established primary and secondary NAAQS for six pollutants, referred to as the "criteria" pollutants: SO_2, two different size categories of particulate matter (PM_{10}, consisting of particles with diameters less than 10 microns, and $PM_{2.5}$, consisting of particles with diameters less than 2.5 microns), ozone, nitrogen dioxide (NO_2), carbon monoxide (CO), and lead.

60. WSEC directly modeled its emissions of SO_2, NO_2, CO, lead and PM_{10} for the purpose of demonstrating compliance with the NAAQS.

61. An applicant is not required to evaluate background concentrations of a particular criteria pollutant if the maximum modeled concentration of that pollutant is below the corresponding NAAQS de minimis level, in which case it is appropriate to conclude that

the source's emissions of that pollutant will not cause any adverse health or welfare effects.

62. WSEC's modeling showed maximum concentrations exceeding the NAAQS *de minimis* levels for SO_2, NO_2 and PM_{10}. For these pollutants, WSEC considered the influence of other sources in the area by modeling non-WSEC emissions along with WSEC emissions, and also adding a conservative ambient background concentration to the modeled results.

SO_2 NAAQS

63. SO_2 NAAQS exist for three averaging periods: 3-hour (1,300 $\mu g/m^3$), 24-hour (365 $\mu g/m^3$), and annual (80 $\mu g/m^3$).

3-hour SO_2 NAAQS

64. The maximum modeled 3-hour average SO_2 concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions and emissions from other sources in the area was 504.9 $\mu g/m^3$.

65. The maximum modeled 3-hour average SO_2 concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions and emissions from other sources in the area, and incorporation of a conservative background concentration, was 566 $\mu g/m^3$.

66. WSEC's emissions will not cause or contribute to an exceedance of the 3-hour SO_2 NAAQS of 1,300 $\mu g/m^3$.

24-hour SO_2 NAAQS

67. The maximum modeled 24-hour average SO_2 concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions and emissions from other sources in the area was 79.3 $\mu g/m^3$.

68. The maximum modeled 24-hour average SO_2 concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions and emissions from other sources in the area, and incorporation of a conservative background concentration, was 109 $\mu g/m^3$.

69. WSEC's emissions will not cause or contribute to an exceedance of the 24-hour SO_2 NAAQS of 365 $\mu g/m^3$.

Annual SO_2 NAAQS

70. The maximum modeled annual average SO_2 concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions and emissions from other sources in the area was 6.7 $\mu g/m^3$.

71. The maximum modeled annual average SO_2 concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions and emissions from other sources in the area, and incorporation of a conservative background concentration, was 12 $\mu g/m^3$.

72. WSEC's emissions will not cause or contribute to an exceedance of the 24-hour SO_2 NAAQS of 80 $\mu g/m^3$.

NO_2 NAAQS

73. NO_2 NAAQS exist for two averaging periods: 1-hour (100 parts per billion) and annual (100 $\mu g/m^3$).

1-hour NO_2 NAAQS

74. EPA published the 1-hour NO_2 NAAQS in the Federal Register on February 9, 2010. It became effective on April 12, 2010.

75. Rules setting forth how the 1-hour NO_2 NAAQS should be implemented, including what significant impact level should be used in evaluating 1-hour NO_2 concentrations, have not yet been established.

76. The places that are most likely to have elevated short-term NO_2 levels are near heavily travelled roadways in urbanized areas, not in rural areas such as WSEC site in Matagorda County.

77. WSEC will be located on a large tract of land, in a rural setting with no nearby heavily traveled highways.

78. WSEC's emissions will not cause or contribute to an exceedance of the 1-hour NO_2 NAAQS of 100 parts per billion.

Annual NO_2 NAAQS

79. The maximum modeled annual average NO_2 concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions and emissions from other sources in the area was 49.9 $\mu g/m^3$.

80. The maximum modeled annual average NO_2 concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions and emissions from other sources in the area, and incorporation of a conservative background concentration, was 60 $\mu g/m^3$.

81. WSEC's emissions will not cause or contribute to an exceedance of the annual average NO_2 NAAQS of 100 $\mu g/m^3$.

CO NAAQS

82. CO NAAQS exist for two averaging periods: 1-hour (40,000 $\mu g/m^3$) and 8-hour (10,000 $\mu g/m^3$).

1-hour CO NAAQS

83. The maximum modeled 1-hour average CO concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions is 326.4 $\mu g/m^3$, which was less than the modeling de minimis level of 2,000 μg/m3.

84. WSEC's emissions will not cause or contribute to an exceedance of the 1-hour CO NAAQS of 40,000 $\mu g/m^3$.

8-hour CO NAAQS

85. The maximum modeled 8-hour average CO concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions is 177.5 $\mu g/m^3$, which was less than the modeling de minimis level of 500 $\mu g/m^3$.

86. WSEC's emissions will not cause or contribute to an exceedance of the 8-hour CO NAAQS of 10,000 $\mu g/m^3$.

Lead NAAQS

87. Lead NAAQS exist for one averaging period, 3-month (0.15 $\mu g/m^3$).

88. The maximum modeled 3-month average lead concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.00049 $\mu g/m^3$.

89. The maximum modeled 3-month average lead concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions and incorporation of a conservative background concentration was 0.10049 $\mu g/m^3$.

90. WSEC's emissions will not cause or contribute to an exceedance of the 3-month lead NAAQS of 0.15 $\mu g/m^3$.

PM_{10} NAAQS

91. PM_{10} NAAQS exist for two averaging periods: 24-hour (150 $\mu g/m^3$) and annual (50 $\mu g/m^3$).

24-hour PM_{10} NAAQS

92. The maximum modeled 24-hour average PM_{10} concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions and emissions from other sources in the area was 28.2 $\mu g/m^3$.

93. The maximum modeled 24-hour average PM_{10} concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions and emissions from other sources in the area, and incorporation of a conservative background concentration, was 79 $\mu g/m^3$.

94. WSEC's emissions will not cause or contribute to an exceedance of the 24-hour PM_{10} NAAQS of 150 $\mu g/m^3$.

Annual PM_{10} NAAQS

95. The maximum modeled annual average PM_{10} concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions and emissions from other sources in the area was 6.2 $\mu g/m^3$.

96. The maximum modeled annual average PM_{10} concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions and emissions from other sources in the area, and incorporation of a conservative background concentration, was 30 $\mu g/m^3$.

97. WSEC's emissions will not cause or contribute to an exceedance of the annual average PM_{10} NAAQS of 50 $\mu g/m^3$.

$PM_{2.5}$ NAAQS

98. Demonstration of compliance with the PM_{10} NAAQS is sufficient to demonstrate compliance with the $PM_{2.5}$ NAAQS.

99. Although it was not required, WSEC modeled anticipated $PM_{2.5}$ emissions.

100. WSEC's analysis independently demonstrated that the $PM_{2.5}$ emissions were only about 10% of the PM_{10} emissions.

101. WSEC's emissions will not cause or contribute to an exceedance of the $PM_{2.5}$ NAAQS.

Ozone NAAQS

102. Ozone is one of the criteria pollutants for which EPA has set a NAAQS.

103. TCEQ does not require an applicant to numerically quantify the amount of ozone that its emissions will produce.

104. To evaluate a source's potential ozone impacts, TCEQ requires applicants to perform an evaluation technique set forth in written guidance.

105. The written guidance requires the applicant to determine whether the ozone NAAQS is already being exceeded in the area of the plant.

106. If the ozone NAAQS is not being exceeded, then the project's potential to cause a significant change to the ozone levels in the area is evaluated based on the methane-normalized VOC-to-NO_x ratio of its emissions.

107. White Stallion relied on TCEQ's ozone evaluation technique set forth in written guidance to evaluate potential ozone impacts.

108. WSEC relied on ozone monitor data from a monitor site at Aransas Pass in San Patricio County because no ambient ozone monitoring data was available for Matagorda County.

109. Using the Aransas Pass monitoring data, WSEC determined that Matagorda County would have an average ozone concentration no higher than 74.7 ppb, less than the EPA-adopted 8-hour ozone standard of 75 ppb.

110. The Aransas Pass monitor data was taken from a Commission-maintained web site. The Aransas Pass monitor data was subject to a footnote explaining that the data "does not meet EPA quality assurance criteria and cannot be used for regulatory purposes." The purpose of this notation is to denote that the data from that monitor should not be used to make area-wide attainment designations.

111. The Aransas Pass monitor data may be used for completing Step 1 of TCEQ's ozone evaluation technique.

111.a White Stallion also satisfied Step 1 of TCEQ's ozone evaluation technique with ozone data from the Corpus Christi West and Corpus Christi Tuloso monitors, both of which are located in a more populated and heavily industrialized area than the area surrounding the WSEC site, and neither of which is marked with any limits on use.

112. WSEC demonstrated compliance with TCEQ's ozone evaluation technique, and established that its emissions of ozone precursors will have no significant impact on ambient ozone concentrations.

112.a The WSEC's emissions will not cause or contribute to an exceedance of the ozone NAAQS.

State property line analysis

113. State property line standards, also called "Chapter 112 standards" or "NGLC standards," are maximum allowable concentrations resulting from emissions originating within a source's property line.

114. State property line standards are enforced only through actual measurement, but it is TCEQ's policy to require a preconstruction modeling demonstration that they are not likely to be exceeded.

115. WSEC will emit two substances for which state property line standards exist, SO_2 and sulfuric acid mist (H_2SO_4).

SO_2 state property line standard

116. An SO_2 state property line standard exists for one averaging period, 30 minutes (1,021 $\mu g/m^3$).

117. The maximum modeled 30-minute average SO_2 concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 351.9 $\mu g/m^3$.

118. WSEC's emissions will not cause an exceedance of the 30-minute average SO_2 state property line standard of 1,021 $\mu g/m^3$.

H_2SO_4 state property line standards

119. H_2SO_4 state property line standards exist for two averaging periods, 1-hour (50 $\mu g/m^3$) and 24-hour (15 $\mu g/m^3$).

1-hour H_2SO_4 state property line standard

120. The maximum modeled 1-hour average H_2SO_4 concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 27.4 $\mu g/m^3$.

121. WSEC's emissions will not cause an exceedance of the 1-hour average H_2SO_4 state property line standard of 50 $\mu g/m^3$.

24-hour H_2SO_4 state property line standard

122. The maximum modeled 24-hour average H_2SO_4 concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 6.2 $\mu g/m^3$.

123. WSEC's emissions will not cause an exceedance of the 24-hour average H_2SO_4 state property line standard of 15 $\mu g/m^3$.

State property line analysis summary

124. WSEC's emissions will not cause an exceedance of any state property line standard.

ESL analysis

125. To assist in evaluating the potential for adverse health or welfare effects from exposure to air contaminants for which no ambient standards exist, TCEQ has developed approximately 4,700 guideline levels called Effects Screening Levels (ESLs).

126. Some ESLs are based on health effects, while others are based on welfare effects including odor, nuisance, vegetation damage, or materials damage such as corrosion.

127. Health-based ESLs are set by TCEQ at levels lower than levels reported to produce adverse health effects, and are set to protect the general public, including sensitive subgroups such as children, the elderly, or people with existing respiratory conditions.

128. ESLs incorporate margins of safety to take into account even the most sensitive individual, typically using 1/100th of occupational health exposure limits for short-term ESLs and 1/1000th for long-term ESLs.

129. ESLs are typically lower, or more restrictive, than comparable guidelines established by the Environmental Protection Agency and other state air pollution control agencies.

130. If a modeled air concentration of a constituent is below the ESL, adverse effects are not expected. If an air concentration of a constituent is above the ESL, it is not indicative that an adverse effect will occur, but rather that further evaluation by a toxicologist is warranted.

131. Although there exist ESLs for certain substances such as hydrogen and carbon dioxide, no modeling of them is required because they are simple asphyxiants.

132. WSEC modeled expected emissions of the following substances for which no ambient standards exist: ammonia, aluminum, arsenic, beryllium, cadmium, calcium oxide, hydrogen chloride, chromium, copper, hydrogen fluoride, iron oxide, magnesium, manganese, mercury, nickel, potassium, selenium, silicon dioxide (silica), sodium, titanium, vanadium, gasoline, diesel, coal dust, pet coke, limestone, and calcium sulfate (gypsum).

133. WSEC did not model emissions of pollutants that would be present only in trace amounts, or pollutants for which modeling was not needed to conclude that the ESL will not be exceeded. ESLs are established for long-term (annual) and short-term (one-hour average) analysis. Exceedances of short-term ESLs are evaluated using various factors, including the number of hours and the frequency with which a predicted concentration exceeds the respective guideline.

134. A health effects review begins with a Tier I analysis. If no modeled concentration at any receptor exceeds the ESL for that pollutant, then the analysis ends.

135. If a modeled concentration at a receptor exceeds the ESL, then a Tier II examination is performed. In Tier II, if the modeled ESL exceedance occurs at an industrial receptor and the modeled concentration of the pollutant is more than twice the ESL, then a Tier III

analysis is performed. In Tier II, if the modeled ESL exceedance occurs at a non-industrial receptor, then a Tier III analysis is performed.

136. A Tier III analysis is a case-by-case review, taking into account various factors, including surrounding land use, type of toxic effects, magnitude of concentration, frequency of exceedance, and margins of safety.

Ammonia

137. The maximum modeled 1-hour average ammonia concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 47.5 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the 1-hour ESL for ammonia of 170 $\mu g/m^3$.

138. The maximum modeled annual average ammonia concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.3 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the annual average ESL for ammonia of 17 $\mu g/m^3$.

139. No adverse health or welfare effects will result from any emissions of ammonia from WSEC.

Aluminum

140. The maximum modeled 1-hour average aluminum concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 3.3 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the 1-hour ESL for aluminum of 50 $\mu g/m^3$.

141. The maximum modeled annual average aluminum concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.098 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the annual average ESL for aluminum of 5 $\mu g/m^3$.

142. No adverse health or welfare effects will result from any emissions of aluminum from WSEC.

Arsenic

143. The maximum modeled 1-hour average arsenic concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.018 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the 1-hour ESL for arsenic of 0.1 $\mu g/m^3$.

144. The maximum modeled annual average arsenic concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.0001 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the annual average ESL for arsenic of 0.01 $\mu g/m^3$.

145. No adverse health or welfare effects will result from any emissions of arsenic from WSEC.

Beryllium

146. The maximum modeled 1-hour average beryllium concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.003 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the 1-hour ESL for beryllium of 0.02 $\mu g/m^3$.

147. The maximum modeled annual average beryllium concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.00003 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the annual average ESL for beryllium of 0.002 $\mu g/m^3$.

148. No adverse health or welfare effects will result from any emissions of beryllium from WSEC.

Cadmium

149. The maximum modeled 1-hour average cadmium concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.001 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the 1-hour ESL for cadmium of 0.1 $\mu g/m^3$.

150. The maximum modeled annual average cadmium concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.00001 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the annual average ESL for cadmium of 0.01 $\mu g/m^3$.

151. No adverse health or welfare effects will result from any emissions of cadmium from WSEC.

Calcium oxide

152. The maximum modeled 1-hour average calcium oxide concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 2.85 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the 1-hour ESL for calcium oxide of 20 $\mu g/m^3$.

153. The maximum modeled annual average calcium oxide concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.18 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the annual average ESL for calcium oxide of 2 $\mu g/m^3$.

154. No adverse health or welfare effects will result from any emissions of calcium oxide from WSEC.

Hydrogen chloride

155. The maximum modeled 1-hour average hydrogen chloride concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 82 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the 1-hour ESL for hydrogen chloride of 190 $\mu g/m^3$.

156. The maximum modeled annual average hydrogen chloride concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.15 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the annual average ESL for hydrogen chloride of 7.5 $\mu g/m^3$.

157. No adverse health or welfare effects will result from any emissions of hydrogen chloride from WSEC.

Chromium

158. The maximum modeled 1-hour average chromium concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.026 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the 1-hour ESL for chromium of 1 $\mu g/m^3$.

159. The maximum modeled annual average chromium concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.0003 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the annual average ESL for chromium of 0.1 $\mu g/m^3$.

160. No adverse health or welfare effects will result from any emissions of chromium from WSEC.

Copper

161. The maximum modeled 1-hour average copper concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.005 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the 1-hour ESL for copper of 10 $\mu g/m^3$.

162. The maximum modeled annual average copper concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.0002 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the annual average ESL for copper of 1 $\mu g/m^3$.

163. No adverse health or welfare effects will result from any emissions of copper from WSEC.

Hydrogen fluoride

164. The maximum modeled 1-hour average hydrogen fluoride concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 1.9 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the 1-hour ESL for hydrogen fluoride of 5 $\mu g/m^3$.

165. The maximum modeled annual average hydrogen fluoride concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.0122 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the annual average ESL for hydrogen fluoride of 0.5 $\mu g/m^3$.

166. No adverse health or welfare effects will result from any emissions of hydrogen fluoride from WSEC.

Iron oxide

167. The maximum modeled 1-hour average iron oxide concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 8.59 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the 1-hour ESL for iron oxide of 50 $\mu g/m^3$.

168. The maximum modeled annual average iron oxide concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.2081 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the annual average ESL for iron oxide of 5 $\mu g/m^3$.

169. No adverse health or welfare effects will result from any emissions of iron oxide from WSEC.

Magnesium

170. The maximum modeled 1-hour average magnesium concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.16 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the 1-hour ESL for magnesium of 50 $\mu g/m^3$.

171. The maximum modeled annual average magnesium concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.0042 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the annual average ESL for magnesium of 5 $\mu g/m^3$.

172. No adverse health or welfare effects will result from any emissions of magnesium from WSEC.

Manganese

173. The maximum modeled 1-hour average manganese concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.250 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the 1-hour ESL for manganese of 2 $\mu g/m^3$.

174. The maximum modeled annual average manganese concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.0005 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the annual average ESL for manganese of 0.2 $\mu g/m^3$.

175. No adverse health or welfare effects will result from any emissions of manganese from WSEC.

Mercury

176. The maximum modeled 1-hour average mercury concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.003 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the 1-hour ESL for mercury of 0.25 $\mu g/m^3$.

177. The maximum modeled annual average mercury concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.00004 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the annual average ESL for mercury of 0.025 $\mu g/m^3$.

178. No adverse health or welfare effects will result from any emissions of mercury from WSEC.

Nickel

179. The maximum modeled 1-hour average nickel concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.16 $\mu g/m^3$, which is above the 1-hour ESL for nickel of 0.15 $\mu g/m^3$.

180. The maximum modeled 1-hour average nickel concentration at a sensitive receptor resulting from WSEC's emissions was less than the 1-hour ESL for nickel.

181. The maximum modeled annual average nickel concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.0100 μg/m3, which is below the annual average ESL for nickel of 0.015 $\mu g/m^3$.

182. No adverse health or welfare effects will result from any emissions of nickel from WSEC.

Potassium

183. The maximum modeled 1-hour average potassium concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.610 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the 1-hour ESL for potassium of 50 $\mu g/m^3$.

184. The maximum modeled annual average potassium concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.0163 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the annual average ESL for potassium of 5 $\mu g/m^3$.

185. No adverse health or welfare effects will result from any emissions of potassium from WSEC.

Selenium

186. The maximum modeled 1-hour average selenium concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.003 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the 1-hour ESL for selenium of 2 $\mu g/m^3$.

187. The maximum modeled annual average selenium concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.00005 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the annual average ESL for selenium of 0.2 $\mu g/m^3$.

188. No adverse health or welfare effects will result from any emissions of selenium from WSEC.

Silicon dioxide (silica)

189. The maximum modeled 1-hour average silica concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 29.7 $\mu g/m^3$,which is above the 1-hour ESL for silica of 14 $\mu g/m^3$.

190. Modeled 1-hour average silica concentrations resulting from WSEC's emissions exceeded the 1-hour ESL for silica of 14 $\mu g/m^3$ two hours per year.

191. The maximum modeled annual average silica concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.24 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the annual average ESL for silica of 0.33 $\mu g/m^3$.

192. No adverse health or welfare effects will result from any emissions of silica from WSEC.

Sodium

193. The maximum modeled 1-hour average sodium concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.285 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the 1-hour ESL for sodium of 20 $\mu g/m^3$.

194. The maximum modeled annual average sodium concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.006 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the annual average ESL for sodium of 2 $\mu g/m^3$.

195. No adverse health or welfare effects will result from any emissions of sodium from WSEC.

Titanium

196. The maximum modeled 1-hour average titanium concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.191 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the 1-hour ESL for titanium of 50 $\mu g/m^3$.

197. The maximum modeled annual average titanium concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.006 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the annual average ESL for titanium of 5 $\mu g/m^3$.

198. No adverse health or welfare effects will result from any emissions of titanium from WSEC.

Vanadium

199. The maximum modeled 1-hour average vanadium concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.7 $\mu g/m^3$, which is above the 1-hour ESL for vanadium of 0.5 $\mu g/m^3$.

200. The maximum modeled 1-hour average vanadium concentration at a sensitive receptor resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.51 $\mu g/m^3$, which is approximately 2 percent higher than the 1-hour ESL of 0.5 $\mu g/m^3$, with a frequency of occurrence of one hour per year.

201. The maximum modeled annual average vanadium concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.048 $\mu g/m^3$, which is less than the annual average ESL for vanadium of 0.05 $\mu g/m^3$.

202. No adverse health or welfare effects will result from any emissions of vanadium from WSEC.

Gasoline vapor

203. The maximum modeled 1-hour average gasoline vapor concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 1039 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the 1-hour ESL for gasoline vapor of 3500 $\mu g/m^3$.

204. The maximum modeled annual average gasoline vapor concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 3.05 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the annual average ESL for gasoline vapor of 350 $\mu g/m^3$.

205. No adverse health or welfare effects will result from any emissions of gasoline vapor from WSEC.

Diesel vapor

206. The maximum modeled 1-hour average diesel vapor concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 149 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the 1-hour ESL for diesel vapor of 1000 $\mu g/m^3$.

207. The maximum modeled annual average diesel vapor concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.43 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the annual average ESL for diesel vapor of 100 $\mu g/m^3$.

208. No adverse health or welfare effects will result from any emissions of diesel vapor from WSEC.

Coal Dust

209. Coal dust is a component of PM emissions.

210. The occupational standard for coal dust is an 8-hour average of 900 $\mu g/m^3$.

211. It is the respirable portion of coal dust emissions that is of concern from a toxicological perspective.

212. WSEC adjusted the PM emissions by 50 percent to model the respirable coal dust particles.

213. WSEC's modeled coal dust exceedances extend into and across the Colorado River onto the opposite bank.

213.a The maximum modeled 1-hour average coal dust concentration resulting from the WSEC's emissions was 55 $\mu g/m^3$, which is above the 1-hour average ESL for coal dust of 9 $\mu g/m^3$.

213.b The maximum 1-hour average coal dust concentration at any residence according to the modeling was 24 $\mu g/m^3$, and the frequency of exceedance at that receptor is 6 hours per year.

213.c The maximum modeled annual average coal dust concentration resulting from the WSEC's emissions was 1.69 $\mu g/m^3$, which is above the annual average ESL for coal dust of 0.9 $\mu g/m^3$.

213.d The area within which the annual average coal dust ESL was exceeded according to the modeling does not include any residences, schools, or other sensitive receptors.

213.e No adverse health or welfare effects will result from any emissions of coal dust from the WSEC.

Pet coke

214. Pet coke is a component of particulate matter emissions.

215. It is the respirable portion of pet coke emissions that is of a concern from a toxicological perspective.

216. WSEC applied an adjustment factor of 50 percent to the PM emissions to model the respirable pet coke particles.

217. The maximum modeled 1-hour average pet coke concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 52 $\mu g/m^3$, which is above the 1-hour average ESL for pet coke of 50 $\mu g/m^3$.

218. The maximum 1-hour average pet coke concentration at any residence according to the modeling was 23 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the 1-hour average ESL for pet coke of 50 $\mu g/m^3$.

219. The maximum modeled annual average pet coke concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 1.14 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the annual average ESL for pet coke of 5 $\mu g/m^3$.

220. No adverse health or welfare effects will result from any emissions of pet coke from WSEC.

Limestone

221. Limestone is a component of particulate matter emissions.

222. The maximum modeled 1-hour average limestone concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 102 $\mu g/m^3$, which is above the 1-hour average ESL for limestone of 50 $\mu g/m^3$.

223. The maximum 1-hour average limestone concentration at any residence according to the modeling was 44 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the 1-hour average ESL for limestone of 50 $\mu g/m^3$.

224. The maximum modeled annual average limestone concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 1.74 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the annual average ESL for limestone of 5 $\mu g/m^3$.

225. No adverse health or welfare effects will result from any emissions of limestone from WSEC.

Calcium sulfate (gypsum)

226. Gypsum is a component of particulate matter emissions.

227. The maximum modeled 1-hour average gypsum concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 53 $\mu g/m^3$, which is above the 1-hour average ESL for gypsum of 50 $\mu g/m^3$.

228. The maximum 1-hour average gypsum concentration at any residence according to the modeling was 19 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the 1-hour average ESL for gypsum of 50 $\mu g/m^3$.

229. The maximum modeled annual average gypsum concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions was 0.27 $\mu g/m^3$, which is below the annual average ESL for gypsum of 5 $\mu g/m^3$.

230. No adverse health or welfare effects will result from any emissions of gypsum from WSEC.

Additional findings concerning air emissions

231. Emissions of particulate matter from the CFB boilers at WSEC will not be greater than the limit established under 30 TAC § 151.153(b) of 0.3 lb/MMBtu on a two-hour average basis.

232. Emissions of particulate matter from the stationary vents at WSEC will not exceed the opacity limit of 20 percent over a six-minute period established at 30 TAC § 111.111(a)(1)(B).

233. WSEC will comply with the limits on particulate matter emissions established under 30 TAC § 111.151.

234. WSEC's diesel fuel tanks, which will supply fuel to fire the emergency engines, will only store diesel fuels that meet the Chapter 114 specifications.

235. The unloading of diesel fuel from trucks into storage tanks at WSEC will be subject to and will comply with the control, inspection, and recordkeeping requirements of Chapter 115, Subchapter C, Division 1.

236. Emissions of SO_2 from the CFB boilers at WSEC will not exceed the limit established under 30 TAC § 112.8(a) of 3.0 lb/MMBtu on a 3-hour average basis.

237. The requirement to prepare a disaster review for WSEC was triggered by the on-site storage of anhydrous ammonia, which will be used as a reagent in the SNCR NO_x emission control equipment.

238. WSEC prepared a disaster review demonstrating that the disaster potential associated with the storage of anhydrous ammonia will be minimized and that the public health and welfare will be protected.

248.a Emissions from the WSEC will not cause adverse effects on human health or welfare, animal life, vegetation, or property, or interfere with the normal use and enjoyment of animal life, vegetation, or property.

Best Available Control Technology (BACT): 30 TAC § 116.111(a)(2)(C)

239. TCEQ defines BACT as "best available control technology with consideration given to the technical practicability and the economic reasonableness of reducing or eliminating emissions from the facility." 30 TAC § 116.10(3).

240. To implement the BACT requirement, the TCEQ developed a regulatory guidance document entitled "Evaluating Best Available Control Technology (BACT) in Air Permit Applications," also known as RG-383.

241. RG-383 describes the process to conduct and evaluate BACT proposals submitted in an NSR air permit application.

242. The TCEQ BACT evaluation is conducted using a "tiered" analysis approach, involving three different tiers.

243. A Tier I evaluation involves a comparison of an applicant's BACT proposal to the emission reduction performance levels that have been accepted as BACT in recent permit reviews involving the same process or industry.

244. Evaluation of new technical developments may also be necessary under Tier I.

245. A Tier II evaluation involves consideration of controls that have been accepted as BACT in recent permits for similar air emission streams in a different process or industry.

246. A Tier III evaluation is a detailed technical and quantitative economic analysis of all emission reduction options available for the process under review.

247. Technical practicability is established through demonstrated success of an emission reduction option based on previous use, and/or engineering evaluation of a new technology.

248. In its permitting process, TCEQ refers to portions of EPA's draft "October 1990 New Source Review Workshop Manual Prevention of Significant Deterioration and Nonattainment Area Permitting."

249. EPA defines BACT as an emissions limitation (including a visible emissions standard) based on the maximum degree of reduction for each regulated NSR pollutant that would be emitted from any proposed major stationary source or major modification, which the reviewing authority, on a case-by-case basis, taking into account energy, environmental, and economic impacts and other costs, determines is achievable for such source or modification through application of production processes or available methods, systems,

and techniques, including fuel cleaning or treatment or innovative fuel combustion techniques for control of such pollutant.

250. EPA uses a "top-down" approach for BACT analysis and requires the following steps: (1) identify all potential control technologies; (2) eliminate technically infeasible options; (3) rank remaining control technologies by control effectiveness; (4) evaluate the most effective controls and document the results; and (5) select the BACT by choosing the best technology not eliminated in step four (based upon concerns regarding collateral energy, environmental, or economic impacts).

251. In its approval of the Texas SIP and PSD program, EPA determined that Texas was not required to use its top-down approach.

252. WSEC reviewed the permit requirements in two recently TCEQ-issued permits for CFB boilers: Formosa Plastics (Formosa), TCEQ Permit No. 76044/PSD-TX-1053; and Calhoun County Navigation District (CCND), TCEQ Permit No. 45586/PSD-TX-1055.

253. WSEC's BACT analysis was done in accordance with RG-383 and included a review of the RACT/BACT/LAER Clearinghouse (RBLC) database to collect information on control technologies required of CFBs by other states.

254. WSEC considered information from vendors and engineering experts on the most realistic emissions limits available with BACT, as well as other permit applications and state websites.

255. WSEC will utilize the most stringent emissions control technology.

256. There are no new technical developments that are both technically practicable and economically reasonable that offer the potential for WSEC to further reduce its emissions.

257. Each of WSEC's CFB boilers will be equipped with all of the control technologies accepted by TCEQ as BACT for Formosa's and CCND's CFB boilers, with the addition of activated carbon injection for mercury control, which was not required by TCEQ of either Formosa or CCND, and a post-combustion scrubber, which was not required by TCEQ of CCND.

258. WSEC performed its BACT analysis under Tier I in accordance with TCEQ guidance.

259. WSEC did not consider integrated gasification combined cycle (IGCC) technology as part of its BACT analysis because IGCC would constitute redefinition of WSEC's proposed CFB power plant design.

260. WSEC's decision to use bituminous coal from the Illinois Basin and pet coke as fuel for the proposed facility was a fundamental business decision that affected the design and location of the facility.

261. Pet coke is generated in the Gulf Coast region of Texas, providing a fuel source close to WSEC's facility.

262. The local availability of pet coke was an important factor in selecting the site and design of the facility.

263. The use of Illinois Basin coal would diversify the overall mix of fuels used for power generation in Texas since other solid-fuel generation in Texas is fueled by either Texas lignite or western sub-bituminous coals from the Power River Basin.

264. A compulsion to use other fuels as a result of the BACT analysis would result in a redefinition of the source.

265. The operational and design differences between a CFB boiler and a PC boiler are substantial and the emissions streams are different.

266. In addition to the Formosa and CCND BACT determinations and the information in the RBLC (a nationwide inventory of BACT determinations), WSEC also reviewed actual permits and permit applications, as well as data from state websites and information obtained through contacts with state regulators and utility representatives.

267. WSEC sought input from engineering firms and potential equipment providers in conducting its BACT analysis.

268. The ED performed his own BACT review of WSEC's project, which included consideration of the RBLC as well as the air permits recently issued for CFBs in Texas (CCND, Formosa Plastics, and Sandow 5) and the draft permit developed for Las Brisas Energy Center.

269. The ED concluded that WSEC's control technologies and emission limits constituted BACT, and documented his reasons for approving slightly different emission rates than those listed in the RBLC for a few of the plants.

270. The specific fuel used by a combustion device affects its emission rates, and must be taken into account when setting permit limits.

271. There are several kinds of coals available, including bituminous, sub-bituminous, lignite and others. Within the bituminous category, the chemical makeup of the coal varies from basin to basin, and, to a lesser degree, from seam to seam.

272. The results of isolated stack tests conducted on emissions at other plants do not establish the emission levels achieved during all operating scenarios, and therefore should not be used to set BACT-based limits at WSEC.

NO_x

273. In conducting its BACT analysis, WSEC limited its consideration of other BACT determinations to those made for other CFB projects, and did not include consideration of PC boilers.

274. WSEC will use selective non-catalytic reduction (SNCR) for additional NO_x removal.

275. An SNCR system injects ammonia into the gases leaving the boiler, where it reacts with NO_x to form nitrogen and water.

276. Using SNCR technology, the BACT emission limits for NO_x are 0.10 lb/MMBtu (hourly limit) and 0.070 lb/MMBtu (30-day average).

277. WSEC's 30-day rolling average NO_x limit of 0.070 pound per million British thermal units (lb/MMBtu) is the most stringent limit for any pet coke or coal-fired CFB plant in the U.S. It also is the lowest emission rate that Alstom Power and Foster Wheeler, the two vendors that manufacture CFBs in the 300 MW range, would guarantee.

278. In addition to SNCR, WSEC and the ED investigated use of another technology to control NO_x emissions, selective catalytic reduction (SCR), which uses a catalyst bed to promote the ammonia-NO_x reactions.

279. SCR is not a new technical development—it has been in existence for decades.

280. No permitting authority has ever determined that SCR in any configuration represents BACT for a CFB.

281. There are no coal or pet coke-fired CFBs anywhere in the world that use SCR in any configuration.

282. The high-dust configuration for SCR is not technically feasible because of catalyst poisoning or deactivation by calcium oxide in the flue gas stream from the limestone introduced during combustion for sulfur emissions capture.

283. High-dust SCR on a CFB is not commercially available.

284. The only application of a tail-end SCR on a PC boiler in the U.S., the retrofitting of tail-end SCR at the Mercer power plant located within a nonattainment area in New Jersey, was the result not of a BACT determination, but of an EPA consent decree imposed to resolve violations of the Clean Air Act.

285. For the reactions to occur in an SCR, the flue gas temperature must be between 580°F and 750°F, whereas the flue gas temperature exiting a baghouse from a CFB unit is typically 140°F. This means that the tail gas must be re-heated before treatment, with a substantial energy and emissions penalty.

286. Tail-end SCR is not technically feasible or economically reasonable.

287. A side effect of having to re-heat flue gases for treatment in a tail-end SCR would be increased emissions due to additional fuel combustion.

288. In a tail-end SCR configuration, some amount of calcium oxide still would remain in the flue gas, which would not be removed by the baghouse and would pose a risk of catalyst poisoning.

289. SNCR is an effective NO_x control for CFBs, and does not have the same problems associated with SCR.

SO_2

290. WSEC will use two systems for SO_2 control: the CFB combustion process; and a dry flue gas desulfurization (FGD). These two systems and their combined control efficiency of 99 percent are BACT for the control of SO_2.

291. The following emission limits are BACT for SO_2: 0.114 lb/MMBtu (30-day rolling average) and 0.086 lb/MMBtu (12-month average) while burning pet coke; and 0.063 lb/MMBtu (30-day rolling average) and 0.063 lb/MMBtu (12-month average) while burning coal.

292. Wet FGD is not a new technological development. Wet FGD on a CFB is not technologically practicable or economically reasonable for the control of SO_2.

293. The RBLC indicates that there are CFBs with lower permitted SO_2 emission rates, but this is a function not of superior control technology performance—they all use limestone bed and a dry FGD with a combined control efficiency of less than 99%—but of lower sulfur concentrations in the fuel.

294. Fuel selection is based on availability, reliability, performance, cost, and other factors, and changing the fuel source in the course of the BACT analysis would likely throw other design considerations into question, including the economics of the project.

295. Wet FGD requires more energy and water to operate, and produces a scrubber sludge waste stream.

PM

296. To control PM/PM_{10} emissions, WSEC will equip its CFB boilers with fabric filter baghouses. The injection of limestone into the boilers and use of a dry FGD will further reduce PM emissions.

297. Total PM is the sum of filterable PM and condensable PM.

298. Texas is one of a few states that sets emissions limits for total PM.

299. EPA's reference test method for condensable PM has an erratic and positive bias, and EPA proposed a new test method on March 25, 2009. EPA's proposed test method is expected to be more accurate. As of the date of the evidentiary hearing, EPA had not adopted this new test method.

300. There is scientific uncertainty in the measurement of condensable PM, a constituent of total PM.

301. There is variation in the total PM emission limits of other CFBs in the RBLC. The emission limits range from 0.012 to 0.050 lb/MMBtu, with WSEC's limits falling within that range.

302. The following emission limit is BACT for the control of filterable PM/PM_{10}: 0.010 lb/MMBtu based on a 3-hour average.

303. The following emission limit is BACT for the control of total PM: 0.025 lb/MMBtu for pet coke and coal based on a 3-hour average.

304. The following emission limits are BACT for the control of total $PM_{2.5}$: 0.025 lb/MMBtu based on a 3-hour average for pet coke and 0.018 lb/MMBtu based on a 3-hour average for coal.

305. The use of a wet electrostatic precipitator (ESP) is not a new technological development for use on a CFB. Wet ESP is not technologically practicable or economically reasonable. A wet ESP is not BACT for the control of total PM on a CFB.

306. The technology that controls PM_{10} emissions is the same technology that controls $PM_{2.5}$. Because $PM_{2.5}$ is a percentage of PM_{10}, WSEC's control technologies will also control emissions of $PM_{2.5}$.

307. WSEC's BACT analysis properly addressed $PM_{2.5}$ emissions as a subset of PM/PM_{10}.

Lead

308. Any lead emissions from each of the CFB boilers would be in the form of particulate matter, and would be controlled by the fabric filter baghouse.

Mercury

309. WSEC will use a combination of limestone injection, a fabric filter baghouse, and activated carbon injection for the control of mercury emissions.

310. Recent Texas permits for CFBs have not required the use of activated carbon injection to control mercury. Activated carbon injection is a new technology that is technically practicable and economically reasonable to control mercury emissions.

311. WSEC's control systems are BACT for the control of mercury.

312. The following emission limit is BACT for the control of mercury: 0.86×10^{-6} lb/MMBtu based on a 12-month average.

313. The mercury emission rate is directly influenced by the amount of mercury in the coal.

Ammonia

314. Emissions of ammonia from the CFB boilers will be controlled through the use of operational instrumentation systems to limit the ammonia injection rate such that the annual average ammonia slip from the SNCR system will be less than 5 parts per million by volume (dry, corrected to 3% oxygen).

CO

315. WSEC will use good combustion practices to control CO emissions. There are no other existing control measures to reduce emissions of CO.

316. The following emission limit is BACT for the control of CO: 0.10 lb/MMBtu based on a 12-month rolling average.

317. An oxidation catalyst cannot be used at a CFB plant such as WSEC because of the problem of catalyst fouling.

VOCs

318. WSEC will use good combustion practices to control VOCs.

319. The following emission limit is BACT for the control of VOCs: 0.005 lb/MMBtu based on a 3-hour average.

320. The use of an oxidation catalyst to control VOCs is not a new technology for use on a CFB.

321. Since an oxidation catalyst must be used in conjunction with an SCR, it is not technically practicable or economically reasonable to use on a CFB.

322. An oxidation catalyst is not BACT for the control of VOCs on a CFB.

H_2SO_4

323. H_2SO_4 is Sulfuric acid mist (SAM) and is an acid gas that is a component of condensable PM emissions.

324. WSEC will control H_2SO_4 through the use of a limestone bed CFB and a dry FGD, which will provide a 95 percent removal efficiency.

325. WSEC's control technology and 95 percent removal efficiency is BACT for the control of H_2SO_4 on a CFB.

326. H_2SO_4 is a constituent of condensable PM and there is uncertainty in the test methods and inaccuracies in the results.

327. The following emission limits are BACT for H_2SO_4: 0.016 lb/MMBtu based on a 3-hour average for pet coke and 0.012 lb/MMBtu based on a 3-hour average for coal.

Hydrogen Chloride (HCl) and Hydrogen Fluoride (HF)

328. WSEC will control the emission of HCl and HF through the injection of limestone into the boilers and the use of polishing scrubbers.

329. These control methods will provide a 98 percent removal efficiency for the removal of HCl and 95 percent removal efficiency for the removal of HF. These removal efficiencies are BACT for the control of HCl and HF.

330. The following limits are BACT for the control of HCl: 0.0013 lb/MMBtu on a 3-hour average when firing pet coke and 0.005 lb/MMBtu on a 3-hour average when firing coal.

331. The following limits are BACT for the control of HF: 0.0004 lb/MMBtu on a 3-hour average when firing pet coke and 0.0003 lb/MMBtu on a 3-hour average when burning coal.

Control of emissions from the CFB boilers during startup

332. WSEC will be required to prepare and submit to TCEQ a written Startup, Shutdown, and Malfunction Plan, which will detail procedures for minimizing emissions during startup, including starting-up with No. 2 fuel oil and minimizing the length of time to achieve steady-state operations.

Material handling facilities

333. WSEC will minimize emissions from material handling facilities through a combination of partial or total enclosure of conveyors; use of water and/or dust suppression, where technically practical, at transfer points, conveyors, and stockpiles; and use of fabric filter baghouses where technically practical. These control methods are consistent with those approved by TCEQ for material handling emissions at recently permitted coal-fired power plants.

Diesel-fired emergency generators and fire water pump

334. WSEC will minimize emissions from the diesel-fired emergency generators and fire water pump through proper engine operation and limiting the number of annual operating hours to less than 500.

Storage tanks

335. WSEC will minimize emissions from storage tanks by using fixed roof tanks that are submerged-filled, and, with the exception of the gasoline vehicle tank, storing materials with a vapor pressure less than 0.5 psia. The tank storing gasoline for vehicles will be less than 25,000 gallons.

336. The anhydrous ammonia storage tanks are pressure tanks and will not have any emissions during normal operations.

Fugitive emissions from process equipment in ammonia service

337. WSEC will minimize fugitive emissions from process equipment in ammonia service by using an audio/visual/olfactory leak detection and repair program.

Cooling towers

338. WSEC will control PM emissions from cooling towers by minimizing the drift rate through cooling tower design and by using mist eliminators. No additional technologies are available for drift control.

339. The use of air-cooled condensers, or dry cooling, is not a technology to control emissions, but a different method of cooling the plant processes.

340. Dry cooling results in less electrical generation, higher capital costs, more noise, higher auxiliary power requirements, larger footprint requirements, and higher maintenance costs due to the large number of fans.

341. Because dry cooling creates a parasitic load, more fuel input is required to produce the same amount of electricity, and so more plant emissions are produced.

342. By replacing the wet cooling system with a dry cooling system, WSEC would be more than doubling the associated PM_{10} emissions, and increasing emissions of other pollutants as well.

30 TAC § 116.111(a)(2)(G): Performance demonstration

343. WSEC provided information sufficient to demonstrate that WSEC has been planned to operate, and can and will be operated in a manner such that the performance specified in the Application and the Draft Permit will be achieved.

344. WSEC will be required by the terms of the Draft Permit to demonstrate achievement of the performance specified in the Application once WSEC is operating.

345. WSEC will be required by the terms of the Draft Permit to perform testing of emissions from the CFB boilers and various other emission sources, and operate CEMS and COMS on the CFB boiler stacks to demonstrate continuous compliance with certain emissions and opacity limits.

346. WSEC will be required by the terms of the Draft Permit to maintain, report, and make available a variety of records related to the fuels it uses and its ongoing operations under the permit – records that will be available to TCEQ to confirm that the facilities achieve the performance represented in the Application and specified in the Draft Permit.

30 TAC § 116.111(a)(2)(H): Nonattainment review

347. WSEC will be located in Matagorda County, Texas, which is not a designated nonattainment area for any air contaminant; therefore, it is not subject to nonattainment new source review requirements.

30 TAC § 116.111(a)(2)(I): Prevention of Significant Deterioration

347.a The WSEC has the potential to emit NO_x, SO_2, CO, PM, PM_{10}, $PM_{2.5}$, VOC and H_2SO_4 in quantities greater than their published significant emissions levels, and therefore triggers PSD review for those pollutants.

347.b White Stallion conducted an appropriate source impact analysis showing that the WSEC's allowable emissions will not cause or contribute to air pollution in violation of any NAAQS or PSD increment.

347.c White Stallion conducted an appropriate additional impacts analysis to assess the impairment to visibility, soils, and vegetation as a result of the WSEC and associated

commercial, residential, and industrial growth, and to assess air quality impacts as a result of such growth.

347.d Although the WSEC project is expected to create approximately 200 additional permanent jobs, because these jobs are expected to be filled by workers in the local area, this does not trigger the requirement for an in-depth growth analysis and is not expected to significantly increase the emissions of air contaminants from secondary sources.

347.e White Stallion's air dispersion modeling results demonstrated that the WSEC project will not result in adverse effects to soils and vegetation.

347.f The Class I area nearest to the site of the WSEC is the Caney Creek Wilderness Area, located 400 miles (645 kilometers) north-northeast of the site in southwestern Arkansas.

347.g The WSEC will not have adverse impacts on visibility because its emissions will comply with the visibility and opacity requirements in 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE Chapter 111, and the WSEC will be located greater than 100 kilometers from the nearest Class I area.

347.h A Class I area visibility analysis is not required because the nearest Class I area is more than 100 kilometers from the site of the WSEC.

PSD Increment Analysis

347.i PSD increments are allowable incremental changes in off-property concentrations of certain pollutants for which PSD review has been triggered.

347.j White Stallion performed a PSD increment analysis for NO_2, PM_{10}, and SO_2.

PSD Increment Analysis: NO_2

347.k An NO_2 increment exists for one averaging period, annual (25 $\mu g/m^3$).

347.l The maximum modeled annual average NO_2 concentration resulting from the WSEC's emissions and emissions from other increment-consuming sources in the area constructed or modified after the increment baseline date was 4.8 $\mu g/m^3$.

347.m The WSEC's emissions will not cause or contribute to an exceedance of the annual average NO_2 increment of 25 $\mu g/m^3$.

PSD Increment Analysis: PM_{10}

347.n PM10 increments exist for two averaging periods: 24-hour (30 $\mu g/m^3$) and annual (17 $\mu g/m^3$).

347.o For its PM_{10} increment analysis, White Stallion conservatively compared its NAAQS modeling results, which included emissions from any non-increment-consuming sources constructed or modified prior to the increment baseline date, to the applicable increment.

24-hour PM_{10} Increment

347.p The maximum modeled 24-hour average PM_{10} concentration resulting from the WSEC's emissions and emissions from other sources in the area was 28.2 $\mu g/m^3$.

347.q The WSEC's emissions will not cause or contribute to an exceedance of the 24-hour PM_{10} increment of 30 $\mu g/m^3$.

Annual PM_{10} Increment

347.r The maximum modeled annual average PM_{10} concentration resulting from the WSEC's emissions and emissions from other sources in the area was 6.2 $\mu g/m^3$.

347.s The WSEC's emissions will not cause or contribute to an exceedance of the annual PM_{10} increment of 17 $\mu g/m^3$.

PSD Increment Analysis: SO_2

347.t SO_2 increments exist for three averaging periods: 3-hour (512 $\mu g/m^3$), 24-hour (79.3 $\mu g/m^3$), and annual (20 $\mu g/m^3$).

347.u For its SO_2 increment analysis, White Stallion conservatively compared its NAAQS modeling results, which included emissions from any non-increment-consuming sources constructed or modified prior to the increment baseline date, to the applicable increment.

3-hour SO_2 Increment

347.v The maximum modeled 3-hour average SO_2 concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions and emissions from other sources in the area was 505 $\mu g/m^3$.

347.w The WSEC's emissions will not cause or contribute to an exceedance of the 3-hour SO_2 increment of 512 $\mu g/m^3$.

24-hour SO_2 Increment

347.x The maximum modeled 24-hour average SO_2 concentration resulting from WSEC's emissions and emissions from other sources in the area was 79.3 $\mu g/m^3$.

347.y The WSEC's emissions will not cause or contribute to an exceedance of the 24-hour SO_2 increment of 91 $\mu g/m^3$.

Annual SO_2 Increment

347.z The maximum modeled annual average SO_2 concentration resulting from the WSEC's emissions and emissions from other sources in the area was 6.7 $\mu g/m^3$.

347.aa The WSEC's emissions will not cause or contribute to an exceedance of the 24-hour SO_2 increment of 20 $\mu g/m^3$.

PSD Increment Analysis Summary

347.bb Emissions from the WSEC will not cause or contribute to an exceedance of any PSD increment.

PSD Preconstruction Monitoring Analysis

347.cc White Stallion modeled the WSEC's emissions of NO_2, CO, SO_2, and PM_{10} and compared the maximum predicted concentrations with the PSD monitoring significance levels.

347.dd No preconstruction monitoring data for NO_2 or CO were required because the maximum predicted concentrations were less than the PSD monitoring significance levels.

347.ee Preconstruction monitoring data for SO_2 and PM_{10} were required because the predicted concentrations of these pollutants were greater than the PSD monitoring significance levels.

347.ff The monitoring data for SO_2 and PM_{10} that were used to determine background concentrations were used to satisfy the TCEQ's PSD preconstruction monitoring data requirements.

Federal Standards of Review for Constructed or Reconstructed Major Sources of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs): 30 TAC § 116.111(a)(2)(K) (Case-By-Case MACT).

348. EPA's definition states:

> Maximum achievable control technology (MACT) emission limitation for new sources means the emission limitation which is not less stringent than the emission limitation achieved in practice by the best controlled similar source, and which reflects the maximum degree of reduction in emissions that the permitting authority, taking into consideration the cost of achieving such emission reduction, and any non-air quality health and environmental impacts and energy requirements, determines is achievable by the constructed or reconstructed major source. 40 CFR § 63.41.

349. The TCEQ's definition mirrors the EPA's definition. 30 TAC § 116.15.

350. WSEC prepared an FCAA § 112(g) case-by-case MACT analysis as part of the application and applied for a HAP Major Source Permit to establish case-by-case MACT requirements.

351. The case-by-case MACT analysis was complete and included all information necessary for the ED to render a case-by-case MACT determination for WSEC facility.

352. ED staff reviewed the case-by-case MACT analysis and determined it to be complete and in compliance with all applicable rules and policies as documented in the Administrative Record.

353. Based on the case-by-case MACT analysis contained in the Application and other information available to the ED, the ED followed the proper procedure for case-by-case MACT determination for WSEC facility as described in the Preliminary Determination Summary.

354. WSEC performed the case-by-case MACT analysis in two primary steps. In the first step, WSEC established the "MACT floor" or the most stringent limitation achieved in practice by the best controlled similar source. In the second step, WSEC performed a "beyond-the-floor" analysis of the other methods for potentially reducing emissions to a greater degree, considering such factors as the cost of achieving such emissions reductions and any non-air quality health and environmental impacts and energy requirements to establish whether further reductions are achievable.

355. WSEC's facility may emit four categories of HAPs: non-mercury HAP metals, which are emitted as PM; mercury; organic HAPs; and acid gases, which include HCl and HF.

356. WSEC developed emission limits for five pollutants, with two of these pollutants serving as surrogates for two categories of HAPs. The five specific emissions limits proposed in the MACT application are: CO, for organic HAPs; filterable PM, for non-mercury HAP metals; mercury; HCl; and HF.

357. All necessary HAPs were evaluated as part of WSEC's MACT analysis.

358. There are no technologies available for controlling emissions of any specific non-mercury metals from WSEC beyond a fabric filter baghouse.

359. WSEC will use CO as a surrogate for organic HAPs. Organic HAPs are a subset of the VOC emissions and both VOCs and CO are products of incomplete combustion. The use of CO as a surrogate for ensuring the required MACT level of control for organic HAPs is appropriate because low levels of CO in the flue gas are indicators of good combustion,

and thus good indicators of the destruction of the organic HAPs. The CO emission limit represents the MACT emission limit for organic HAPs.

360. WSEC will use filterable PM as a surrogate for non-mercury HAP metals. The use of filterable PM is an appropriate surrogate for ensuring the required MACT level of control for non-mercury HAP metals because filterable PM and non-mercury HAP metals have common formation mechanisms and control techniques. The filterable PM limit will set a MACT emission limit for non-mercury HAP metals.

361. EPA and the TCEQ define the term "similar source" as "a stationary source or process that has comparable emissions and is structurally similar in design and capacity to a constructed or reconstructed major source such that the source could be controlled using the same control technology." 40 CFR § 63.41; 30 TAC § 116.15(10).

362. A MACT analysis should review facilities with similar combustion technology. Flue gases from CFBs and PCs have different concentrations of pollutants and different physical properties. The type of fuel burned has a major impact on the amount and type of pollutants emitted from the facility.

363. Evaluating facilities with similar combustion processes is sufficient to determine the best controlled similar source in a MACT analysis. WSEC properly evaluated the best controlled similar sources in its MACT analysis.

364. A removal efficiency of 90 percent and limit of 0.86×10^{-6} lb/MMBtu is MACT for the control of mercury at WSEC facility.

365. A filterable PM limit of 0.010 lb/MMBtu is MACT for the control of non-mercury HAP metals.

366. A 98 percent removal efficiency for HCl is MACT for the control of this pollutant. The following limits are MACT for the control of HCl: 0.0013 lb/MMBtu on a 3-hour average when firing pet coke and 0.005 lb/MMBtu on a 3-hour average when firing coal.

367. A 95 percent removal efficiency for HF is MACT for the control of this pollutant. The following limits are MACT for the control of HF: 0.0004 lb/MMBtu on a 3-hour average when firing pet coke and 0.0003 lb/MMBtu on a 3-hour average when burning coal.

368. A CO limit of 0.010 lb/MMBtu based on a 12-month average is MACT for the control of organic HAPs.

369. Utilization of good pollution control practices to meet the hourly emission limits set forth in the Maximum Allowable Emission Rate Table (MAERT) of the Draft Permit is MACT for start-up and shut down emissions from WSEC facility.

370. WSEC is required to comply with the lb/hr emission rates listed in the draft MAERT. The lb/hr emission rates in the MAERT are calculated directly from the BACT/MACT-based lb/MMBtu standards in Special Condition No. 10 using a MMBtu/hr conversion factor.

371. The ED performed a review of WSEC's case-by-case MACT analysis, and determined that WSEC will apply MACT to control HAP emissions. The results of that determination are incorporated into the terms of the Draft Permit.

Special Condition 45

372. Special Condition No. 45 is not a substitute for determining BACT and MACT. Special Condition No. 45 allows for permit limits to be adjusted downward if actual emission levels prove to be less than the permitted limit.

Monitoring Provisions

373. To monitor compliance with applicable standards for PM, VOC, H_2SO_4, HCl, and HF, WSEC will conduct periodic stack sampling, install bag break detectors, and monitor the pressure drop across the baghouse to ensure that it is operating according to manufacturers' guidelines. WSEC will use a continuous opacity monitoring system (COMS) to aid compliance with PM emission limits.

374. Neither Texas nor federal law requires WSEC to monitor PM emissions with a CEMS.

375. Bag break detectors, which alert the operator to any problems with the functioning of the fabric filter baghouse, have an advantage over PM CEMS in that they provide immediate feedback to the operator regarding the location of a bag break, which allows for faster, more directed corrective action to shut down a particular compartment right away if necessary to minimize PM emissions.

PAL Permit

376. EPA has not determined whether the TCEQ's rules regarding plantwide area permit limits comply with the SIP. EPA Region 6 has expressed no legal challenge to the Commission's authority to grant WSEC's application under the current PAL rules.

30 TAC § 116.111(a)(2)(L): Mass cap and trade allowances

377. WSEC will not be located in the Houston-Galveston-Brazoria nonattainment area.

Compliance history

378. WSEC's compliance history is classified as "average by default" because it is a new entity.

Draft permit

379. The special conditions contained in the Draft Permit are comparable to those contained in other permits issued by the TCEQ.

380. The WSEC, as designed, is expected to comply with the terms of the Draft Permit.

381. The Draft Permit prescribes requirements for demonstrating initial and ongoing compliance with all applicable requirements of the permit and of the Texas Clean Air Act (TCAA).

382. Special Condition No. 45 states that, if the first annual compliance sampling after startup indicates measured emission rates below 50 percent of the limits for certain pollutants, WSEC must, within 60 days, submit a request to adjust those limits to reflect the results of the testing.

383. Inclusion of Special Condition No. 45 in the Draft Permit did not affect the BACT determination for this project.

Transcript Costs

384. The ALJs required the court reporter to prepare the transcript, and no specific party actually requested it.

385. All of the parties participated in the hearing. Although WSEC presented the most number of direct witnesses and the only rebuttal witnesses, the parties actively cross-examined each others' witnesses. All parties relied on the transcript in their closing arguments and replies. Each party benefitted from a hearing transcript.

386. The transcript costs are allocated equally among the three non-statutory parties: 1/3 to WSEC; 1/3 to EDF; and 1/3 to SC/NCC.

387. WSEC paid $7,529.75 for court reporting services. One-third of the fee for the court reporting services is $2,509.91.

Other remaining issues

388. With respect to all other contested issues and all unrefuted issues, the Application and the remainder of the evidentiary record contain sufficient factual information to satisfy all applicable statutory and regulatory requirements.

II. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Jurisdiction

1. The Commission has jurisdiction over WSEC's Application pursuant to TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE Chapter 382 and TEX. WATER CODE Chapter 5.

2. WSEC's Application was directly referred to SOAH pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE § 5.557.

3. Pursuant to TEX. GOV'T CODE § 2003.047, SOAH has jurisdiction to conduct a hearing and to prepare a PFD in this matter.

4. Proper notice of WSEC's Application was provided pursuant to TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §§ 382.0516, 382.0517, and 382.056, 30 TAC § 39.601, *et seq.*, and TEX. GOV'T CODE §§ 2001.051 and 2001.052.

5. WSEC properly submitted a complete Application pursuant to TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §§ 382.0515 and 382.0518 and 30 TAC §§ 116.110, 116.111, 116.140, and 116.404.

Burden of Proof

6. Pursuant to 30 TAC §§ 55.210 and 80.17(a), in a contested case hearing involving an air quality permit application that has been directly referred, the burden of proof is on the applicant to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the application satisfies all statutory and regulatory requirements.

7. WSEC met its burden of proof that the Application satisfies all statutory and regulatory requirements.

TCAA Standards

8. Under Texas law, WSEC may not construct its proposed facility until it has obtained a permit from the Commission. TEX. HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE § 382.0518(a).

9. TEX. HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE § 382.0518(b) states:

> The commission shall grant within a reasonable time a permit or permit amendment to construct or modify a facility if, from the information available to the commission, including information presented at any hearing held under Section 382.056(k), the commission finds:
>
> (1) the proposed facility for which a permit, permit amendment, or a special permit is sought will use at least the best available control technology, considering the technical practicability and economic reasonableness of reducing or eliminating the emissions resulting from the facility; and
>
> (2) no indication that the emissions from the facility will contravene the intent of [the TCAA], including protection of the public's health and physical property.

10. Under the FCAA, new major sources of HAPs are prohibited from commencing construction unless the source demonstrates it will achieve an emission standard equivalent to the "maximum achievable control technology emission limitation" for each HAP emitted. 42 U.S.C. § 7412(g).

10.a TEX. HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE § 382.0541(a) authorizes the Commission to require certain sources to use BACT, or MACT, if it is more stringent, and to establish MACT requirements.

30 TAC § 116.111(a)(2)(A): Protection of Public Health and Welfare

11. A demonstration of compliance with the PM_{10} NAAQS suffices to demonstrate compliance with the $PM_{2.5}$ NAAQS.

12. There is no legal requirement that WSEC consult with EPA on the ozone analysis for this project.

13. Low levels of ozone precursors may be allowed to flow into an ozone nonattainment area without that contribution legally violating the "cause or contribute to" standard set forth at 40 CFR 52.21(k), as incorporated into TCEQ's rules at 30 TAC § 116.160(c)(2)(B).

14. WSEC met its burden or proving that in accordance with 40 CFR 52.21(k), as incorporated into TCEQ's rules at 30 TAC § 116.160(c)(2)(B), WSEC's emissions will not cause or contribute to air pollution in violation of any NAAQS in any air quality control region, or any applicable maximum allowable increase over the baseline concentration in any area.

14.a In accordance with 30 TAC § 101.4, the WSEC's emissions will not cause any nuisance conditions.

15. WSEC's emissions will comply with the opacity limits and particulate matter emission rates set forth in 30 TAC Chapter 111 concerning control of air pollution from visible emissions and particulate matter.

16. WSEC's emissions will comply with the sulfur compound emission requirements set forth in 30 TAC Chapter 112 concerning control of air pollution from sulfur compounds.

17. WSEC will comply with all applicable standards adopted by reference in 30 TAC Chapter 113.

18. WSEC's diesel fuel tanks will only store diesel that meets the specifications set forth in 30 TAC Chapter 114.

19. The unloading of diesel fuel from trucks into storage tanks at WSEC will comply with applicable control, inspection, and recordkeeping requirements set forth in 30 TAC Chapter 115.

20. WSEC is not subject to the rules set forth in 30 TAC Chapter 117 regarding the control of NO_X because it will not be located in an ozone nonattainment area.

21. WSEC is required to operate in compliance with any orders of the Commission relating to generalized and localized air pollution episodes under 30 TAC Chapter 118.

22. WSEC is not subject to the emission reduction plan requirements of 30 TAC Chapter 118.

23. In accordance with 30 TAC § 116.111(a)(2)(A)(i), emissions from WSEC will comply with all Commission rules and regulations and the intent of the TCAA, including protection of the health and property of the public.

24. WSEC is not required to evaluate any impacts from WSEC's emissions of substances that are not regulated under the TCAA, such as water vapor, nitrogen, methane, ethane, and carbon dioxide.

25. It was appropriate for WSEC to not model road emissions even for an annual averaging period.

26. The following standards or guidelines are appropriate to determine whether a source's emissions are likely to cause adverse health or welfare effects: National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), Net Ground Level Concentration (NGLC) or "state property line" standards, and Effects Screening Levels (ESLs).

27. Special Condition No. 20 of the Draft Permit should be revised to read as follows: Permanent plant roads shall be paved with a cohesive hard surface which can be and cleaned by sweeping and washing as necessary to maintain compliance with all TCEQ rules and regulations. Other roads shall be sprinkled with water and/or surface crusting agents as necessary to maintain compliance with all TCEQ rules and regulations.

30 TAC § 116.111(a)(2)(B): Measurement of emissions

28. In accordance with 30 TAC §116.111(a)(2)(B), WSEC will have provisions for measuring the emission of air contaminants as determined by the Commission's ED.

29. WSEC will be required by the Draft Permit to properly install, operate, and maintain continuous emissions monitoring systems (CEMS) to provide a continuous demonstration of compliance with limits on emissions of NO_x, SO_2, CO, mercury and ammonia from the CFB boilers.

30. To monitor compliance with applicable standards for PM, VOC, H_2SO_4, HCl and HF, WSEC will be required by the Draft Permit to conduct periodic stack sampling and use other pollutant-specific techniques.

31. Other monitoring requirements in the Draft Permit include periodic sampling of fuel for sulfur and metals content.

32. For PM, in addition to periodic stack sampling, WSEC will install bag break detectors to monitor the pressure drop across the baghouse to ensure that it is meeting manufacturer guidelines for proper operation, and install and operate a continuous opacity monitoring system (COMS).

33. The ED considered and rejected requiring WSEC to use PM CEMS.

34. PM CEMS are an evolving technology that has not yet been required in permits in Texas.

35. PM CEMS have not been in existence long enough, or installed on enough sources, to provide a sufficient record of measurement from specific source categories to determine what limits are achievable on a continuous basis.

36. The Draft Permit's provisions for measuring emissions from WSEC are comparable to those required of similar facilities permitted by TCEQ.

37. The Draft Permit contains appropriate emissions-measuring provisions for each type of emission from each emission point, with consideration given to the relative significance of each, as well as to the measurement methods and data that were used to determine the

limits, and any emissions-measurement requirements of federal programs such as the NSPS and Acid Rain Rules.

38. The methods for measuring emissions from WSEC required by the Draft Permit are adequate to assure compliance with the permit conditions and emissions limitations.

30 TAC § 116.111(a)(2)(D): New Source Performance Standards

39. In accordance with 30 TAC § 116.111(a)(2)(D), the emissions from WSEC will meet the requirements of any applicable NSPS as listed under 40 CFR Part 60, promulgated by the EPA under authority granted under Section 111 of the FCAA, as amended.

30 TAC § 116.111(a)(2)(E): National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP)

40. There are no national emissions standards for hazardous air pollutants (NESHAPs) applicable to facilities of a type including WSEC.

30 TAC § 116.111(a)(2)(F): NESHAP for Source Categories

41. The only NESHAP for source categories applicable to facilities of a type including WSEC are those set forth at 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart ZZZZ (National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines), which generally apply to the diesel-fired emergency generators at WSEC. However, only the initial notification requirements of those rules apply.

30 TAC § 116.111(a)(2)(G): Performance demonstration

42. In accordance with 30 TAC § 116.111(a)(2)(G), WSEC facilities will achieve the performance specified in the permit application.

30 TAC § 116.111(a)(2)(I): Prevention of Significant Deterioration

43. In accordance with 30 TAC § 116.111(a)(2)(I), WSEC complies with all applicable requirements of Chapter 116 regarding PSD review.

30 TAC § 116.111(a)(2(J): Air Dispersion Modeling

44. In accordance with 30 TAC § 116.111(a)(2)(J), computerized air dispersion modeling was performed as required to determine the air impacts from WSEC.

30 TAC § 116.111(a)(2)(C): Best Available Control Technology

45. TCEQ defines BACT as, "[Best Available Control Technology] with consideration given to the technical practicability and the economic reasonableness of reducing or eliminating emissions from the facility." 30 TAC § 116.10(3).

46. The application of BACT, as defined at 40 CFR § 52.21(b)(12) or in EPA's top down methodology, would not result in more stringent emissions limits for WSEC's proposed facility.

47. In accordance with TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.0518 and 30 TAC § 116.111(a)(2)(C), WSEC's facility will utilize BACT, with consideration given to the technical practicability and economic reasonableness of reducing or eliminating emissions from its facilities.

48. There is no statutory or regulatory requirement to evaluate BACT for carbon dioxide emissions.

49. An applicant that is proposing to construct a circulating fluidized bed power plant is not required to include other electric generation technologies, such as integrated gasification/combined cycle (IGCC) technology, in its BACT analysis.

50. In the context of a Tier I review, "new technical developments" encompass only those occurring since the most recent permitting decisions.

30 TAC § 116.111(a)(2)(K): Hazardous Air Pollutants & Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT)

51. In accordance with 30 TAC § 116.111(a)(2)(K) and Chapter 116, Subchapter C, WSEC will utilize MACT to control emissions from the CFB boilers.

52. In accordance with 30 TAC § 116.111(a)(2)(K), WSEC has complied with all applicable requirements of Chapter 116 regarding case-by-case MACT review.

53. TCEQ rules found at 30 TAC §§ 116.400-406 implement 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart B, which govern Hazardous Air Pollutant from Constructed or Reconstructed Major Sources.

54. Under 30 TAC § 116.15(5), a hazardous air pollutant is "any air pollutant listed under the FCAA, § 112(b)."

55. A "[s]ource" is "[a] point of origin of air contaminants, whether privately or publicly owned or operated. 30 TAC § 116.10(17).

56. An "affected source" is a "stationary source or group of stationary sources which, when fabricated (on-site), erected, or installed meets the criteria in §116.180(a)(1) and (2) of this title (relating to Applicability) and for which no MACT standard has been promulgated under 40 CFR Part 63." 30 TAC § 116.15(1). The cross-reference is § 116.15(1) to § 116.180, pertaining to plant-wide applicability limits, is incorrect. It should be a cross-reference to § 116.400, pertaining to case-by-case MACT review as required under FCAA § 112(g).

57. Major source is defined by 40 CFR § 63.2 as:

> any stationary source or group of stationary sources located within a contiguous area and under common control that emits or has the potential to emit considering controls, in the aggregate, 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant or 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants, unless the Administrator establishes a lesser quantity, or in the case of radionuclides, different criteria from those specified in this sentence.

58. WSEC's facility would be a new major source of HAPs and an affected source as defined at 30 TAC § 116.15(1).

59. An affected source of HAPs is required to submit a permit application. 30 TAC § 116.404 states:

> Consistent with the requirements of 40 Code of Federal Regulations § 63.43 (concerning maximum achievable control technology determinations for constructed and reconstructed major sources), the owner or operator of a proposed affected source (as defined in §116.15(1) of this title (relating to Section 112(g) Definitions)) shall submit a permit application as described in §116.110 of this title (relating to Applicability).

60. MACT is defined by 30 TAC § 116.15(7) as:

> The emission limitation which is not less stringent than the emission limitation achieved in practice by the best controlled similar source, and which reflects the maximum degree of reduction in emissions that the executive director, taking into consideration the cost of achieving such emission reduction, and any non-air quality health and environmental impacts and energy requirements, determines is achievable by the constructed or reconstructed major source.

61. Similarly, 40 CFR § 63.41 provides:

> *Maximum achievable control technology (MACT) emission limitation* for new sources means the emission limitation which is not less stringent than the emission limitation achieved in practice by the best controlled similar source, and which reflects the maximum degree of reduction in emissions that the permitting authority, taking into consideration the cost of achieving such emission reduction, and any non-air quality health and environmental impacts and energy requirements, determines is achievable by the constructed or reconstructed major source.

62. WSEC's facility is an affected source of HAPs for which no MACT standard is in place.

63. Under 30 TAC § 116.110, before any actual work is begun on the facility, any person who plans to construct any new facility or to engage in the modification of any existing facility which may emit air contaminants into the air of this state shall either obtain a permit under 30 TAC §116.111, or comply with an alternative requirement.

64. Based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, WSEC has made all demonstrations required under applicable federal and state laws and regulations, including 30 TAC § 116.404 regarding hazardous air pollutant major source permit applications, to be issued a hazardous air pollutant major source air quality permit with case-by-case MACT review.

65. In accordance with 30 TAC §§ 116.111(a)(2)(K) and 116.404, an application for a case-by-case MACT determination was properly conducted and submitted by WSEC to establish federally enforceable MACT emission limits.

66. The case-by-case MACT application for WSEC facility is complete and complies with all applicable requirements for a HAP major source permit found in 30 TAC Chapter 116 and 40 CFR Part 63 regarding MACT review.

67. WSEC met its burden of proof regarding MACT.

68. WSEC's removal efficiencies for HCl and HF satisfy the requirements for MACT for the facility.

30 TAC § 116.111(a)(2)(B): Measurement of Emissions

69. In accordance with 30 TAC § 116.111(a)(2)(B), WSEC will have provisions for measuring the emission of air contaminants as determined by the ED.

30 TAC § 116.111(a)(2)(L): Mass cap and trade allowances

70. WSEC is not subject to the Mass Emissions Cap and Trade program.

WSEC's Permit

71. The special conditions in the permit are appropriately added under 30 TAC §§ 116.115(c)(1) and 116.186(c) and are consistent with the TCAA.

72. The PAL provisions of the permit are severable, meaning that their removal from the permit would have no effect on the rest of the permit terms and conditions.

73. Based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, WSEC has made all demonstrations required under applicable statutes and regulations, including 30 TAC § 116.111 regarding air permit applications, to be issued an air quality permit with PSD review.

74. Based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, WSEC has made all demonstrations required under applicable statutes and regulations, including 30 TAC § 116.182 regarding PAL permit applications, to be issued a PAL permit.

75. Based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, WSEC has made all demonstrations required under applicable statutes and regulations, including 30 TAC §§ 116.400 – 116.406 regarding HAP permit applications, to be issued a HAP permit.

76. In accordance with TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.0518(b)(1), WSEC will use at least the BACT, considering the technical practicability and economic reasonableness of reducing or eliminating its emissions.

77. In accordance with TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.0518(b)(2), emissions from WSEC will not contravene the intent of the TCAA and will be protective of the public's health and physical property, consistent with the long-standing interpretation of the Commission's rules, regulations, and guidance.

78. In accordance with Tex. Health & Safety Code §382.0518(b), the application for Air Quality Permit Nos. 86088, HAP28, PAL26 and PSD-TX-1160 should be approved and Air Quality Permit Nos. 86088, HAP28, PAL26 and PSD-TX-1160 should be issued with the following changes to Special Condition No. 20:

> Permanent plant roads shall be paved with a cohesive hard surface which can be and cleaned by sweeping and washing as necessary to maintain compliance with

all TCEQ rules and regulations. Other roads shall be sprinkled with water and/or surface crusting agents as necessary to maintain compliance with all TCEQ rules and regulations.

Transcript Costs

79. Based on the above Findings of Fact, EDF and SC/NCC should each be required to reimburse WSEC for one-third of the total invoice, or $2,509.91 each.

III. EXPLANATION OF CHANGES

At its September 29, 2010 agenda meeting, the Commission adopted the ALJs' Proposed Order granting White Stallion Energy Center's applications for Air Quality Permit No. 86088, PSD Air Quality Permit No. PSD-TX-1160; Major Source Permit HAP-28; and Plantwide Applicability Limit Permit PAL-26, as modified by the ALJs' reply, dated August 11, 2010, with the following exceptions and additional changes:

a. Deleted Finding of Fact No. 312;
b. Modified Finding of Fact No. 314 to set the BACT limit for Total PM at 0.025 lb/MMBtu for pet coke and coal, based on a 3-hour average;
c. Modified Finding of Fact No. 315 to set the BACT limit for Total $PM_{2.5}$ at 0.025 lb/MMBtu for pet coke and 0.018 lb/MMBtu coal, based on a 3-hour average;
d. Modified Finding of Fact No. 338 to set the BACT limit for H_2SO_4 at 0.016 lb/MMBtu for pet coke and 0.012 lb/MMBtu for coal based on a 3-hour average;
e. Adopted the following changes, as recommended by Applicant in the red-lined, revised proposed order attached to its Exceptions:
   - Added Applicant's proposed Finding of Fact Nos. 222(e) and 248(a);
   - Deleted the ALJs' Finding of Fact No. 223 and Conclusions of Law Nos. 8, 28, 46, and 78;
   - Accepted Applicant's proposed changes to the ALJs' Conclusions of Law Nos. 7, 24, 45;
   - Deleted the ALJs' Ordering Provisions Nos. 1 and 2; and
   - Accepted the Applicant's proposed Ordering Provision No. 1.

The Commission directed that the above changes to the ALJs' Proposed Order be made in light of the Commission's determination that the record establishes that no adverse health or welfare effects will result from emissions of coal dust from WSEC and that WSEC met its burden of proof regarding the validity of the ozone monitor data and based on the Commission's determination that the record establishes that: 1) the BACT emission limit for Total PM is 0.025 lb/MMBtu for pet coke and coal, based on a 3-hour average; 2) the BACT emission limits for Total $PM_{2.5}$ are 0.025 lb/MMBtu for pet coke and 0.018 lb/MMBtu coal, based on a 3-hour average; 3) the BACT emission limits for H_2SO_4 are 0.016 lb/MMBtu for pet coke and 0.012 lb/MMBtu for coal based on a 3-hour average; and 4) the ALJs correctly determined in their August 11, 2010 reply that the record establishes that the MACT emission limits for the control of HCl are: 0.0013 lb/MMBtu on a 3-hour average when firing pet coke and 0.005 lb/MMBtu on a 3-hour average when firing coal; and the MACT emission limits for the control of HF are: 0.0004 lb/MMBtu on a 3-hour average when firing pet coke and 0.0003 lb/MMBtu on a 3-hour average when burning coal.

In addition, the General Counsel renumbered the ALJs' Proposed Order, as directed by the Commission at its September 29, 2010 agenda.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDERED BY THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THESE FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, THAT:

1. The application of White Stallion Energy Center, LLC for Air Quality Permit Nos. 86088, HAP28, PAL26, and PSD-TX-1160 is approved and the attached draft permit is issued.

2. The ED's Response to Comments concerning WSEC's Air Permit Nos. 86088, HAP28, PAL26, and PSD-TX-1160 is adopted and approved. If there is any conflict between the Commission's Order and the ED's Response to Comments, the Commission's Order prevails.

3. EDF and SC/NCC are each required to reimburse WSEC for one-third of the total invoice, or $2,509.91 each.

4. The effective date of this Order is the date the Order is final, as provided by 30 TAC § 80.273 and TEX. GOV'T CODE § 2001.144.

5. The Chief Clerk of the Commission shall forward a copy of this Order to all parties and issue the attached permit as changed to conform to this Order.

6 All other motions, requests for specific Findings of Fact or Conclusions of Law, and other requests for general and specific relief, if not expressly granted, are denied for want of merit.

7. If any provision, sentence, clause, or phrase of this Order is for any reason held to be invalid, the invalidity of any portion shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Order.

ISSUED: OCT 19 2010

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Bryan W. Shaw

Bryan W. Shaw, Ph.D., Chairman
For the Commission

Bryan W. Shaw, Ph.D., *Chairman*
Buddy Garcia, *Commissioner*
Carlos Rubinstein, *Commissioner*
Mark R. Vickery, P.G., *Executive Director*

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Protecting Texas by Reducing and Preventing Pollution

MR RANDY BIRD
CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER
WHITE STALLION ENERGY CENTER LLC
1302 WAUGH DR STE 896
HOUSTON TX 77019-3908

Re: State, Hazardous Air Pollutant, Plant-Wide Applicability, and Prevention of Significant Deterioration Permit Applications
Permit Numbers: 86088, HAP28, PAL26, and PSDTX1160
Coal-Fired Electric Generation Facility
Bay City, Matagorda County
Regulated Entity Number: RN105616148
Customer Reference Number: CN603403700

Dear Mr. Bird:

This is in response to your Form PI-1 (General Application for Air Preconstruction Permits and Amendments) concerning the above-referenced facility.

A permit for your new facility is enclosed. The permit contains several general and special conditions that define the level of operation and a maximum allowable emission rates table (MAERT). We appreciate your careful review of the special conditions of the permit and assuring that all requirements are consistently met. In addition, the construction and operation of the facilities must be as represented in the application.

This permit authorizes planned startup and shutdown emissions as represented in the permit application for only the sources identified on the MAERT. Maintenance activities were not represented in the application and are not authorized and will need to be authorized separately in the future.

This permit will be automatically void upon the occurrence of any of the following, as indicated in Title 30 Texas Administrative Code § 116.120(a) [30 TAC § 116.120(a)]:

1. Failure to begin construction within 18 months of the date of issuance,

2. Discontinuance of construction for more than 18 months prior to completion, or

3. Failure to complete construction within a reasonable time.

Upon request, the executive director may grant extensions as allowed in 30 TAC § 116.120(b).

Re: Permit Numbers 86088, HAP28, PAL26, and PSDTX1160

The limitations of 30 TAC § 116.120(a) do not apply to physical or operational changes allowed without an amendment under 30 TAC § 116.721 of this title (relating to Amendments and Alterations). [30 TAC § 116.715(c)(1)]

This permit is effective as of the date of this letter and will be in effect for ten years from the date of approval.

As of July 1, 2008, all analytical data generated by a mobile or stationary laboratory in support of compliance with air permits must be obtained from a NELAC (National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Conference) accredited laboratory under the Texas Laboratory Accreditation Program or meet one of several exemptions. Specific information concerning which laboratories must be accredited and which are exempt may be found in 30 TAC § 25.4 and § 25.6.

For additional information regarding the laboratory accreditation program and a list of accredited laboratories and their fields of accreditation, please see the following Web site:

http://www.tceq.state.tx.us/compliance/compliance_support/qa/env_lab_accreditation.html

For questions regarding the accreditation program, you may contact the Texas Laboratory Accreditation Program at (512) 239-3754 or by e-mail at labprgms@tceq.state.tx.us.

If you need further information or have any questions, please contact Mr. Randy Hamilton, P.E., at (512) 239-1512 or write to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, Office of Permitting and Registration, Air Permits Division, MC-163, P.O. Box 13087, Austin, Texas 78711-3087.

Sincerely,

Bryan W. Shaw, Ph.D., Chairman
For the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality

Enclosures

cc: Mr. Shanon DiSorbo, Vice President, RPS JDC, Inc., Houston
Air Section Manager, Region 12 - Houston
Air Permits Section Chief, New Source Review, Section (6PD-R), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Dallas

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
AIR QUALITY PERMIT

A PERMIT IS HEREBY ISSUED TO
White Stallion Energy Center, LLC
AUTHORIZING THE CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION OF
Coal-Fired Electric Generation Facility
LOCATED AT **Bay City, Matagorda County, Texas**
LATITUDE 28° 50′ 33″ LONGITUDE 96° 00′ 16″

1. **Facilities** covered by this permit shall be constructed and operated as specified in the application for the permit. All representations regarding construction plans and operation procedures contained in the permit application shall be conditions upon which the permit is issued. Variations from these representations shall be unlawful unless the permit holder first makes application to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (commission) Executive Director to amend this permit in that regard and such amendment is approved. [Title 30 Texas Administrative Code § 116.116 (30 TAC § 116.116)]

2. **Voiding of Permit.** A permit or permit amendment is automatically void if the holder fails to begin construction within 18 months of the date of issuance, discontinues construction for more than 18 months prior to completion, or fails to complete construction within a reasonable time. Upon request, the executive director may grant an 18-month extension. Before the extension is granted the permit may be subject to revision based on best available control technology, lowest achievable emission rate, and netting or offsets as applicable. One additional extension of up to 18 months may be granted if the permit holder demonstrates that emissions from the facility will comply with all rules and regulations of the commission, the intent of the Texas Clean Air Act (TCAA), including protection of the public's health and physical property; and (b)(1)the permit holder is a party to litigation not of the permit holder's initiation regarding the issuance of the permit; or (b)(2) the permit holder has spent, or committed to spend, at least10 percent of the estimated total cost of the project up to a maximum of $5 million. A permit holder granted an extension under subsection (b)(1) of this section may receive one subsequent extension if the permit holder meets the conditions of subsection (b)(2) of this section. [30 TAC § 116.120(a), (b) and (c)]

3. **Construction Progress.** Start of construction, construction interruptions exceeding 45 days, and completion of construction shall be reported to the appropriate regional office of the commission not later than 15 working days after occurrence of the event. [30 TAC § 116.115(b)(2)(A)]

4. **Start-up Notification.** The appropriate air program regional office shall be notified prior to the commencement of operations of the facilities authorized by the permit in such a manner that a representative of the commission may be present. The permit holder shall provide a separate notification for the commencement of operations for each unit of phased construction, which may involve a series of units commencing operations at different times. Prior to operation of the facilities authorized by the permit, the permit holder shall identify to the Office of Permitting and Registration the source or sources of allowances to be utilized for compliance with Chapter 101, Subchapter H, Division 3 of this title (relating to Mass Emissions Cap and Trade Program). [30 TAC § 116.115(b)(2)(B)]

5. **Sampling Requirements.** If sampling is required, the permit holder shall contact the commission's Office of Compliance and Enforcement prior to sampling to obtain the proper data forms and procedures. All sampling and testing procedures must be approved by the executive director and coordinated with the regional representatives of the commission. The permit holder is also responsible for providing sampling facilities and conducting the sampling operations or contracting with an independent sampling consultant. [30 TAC § 116.115(b)(2)(C)]

6. **Equivalency of Methods.** The permit holder must demonstrate or otherwise justify the equivalency of emission control methods, sampling or other emission testing methods, and monitoring methods proposed as alternatives to methods indicated in the conditions of the permit. Alternative methods shall be applied for in writing and must be reviewed and approved by the executive director prior to their use in fulfilling any requirements of the permit. [30 TAC § 116.115(b)(2)(D)]

7. **Recordkeeping.** The permit holder shall maintain a copy of the permit along with records containing the information and data sufficient to demonstrate compliance with the permit, including production records and operating hours; keep all required records in a file at the plant site. If, however, the facility normally operates unattended, records shall be maintained at the nearest staffed location within Texas specified in the application; make the records available at the request of personnel from the commission or any air pollution control program having jurisdiction; comply with any additional recordkeeping requirements specified in special conditions attached to the permit; and retain information in the file for at least two years following the date that the information or data is obtained. [30 TAC § 116.115(b)(2)(E)]

8. **Maximum Allowable Emission Rates.** The total emissions of air contaminants from any of the sources of emissions must not exceed the values stated on the table attached to the permit entitled "Emission Sources--Maximum Allowable Emission Rates." [30 TAC § 116.115(b)(2)(F)]

9. **Maintenance of Emission Control.** The permitted facilities shall not be operated unless all air pollution emission capture and abatement equipment is maintained in good working order and operating properly during normal facility operations. The permit holder shall provide notification for upsets and maintenance in accordance with §§ 101.201, 101.211, and 101.221 of this title (relating to Emissions Event Reporting and Recordkeeping Requirements; Scheduled Maintenance, Startup, and Shutdown Reporting and Recordkeeping Requirements; and Operational Requirements). [30 TAC § 116.115(b)(2)(G)]

10. **Compliance with Rules.** Acceptance of a permit by an applicant constitutes an acknowledgment and agreement that the permit holder will comply with all rules, regulations, and orders of the commission issued in conformity with the TCAA and the conditions precedent to the granting of the permit. If more than one state or federal rule or regulation or permit condition is applicable, the most stringent limit or condition shall govern and be the standard by which compliance shall be demonstrated. Acceptance includes consent to the entrance of commission employees and agents into the permitted premises at reasonable times to investigate conditions relating to the emission or concentration of air contaminants, including compliance with the permit. [30 TAC § 116.115(b)(2)(H)]

11. This permit may be appealed pursuant to 30 TAC § 50.139.

12. This permit may not be transferred, assigned, or conveyed by the holder except as provided by rule. [30 TAC § 116.110(e)]

13. There may be additional special conditions attached to a permit upon issuance or modification of the permit. Such conditions in a permit may be more restrictive than the requirements of Title 30 of the Texas Administrative Code. [30 TAC § 116.115(c)]

14. **Emissions** from this facility must not cause or contribute to a condition of "air pollution" as defined in TCAA § 382.003(3) or violate TCAA § 382.085, as codified in the Texas Health and Safety Code. If the executive director determines that such a condition or violation occurs, the holder shall implement additional abatement measures as necessary to control or prevent the condition or violation.

TCEQ Docket No.: 2009-0283-AIR
PERMITS 86088, HAP28, PAL26, and PSDTX1160

Date: _____

_____
For the Commission

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

Permit Numbers 86088, HAP28, PAL26, and PSD-TX-1160

EMISSION RATES AND PERMIT REPRESENTATIONS

1. This permit covers only those sources of emissions listed in the attached table entitled "Emission Sources - Maximum Allowable Emission Rates," (MAERT) and those sources are limited to the emission limits and other conditions specified in that attached table. This permit authorizes planned start-up and shutdown (SS) activities that comply with the emission limits in the MAERT and the opacity limit of Special Condition No. 9. Compliance with the annual emission limits shall be based on throughput for a rolling 12-month year rather than the calendar year.

2. Emission limits are based upon representations in the permit application dated September 5, 2008, and subsequent updates dated February 3, 2009 and February 13, 2009.

FEDERAL APPLICABILITY

3. The Circulating Fluidized Bed (CFB) Boilers, identified as Facility Identification Nos. (FINs) CFB1, CFB2, CFB3, and CFB4, shall comply with applicable requirements of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regulations in Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations (40 CFR) Part 60, Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources, Subpart A, General Conditions, and Subpart Da, Standards of Performance for Electric Utility Steam Generating Units.

4. The Stationary Diesel Engines, identified as EPNs EMGEN1, EMGEN2, and FIREWTRPMP, shall comply with the applicable requirements of:

   A. 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart A and Subpart IIII, Standards of Performance for Stationary Compression Ignition Internal Combustion Engines; and

   B. 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart ZZZZ, National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines.

5. The coal and limestone processing facilities shall comply with the applicable requirements of 40 CFR Part 60, Subparts A, Y, and OOO.

6. If any condition of this permit is more stringent than the regulations identified in Special Condition Nos. 3 through 5, then for the purposes of complying with this permit, the permit shall govern and be the standard by which compliance shall be demonstrated.

FUEL SPECIFICATIONS, OPERATING LIMITATIONS, PERFORMANCE STANDARDS, AND CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS

7. Fuel fired in the CFB Boilers, FINs CFB1, CFB2, CFB3, and CFB4, shall be limited to:

   A. Coal with:

      (1) elemental sulfur content not to exceed a 12-month rolling average of 3.2 pounds sulfur per million British thermal units (lb/MMBtu) of heat input, with the heat input based on fuel higher heating value (HHV); and

      (2) trace metal concentrations not to exceed the concentration limitations identified in Attachment A of this permit.

   B. Petroleum coke with:

      (1) elemental sulfur content not to exceed a 12-month rolling average of 4.9 lb/MMBtu of heat input, with the heat input based on fuel HHV; and

      (2) trace metal concentrations not to exceed the concentration limitations identified in Attachment B of this permit.

   C. No. 2 fuel oil with a maximum sulfur content of 0.05% by weight.

   D. Use of any other fuel will require prior approval from the permitting authority.

   E. Upon request by the Executive Director of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) or any air pollution control program having jurisdiction, the holder of this permit shall provide a sample and/or an analysis of the fuel fired in the CFB Boilers or shall allow air pollution control agency representatives to obtain a sample for analysis.

8. The CFB Boilers, FINs CFB1, CFB2, CFB3, and CFB4, shall each be limited to a maximum heat input of 3,300 MMBtu/hr, averaged over a calendar month, based on the HHV of the fuel fired.

9. Opacity of emissions from EPNs 1A-1B and 2A-2B must not exceed 10 percent, averaged over a six-minute period, except for those periods described in Title 30 Texas Administrative Code § 111.111(a)(1)(E) [30 TAC § 111.111(a)(1)(E)], 40 CFR Part 60, § 60.11(c), or as otherwise allowed by rule or statute.

10. Emissions from the CFB Boilers, FINs CFB1, CFB2, CFB3, and CFB4, shall not exceed the

performance standards in the following tables. The performance standards in these tables shall apply at all times except during periods of start-up and shutdown as identified in the permit application.

A. Standards demonstrated by Continuous Emissions Monitoring Systems (CEMS):

Pollutant[1]	Performance Standard (lb/MMBtu)[2,3]	Compliance Averaging Period
NO_x	0.10	Hourly
NO_x	0.070	30-day rolling
SO_2(coke)	0.114	30-day rolling
SO_2(coke)	0.086	12-month rolling
SO_2(coal)	0.063	30-day rolling
SO_2(coal)	0.063	12-month rolling
CO	0.10	12-month rolling
Hg	0.00000086	12-month rolling
	Performance Standard (ppmv)[4]	
NH_3	10 ppmv	Hourly
NH_3	5 ppmv	12-month rolling

B. Standards demonstrated by Reference Method[5] (RM) testing:

Pollutant[1]	Performance Standard (lb/MMBtu)[2]	Compliance Demonstration Period
PM/PM_{10} (front-half catch)	0.010	3-hour average
PM/PM_{10} total[6] (coke)	0.025	3-hour average
PM/PM_{10} total[6] (coal)	0.025	3-hour average
$PM_{2.5}$total (coke)	0.025[7]	3-hour average
$PM_{2.5}$ total (coal)	0.018[7]	3-hour average
VOC	0.0050	3-hour average
H_2SO_4 (coke)	0.016	3-hour average
H_2SO_4 (coal)	0.012	3-hour average

Pollutant[1]	Performance Standard (lb/MMBtu)[2]	Compliance Demonstration Period
HCl (coke)	0.0013	3-hour average
HCl (coal)	0.005	3-hour average
HF (coke)	0.0004	3-hour average
HF (coal)	0.0003	3-hour average

Notes:

[1] NO_x - nitrogen oxides; SO_2 - sulfur dioxide; CO - carbon monoxide; PM - particulate matter; PM_{10} - PM $\leq 10_{\mu m}$ in diameter; $PM_{2.5}$ - PM $\leq 2.5_{\mu m}$ in diameter; VOC - volatile organic compounds; H_2SO_4 - sulfuric acid mist; HCl - hydrogen chloride; HF - hydrogen fluoride; Hg - mercury; NH_3 - ammonia

[2] lb/MMBtu - pounds of emissions per million Btu of heat input. Heat input is based on fuel HHV.

[3] When different fuels are fired simultaneously or within an averaging period, the SO_2 emission limit is the heat input weighted average of the individual fuel emission limits:

$$\text{Emission Limit}_{\text{30-day rolling}} = (0.063a + 0.114b + 0.056c)/(a + b + c)$$

$$\text{Emission Limit}_{\text{12-month rolling}} = (0.063a + 0.086b + 0.056c)/(a + b + c)$$

Where a = the percentage of total heat input from coal;
b = the percentage of total heat input from petroleum coke; and
c = the percentage of total heat input from fuel oil.

[4] ppmv - parts per million by volume, dry, adjusted to 5 percent oxygen (O_2).

[5] RM - EPA Reference Methods, based on the average of three stack sampling runs to be conducted as prescribed by Special Condition Nos. 24 and 32.

[6] Total PM/PM_{10} including back-half (condensibles) catch of sampling train.

[7] Compliance with $PM_{2.5}$ performance standard to be determined within 180 days following promulgation of test method by EPA.

11. In the event that a CEMS for NO_x is not operating for a period longer than one hour while a CFB boiler is operating, the permit holder shall operate at no less than the NO_x-compliant ammonia feed rate to the selective non-catalytic reduction (SNCR) system that was measured prior to the loss of the CEMS (adjusted to load).

12. In the event that a CEMS for SO_2 is not operating for a period longer than one hour while a CFB boiler is operating, the permit holder shall operate at no less than the SO_2-compliant limestone feed rate to the boiler and lime feed rate to the polishing scrubber that were measured prior to the loss of the CEMS (adjusted to load).

13. A. The holder of this permit shall operate the CFB Boilers and associated air pollution control equipment in accordance with good air pollution control practice to minimize emissions during SSM activities, by operating in accordance with a written SSM plan. The plan shall include detailed procedures for review of relevant operating parameters of the CFB Boilers and associated air pollution control equipment during SSM to make adjustments to minimize excess emissions. The plan shall also address readily foreseeable start-up scenarios, including hot startups, and provide for appropriate review of the operational condition of the boiler before initiating start-up. In addition, the plan shall address procedures for minimizing opacity and PM emissions while conducting on-line maintenance of the CFB boilers or their emission control equipment.

    B. In order to limit maximum hourly emissions of SO_2, the startup of the CFBs must be sequenced so that only one CFB at a time is firing coal or petroleum coke while operating in startup mode.

    C. No bypassing of a CFB baghouse is allowed while the CFB is firing coal or petroleum coke, regardless of whether the CFB is operating in startup or shutdown mode.

    D. Only planned and routine startup/shutdown operations are authorized by this permit. Emissions resulting from any unscheduled and/or unplanned startup/shutdown activity associated with an upset (emissions event) are not authorized by this permit.

    E. The cold start-up sequence of a CFB boiler shall be completed within 12 hours.

14. The CFB Boiler Stacks EPNs 1A-1B and 2A-2B shall be approximately 490 feet tall with an exit diameter of approximately 15 feet for each flue. Stack sampling ports and platform(s) shall be constructed on each CFB boiler stack as specified in the attachment entitled "Chapter 2, Stack Sampling Facilities," or an alternate design may be approved by the TCEQ Houston Regional Director.

15. The 2,800-kW Diesel-Fired Emergency Generators, identified as EMGEN1 and EMGEN2, shall meet the following specifications:

A. Fuel shall be limited to diesel engine fuel containing no more than 500 ppm by weight sulfur. Purchased diesel engine fuel shall comply with the EPA standards for nonroad diesel fuel in 40 CFR Part 80, Regulation of Fuels and Fuel Additives, in effect at the time of purchase.

B. Operation of each generator engine shall be limited to a maximum of 500 hours per year.

16. The 250 hp Diesel-Fired Fire Water Pump identified as EPN FIREWTRPMP, shall meet the following specifications:

A. Fuel shall be limited to diesel engine fuel containing no more than 500 ppm by weight sulfur. Purchased diesel engine fuel shall comply with the EPA standards for nonroad diesel fuel in 40 CFR Part 80, Regulation of Fuels and Fuel Additives, in effect at the time of purchase.

B. Operation of the pump engine shall be limited to a maximum of 500 hours per year unless a greater number of hours of operation is required to fight a fire.

CHEMICAL AND FUEL STORAGE

17. Anhydrous ammonia storage is subject to the following requirements.

A. Maximum on-site storage is limited to the eight pressure tanks identified in the permit application, each with a nominal capacity of 10,000 gallons.

B. The tanks shall be located within

(1) a physical barrier to vehicular traffic; and

(2) a containment system which is capable of holding the entire volume of material stored.

C. Piping and unloading points shall be protected from impact by falling objects.

D. Each tank vent valve shall be equipped with an alarm which will notify personnel that the relief valve has opened.

E. Tanks shall be vapor balanced to the transport vessel during all tank filling operations. The vapor return line shall be purged back to either the transport vessel or the storage

tank after every tank loading operation and prior to disconnection of the line. Interlocks shall be installed so that the unloading pump will not run unless the vapor return line to the transport vessel is connected.

F. All plant personnel assigned to anhydrous ammonia injection operations shall participate in continuing training in safety guidelines for the handling of anhydrous ammonia, to be conducted no less frequently than once every two years; new and transferred personnel shall complete all initial training required for their specific assignments prior to assumption of their new duties.

G. Overhead activity involving the lifting of heavy equipment above the anhydrous ammonia storage area shall not be permitted.

H. The holder of this permit shall maintain a complete emergency response plan at the plant site that describes the course of action to be taken by personnel in the event of an anhydrous ammonia tank or line rupture, or a severe anhydrous ammonia leak. This plan shall include water-mitigation methods, notification of the proper civil authorities, and any potentially affected residences and any other appropriate organizations. This plan shall be made available upon request to representatives of the TCEQ or any local program having jurisdiction.

18. Audio, olfactory, and visual checks for ammonia leaks shall be made once per shift within the operating area.

A. No later than one hour following detection of a leak, plant personnel shall take one or more of the following actions:

(1) Locate and isolate the leak; and/or

(2) Stop the leak by bypassing the leaking equipment or taking equipment out of service.

B. If the leaking equipment cannot be repaired or replaced within 6 hours, use clamping procedures to prevent the leak until replacement or repair can be performed.

19. In any consecutive 12-month period, the holder of this permit shall not receive more than the following quantities of diesel fuel:

Tank Number	12-Month Throughput (Gallons)	Fuel Type
T-WTRPMP	7,000	Diesel
T-EMGEN	110,500	Diesel
T-DSLVEH	500,000	Diesel
TNK-FO1	1,200,000	No. 2 Fuel Oil
TNK-FO2	1,200,000	No. 2 Fuel Oil
T-GASVEH	100,000	Gasoline

MATERIAL HANDLING OPERATING LIMITATIONS AND STANDARDS

20. Permanent plant roads shall be paved with a cohesive hard surface which can be cleaned by sweeping and washing as necessary to maintain compliance with all TCEQ rules and regulations. Other roads shall be sprinkled with water and/or surface crusting agents as necessary to maintain compliance with all TCEQ rules and regulations.

21. No visible emissions may leave the plant property. If visible emissions do leave the plant property, further controls or measures shall be installed and/or implemented to limit visible emissions. A trained observer with delegation from the Executive Director of the TCEQ may determine compliance with this special condition by 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, RM 22, or equivalent. As represented in the permit application, coal, petroleum coke, and limestone will be brought into the facility property via barge, truck, or rail and transported to stockpiles via conveyors. Lime, sand, and activated carbon will be unloaded pneumatically from trucks and conveyed to bins or silos equipped with baghouses. Fly ash from the boiler exhaust baghouses and bottom ash from the boilers will be pneumatically transferred to storage silos. Fly ash and bottom ash collected in the silos will be loaded into trucks using a dust collection hood over each tank to minimize particulate emissions. Any spillage of material shall be cleaned up as soon as possible and handled in such a way as to minimize emissions.

22. As determined by a certified opacity observer with delegation from the Executive Director of the TCEQ and according to 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Reference Method 9, or

equivalent, opacity of emissions from any single fabric filter baghouse stack listed in Special Condition No. 23, and from load out of fly ash (identified as EPNs FASHLOAD1, FASHLOAD2, FASHLOAD3, and FASHLOAD4) and bottom ash (identified as EPNs BASHLOAD12 and BASHLOAD34) from the storage silos to trucks, shall not exceed 5 percent averaged over a six-minute period.

23. Material handling baghouses, designed to meet an emission limit of 0.005 grain PM per dry standard cubic foot of exhaust, properly installed and in good working order, shall control PM emissions from the following sources:

Source	EPN
Unit 1 Fuel/Limestone Dust Collector	DC-FUEL1
Unit 2 Fuel/Limestone Dust Collector	DC-FUEL2
Unit 3 Fuel/Limestone Dust Collector	DC-FUEL3
Unit 4 Fuel/Limestone Dust Collector	DC-FUEL4
Unit 1 Fly Ash Dust Collector	DC-FLYASH1
Unit 2 Fly Ash Dust Collector	DC-FLYASH2
Unit 3 Fly Ash Dust Collector	DC-FLYASH3
Unit 4 Fly Ash Dust Collector	DC-FLYASH4
Unit 1 & 2 Bed Ash Dust Collector	DCBEDASH12
Unit 3 & 4 Bed Ash Dust Collector	DCBEDASH34
Unit 1 & 2 Lime Silo Dust Collector	DC-LIME12
Unit 3 & 4 Lime Silo Dust Collector	DC-LIME34
Unit 1 & 2 Carbon Silo Dust Collector	DCCARBON12
Unit 3 & 4 Carbon Silo Dust Collector	DCCARBON34
Railcar Unloading Building	DC-RAIL-UL
Crusher Building	DC-CRUSHER

INITIAL DEMONSTRATION OF COMPLIANCE

24. The holder of this permit shall perform initial stack sampling and other testing to establish the actual quantities of air contaminants being emitted into the atmosphere. Unless otherwise specified in this Special Condition No. 24, the sampling and testing shall be conducted in accordance with the methods and procedures specified in Special Condition No. 25. The holder of this permit is responsible for providing sampling and testing facilities and conducting the sampling and testing operations at his expense. The TCEQ Executive Director or his designated representative shall be afforded the opportunity to observe all such sampling.

A. For the CFB Boilers, FINs CFB1, CFB2, CFB3, and CFB4:

(1) Demonstrate compliance with the performance standards of Special Condition No. 10.B and the hourly emission rates of the MAERT, applicable to normal operations, using the average of three one-hour stack sampling test runs for each contaminant.

(2) Air contaminants to be sampled and analyzed under (1) above include: NO_x, SO_2, CO, VOC, H_2SO_4, HCl, HF, PM, PM_{10}, $PM_{2.5}$, NH_3, and Hg. Diluents to be measured include O_2 or carbon dioxide (CO_2).

(3) Demonstrate compliance with the performance standards of Special Condition No. 9 applicable to normal operations, using the average of 30 six-minute readings as provided in 40 CFR § 60.11(b).

(4) Demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60, Subparts A and Da, for NO_x, SO_2, PM, and opacity. For NO_x and SO_2, the 30-day test results shall also be used to demonstrate compliance with the 30-day performance specifications for NO_x and SO_2 in Special Condition No. 10.A.

(5) Demonstrate compliance with the lb/MMBtu performance standards listed on Attachments A and B and the lb/hr emission rate for lead listed on the MAERT using the average of three one-hour stack sampling test runs.

(6) Boiler load during testing shall be maintained as follows.

(a) Operate at maximum firing rates for the atmospheric conditions occurring

during the test as measured by millions of pounds of steam generated per hour or MW of electric generator output. If during subsequent operations the steam generated as measured by millions of pounds of steam generated per hour or MW of electric generator output is greater than that recorded during the test, additional stack sampling may be required. At no time may the emission rate exceed the rates specified in the MAERT.

(b) During 30-day average emission testing, the boiler load does not have to be maximum, but the load must be representative of future operating conditions and must include at least one 24-hour period at full load.

B. For at least one material handling/storage baghouse from Special Condition No. 23, to be selected by the Houston Regional Director of the TCEQ, or his designated representative, sample PM emissions using Reference Method 5 testing to show compliance with the emission limits of Special Condition No. 23.

C. For the Diesel-Fired Emergency Generators, identified as EPNs EMGEN1 and EMGEN2 demonstrate compliance with the emission rates of the MAERT by showing compliance with the requirements of Special Condition No. 15. For the Diesel-Fired Fire Water Pump, identified as EPN FIREWTRPMP, demonstrate compliance with the emission rates of the MAERT by showing compliance with the requirements of Special Condition No. 16.

D. For the Cooling Towers, identified as EPNs COOLTWR1, COOLTWR2, COOLTWR3, and COOLTWR4, demonstrate compliance with the emission rates of the MAERT by maintaining records that demonstrate that the drift eliminators are designed to limit drift as specified in the permit application, and by inspection of the modules, selected by the TCEQ Houston Regional Director or his designated representative, for consistency with the specified design, flow bypassing the drift eliminators, and damage to the drift eliminators. The manufacturer's specifications and drawings of the internals shall be provided to facilitate inspection.

E. Requests to waive testing for any pollutant specified in this condition shall be submitted to the TCEQ Office of Permitting and Registration, Air Permits Division. Test waivers and alternate or equivalent procedure proposals for New Source Performance Standards testing which must have EPA approval shall be submitted to the TCEQ Houston Regional Office.

F. For each CFB Boiler, sampling as required by this condition shall occur within 60 days after the particular boiler achieves a fuel firing rate of 3,300 MMBtu/hr, but no later than

180 days after initial start-up. Sampling for $PM_{2.5}$ is excepted from this requirement if at the time of initial start-up of the CFB, the EPA has not promulgated a test method for sampling $PM_{2.5}$. In that case, sampling for $PM_{2.5}$ is required within 180 days following promulgation of such a test method. The first boiler operating day of 30-day average initial performance testing required by 40 CFR § 60.48Da(f) must commence within 180 days of initial start-up.

G. The deadlines established by this condition may be extended by the TCEQ Houston Regional Office for good cause shown.

TEST METHODS AND PROCEDURES

25. A. Sampling shall be conducted in accordance with the appropriate procedures of the TCEQ Sampling Procedures Manual, EPA Methods in 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A and 40 CFR Part 51, Appendix M, EPA Conditional Test Methods, and American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) as follows:

(1) Appendix A, Methods 1 through 4, as appropriate, for exhaust flow, diluent, and moisture concentration;

(2) Appendix A, Method 5, 5a through 5i, or 17, modified to include back-half condensibles, for the concentration of PM;

(3) Appendix A, Method 5, 5a through 5i, or 17, for the filterable concentration of PM (front-half catch);

(4) Appendix A, Method 6, 6a, 6c, or 8, for the concentration of SO_2;

(5) Appendix A, Method 7E for the concentrations of NO_x and O_2, or equivalent methods;

(6) Appendix A, Method 8 or a modified Method 8 for H_2SO_4;

(7) Appendix A, Method 9 for opacity, as provided in 40 CFR § 60.11(b);

(8) Appendix A, Method 10 for the concentration of CO;

(9) Appendix A, Method 19, for NO_X calculation methods, if approved by the Houston Regional Office;

(10) Appendix A, Method 25A, modified to exclude methane and ethane, for the concentration of VOC (to measure total carbon as propane);

(11) Appendix A, Method 26 or 26A for HCl and HF;

(12) EPA Conditional Test Method 27 (CTM-027), for NH_3;

(13) Appendix A, Method 29 for the metals listed in Attachments A and B;

(14) Appendix M, Methods 201A and 202, or Appendix A, Reference Method 5, modified to include back-half organic condensables, for the concentration of PM_{10}. For inorganic condensables, a parallel controlled condensation method (NCASI Method 8A) shall be used. (any method, procedures, or apparatus not identified in the CFR must be approved by the TCEQ and EPA prior to use);

(15) Appendix M, Methods 201A or Appendix A, Reference Method 5, for the filterable concentration of PM_{10} (front-half catch); and

(16) ASTM D6784-02, Standard Test Method for Elemental, Oxidized, Particle-Bound, and Total Mercury in Flue Gas Generated from Coal-Fired Stationary Sources (also known as the Ontario Hydro Method), Appendix A, Method 30A or 30B, or other approved EPA methods.

B. Any deviations from the procedures in A. must be approved by the Executive Director of the TCEQ or his designated representative prior to sampling.

C. The TCEQ Houston Regional Office shall be given notice as soon as testing is scheduled but not less than 45 days prior to sampling to schedule a pretest meeting.

(1) The notice shall include:

(a) Date for pretest meeting.
(b) Date sampling will occur.
(c) Name of firm conducting sampling.
(d) Type of sampling equipment to be used.
(e) Method or procedure to be used in sampling.
(f) Projected date of commencement of the 30-day rolling average initial performance tests for SO_2 and NO_x, in accordance with 40 CFR § 60.46a(f) and Special Condition No. 11A.

(2) The purpose of the pretest meeting is to review the necessary sampling and testing procedures, to provide the proper data forms for recording pertinent data, and to review the format procedures for submitting the test reports. The permit holder shall present at the pretest meeting the manner in which stack sampling will be executed in order to demonstrate compliance with emission standards found in this permit and 40 CFR Part 60, Subparts Da and Db.

(3) Prior to the pretest meeting, a written proposed description of any deviation from sampling procedures specified in permit conditions or TCEQ, EPA or ASTM sampling procedures shall be made available to the TCEQ. The TCEQ Houston Regional Director shall approve or disapprove of any deviation from specified sampling procedures.

D. Information in the test report shall include the following data for each test run:

(1) hourly petroleum coke and coal firing rates (in tons);

(2) average petroleum coke Btu (HHV)/lb as-received and dry weight;

(3) average coal Btu (HHV)/lb as-received and dry weight;

(4) average steam production rate (in millions of pounds per hour) or average generator output (in MW);

(5) daily sulfur content and heat content of the fuel measured in accordance with EPA Reference Method 19 to show compliance with 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Da;

(6) control device operating rates, including SNCR reagent injection and solids injection rates (limestone, lime, and activated carbon);

(7) emissions in the units of the limits of this permit, lb/hr and lb/MMBtu, and three-hour or 30-day average, as appropriate; and

(8) any additional records deemed necessary during the stack sampling pre-test meeting.

E. Two copies of all final sampling reports shall be forwarded to the TCEQ within 60 days after sampling is completed. Sampling reports shall comply with the attached conditions of Chapter 14 of the TCEQ Sampling Procedures Manual. The reports shall be

distributed as follows:

One copy to the TCEQ Houston Regional Office.

One copy to the TCEQ Austin Office of Permitting and Registration, Air Permits Division.

F. The deadlines established by this condition may be extended by the TCEQ Houston Regional Office for good cause shown.

CONTINUOUS DEMONSTRATION OF COMPLIANCE

26. The holder of this permit shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate continuous emission monitoring systems (CEMS) to measure and record the concentrations of NO_x, CO, and SO_2 from FINs CFB1, CFB2, CFB3, and CFB4. Diluents to be measured include O_2 or CO_2. The CEMS data shall be used to determine continuous compliance with the NO_x, CO, and SO_2 emission limitations in Special Condition No. 3 (NO_x and SO_2), Special Condition No. 10.A, and the attached MAERT. Continuous compliance with the performance standards of Special Condition No. 10.A shall commence on the first boiler operating day of the 30-day initial performance testing required by NSPS Subpart Da.

A. The CEMS shall meet the design and performance specifications, pass the field tests, and meet the installation requirements and the data analysis and reporting requirements specified in the applicable Performance Specification Nos. 1 through 9, 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix B or an acceptable EPA alternative. If there are no applicable performance specifications in 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix B, contact the TCEQ Office of Permitting and Registration, Air Permits Division in Austin for requirements to be met.

B. The holder of this permit shall assure that the CEMS meets the applicable quality assurance requirements specified in 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix F, Procedure 1, or an acceptable EPA alternative. Relative accuracy exceedances, as specified in 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix F, § 5.2.3, any CEMS downtime, and all cylinder gas audit exceedances of ±15 percent accuracy shall be reported semiannually to the TCEQ Houston Regional Director; necessary corrective action shall be taken on a timely basis. Supplemental stack concentration measurements may be required at the discretion of the TCEQ Houston Regional Director.

C. The monitoring data shall be reduced to hourly average concentrations at least once every day, using normally a minimum of four equally-spaced data points from each one-hour period. The individual average concentrations shall be reduced to units of the permit allowable emissions rate in pounds per hour at least once every day. Pound

per hour data shall be summed on a monthly basis to tons per rolling 12-months and used to determine compliance with the annual emissions limits of this permit. If the CEMS malfunctions, then the recorded concentrations may be reduced to units of the permit allowable as soon as practicable after the CEMS resumes normal operation.

D. The TCEQ Houston Regional Office shall be notified at least 30 days prior to any required relative accuracy test audits (RATA) in order to provide it the opportunity to observe the testing. Reports for any required RATA shall be submitted to the Houston Regional Office within 30 days after sampling is completed.

E. If applicable, each CEMS will be required to meet the design and performance specifications, pass the field tests, and meet the installation requirements and data analysis and reporting requirements specified in the applicable performance specifications in 40 CFR Part 75, Appendix A and B, as an acceptable alternative to paragraph A. of this condition.

F. Each CEMS shall be operational during 95 percent of the operating hours of the CFB Boiler, exclusive of the time required for zero and span checks. If this operational criterion is not met for a calendar quarter, the holder of this permit shall develop and implement a monitor quality improvement plan within the following calendar quarter. The plan should address the downtime issues to improve availability and reliability. The plan should provide additional assurance of compliance including record keeping of appropriate SNCR reagent and solids flow rates for monitor downtime periods.

27. The holder of this permit shall install, calibrate, operate, and maintain a continuous opacity monitoring system (COMS) to measure and record the opacity of emissions from EPNs 1A, 1B, 2A, and 2B. The COMS data shall be used to determine continuous compliance with the opacity emission limitations in Special Condition Nos. 3 and 9 and the baghouse performance monitoring requirements of 40 CFR § 60.48Da(o)(1)(2).

A. The COMS shall satisfy all of the Federal NSPS requirements for COMS as specified in 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix B, Performance Specification 1 (PS-1). In order to demonstrate compliance with PS-1, the COMS shall meet the manufacturer's design and performance specifications, and undergo performance evaluation testing as outlined in 40 CFR Subpart A , § 60.13. The TCEQ Houston Regional Director shall be notified 30 days prior to the certification.

B. The COMS shall be zeroed and spanned daily as specified in 40 CFR § 60.13. Corrective action shall be taken when the 24-hour span drift exceeds two times the amounts specified in PS-1, or as specified by the TCEQ if not specified in PS-1.

C. If the EPA promulgates a quality assurance, quality control standard for the COMS, a Quality Assurance Plan (QAP) shall be prepared in accordance with the EPA standard for the COMS and adhered to, within six months after promulgation. The QAP shall be maintained to reflect changes to component technology. At the request of the TCEQ Houston Regional Director, the holder of this permit shall submit documentation demonstrating compliance with these standards.

D. The data shall be reduced to six-minute opacity averages, using a minimum of 36 equally-spaced data points from each six-minute period, as specified in 40 CFR § 60.13.

E. The COMS shall be operational during 95 percent of the operating hours of the CFB Boiler, exclusive of the time required for zero and span checks. If this operational criterion is not met for a calendar quarter, the holder of this permit shall develop and implement a monitor quality improvement plan within the following calendar quarter. The plan should address the downtime issues to improve availability and reliability. The plan should provide additional assurance of compliance including EPA Reference Method 9 support during daytime monitor downtime periods and parametric support for nighttime monitor downtime periods.

F. Recertification, if required, shall be based on the requirements of 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix B, PS-1 in effect at the time of initial certification.

28. The holder of this permit shall install, calibrate, operate, and maintain CEMS to measure and record the concentration of NH_3 from FINs CFB1, CFB2, CFB3, and CFB4. The NH_3 concentrations shall be corrected and reported in accordance with Special Condition No. 10A. The CEMS data shall be used to determine continuous compliance with the NH_3 performance specifications in Special Condition No. 10.A and the MAERT. Any other method used for measuring NH_3 slip shall require prior approval from the TCEQ Houston Regional Office, with consultation between the Regional Office and the TCEQ Air Permits Division.

29. The holder of this permit shall install, calibrate, operate, and maintain CEMS or sorbent trap monitoring system to measure and record the concentration of mercury from FINs CFB1, CFB2, CFB3, and CFB4, as described in 40 CFR Parts 60 and 75 (the rule versions in effect immediately prior to February 8, 2008 vacatur of Clean Air Mercury Rule). The CEMS data shall be used to demonstrate continuous compliance with the emission limitations of Special Condition No. 10.A and the MAERT.

30. Each CEMS shall be operational on a rolling 12-month average for at least 95 percent of the

corresponding operating hours of the CFB boiler it is designed to monitor (excluding time required for zero and span). If any CEMS fails to meet the performance standards specified in this permit, it shall be repaired or replaced as soon as reasonably possible.

31. The as-fired coal and petroleum coke shall be sampled at least once per calendar quarter and analyzed for sulfur, metals, and HHV, to demonstrate on-going compliance after the initial demonstration of compliance with the sulfur content limit of Special Condition No. 7, the non-mercury metal performance standards identified in Attachments A and B of this permit, and the emission rates for lead in the MAERT. The analyses shall be obtained from a NELAC (National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Conference) accredited laboratory under the Texas Laboratory Accreditation Program.

32. After the initial demonstration of compliance, periodic stack sampling of FINs CFB1, CFB2, CFB3, and CFB4 for H_2SO_4, HCl, HF, VOC, and total PM/PM_{10} shall be used to demonstrate ongoing compliance and shall meet the following specifications:

    A. Stack sampling shall be performed once annually during periods of normal operation, except as follows:

        (1) If the annual test does not establish compliance with a performance standard of Special Condition No. 10.B, the holder of this permit must conduct additional tests (under similar operating rates and fuel charge rates as used in the initial test, or under scenarios reviewed and approved by the TCEQ Houston Regional Office) during the year to be averaged with the previous test(s) to demonstrate compliance with Special Condition No. 10.B; or

        (2) if, after three years of stack sampling, the average of the three annual stack sampling results for a pollutant is less than 70 percent of the applicable performance standard identified in Special Condition No. 10.B, then compliance stack sampling for such pollutant may be conducted once every three years.

    B. Sampling required in subsection A. of this special condition shall demonstrate compliance with the performance standards of Special Condition No. 10.B and the lb/hr emission limits of the MAERT applicable to normal operations.

    C. Sampling required in subsection A. of this special condition shall be conducted in accordance with the methods, procedures, and notification protocol specified in Special Condition No. 25.

    D. Ongoing compliance with the H_2SO_4, HF, HCl, VOC, and PM/PM_{10} tons per year

emission rates in the MAERT shall be demonstrated by calculating rolling 12-month annual emissions from emission factors (lb/MMBtu, HHV) obtained from the sampling required in (A.) of this condition and the monthly total heat input (MMBtu, HHV) from petroleum coke and coal.

33. Compliance with the following emission rates in the MAERT, applicable to periods of planned start-up and shutdown, shall be demonstrated as follows:

    A. Compliance with the lead, PM and PM_{10} (front half and total) emission rates in the MAERT applicable during start-up and shutdown shall be demonstrated if the recorded pressure drop across the baghouse meets manufacturer guidelines for proper operation during start-up and shutdown.

    B. Compliance with the VOC emission rate in the MAERT applicable during start-up and shutdown shall be demonstrated if the CO emissions during start-up and shutdown are in compliance with the CO emission rate in the MAERT for start-up and shutdown.

    C. Compliance with the H_2SO_4, HF, and HCl emission rates in the MAERT for start-up and shutdown shall be demonstrated if the SO_2 emissions during start-up and shutdown are in compliance with the SO_2 emission rate in the MAERT for start-up and shutdown.

34. Following the initial demonstration of compliance, ongoing compliance with the emission limits in the MAERT for the diesel engines, EPNs EMGEN1, EMGEN2, and FIREWTRPMP, shall be through source operation in accordance with manufacturer's specifications, or in accordance with written procedures that are shown to maintain operating conditions necessary for emission compliance. The Executive Director of the TCEQ or his designated representative may also require direct measurement of emissions using the sampling methods and procedures specified in Special Condition No. 25 to establish compliance with the limitations, in which case the sampled emission rate will be used to determine compliance.

35. Following the initial demonstration of compliance, ongoing compliance with the emission rates in the MAERT for the cooling towers, EPNs COOLTWR1, COOLTWR2, COOLTWR3, and COOLTWR4, will be based on annual inspections of modules, and repair as necessary to maintain drift eliminator structural integrity and minimize bypassing of flow around drift eliminators.

36. Following the initial demonstration of compliance, ongoing compliance with the emission rates in the MAERT for the coal, petroleum coke, ash, limestone, lime, sand, and carbon material handling baghouses will be demonstrated by annual opacity testing using Reference Method 9 for those EPNs listed in Special Condition No. 23. The Executive Director of the

TCEQ or his designated representative may also require sampling conducted in accordance with the methods and procedures specified in Special Condition No. 25 to directly measure the lb/hr emission rate, in which case the sampled lb/hr emission rate will be used to determine compliance with the applicable emission rate in the MAERT.

37. Compliance with the emission rates in the MAERT for the fuel storage tanks, EPNs T-WTRPMP, T-EMGEN, TNK-FO1, TNKFO2, T-DSLVEH, and T-GASVEH, will be demonstrated by compliance with Special Condition No. 19.

CASE-BY-CASE MACT

38. This case-by-case MACT permit, Permit No. HAP28, establishes federally enforceable MACT emission limits for CO (CO is a surrogate of organic HAPs) and filterable PM (filterable PM is a surrogate for non-mercury HAP metals), Hg, HCl, and HF for the CFBs, identified as FINs CFB1, CFB2, CFB3, and CFB4.

PLANTWIDE APPLICABILITY LIMIT (PAL)

39. A. The PAL for each pollutant listed in subsection B. of this Special Condition was calculated as the individual sum of the allowable 12-month rolling average emission rates of these pollutants in the MAERT of this permit.

B. Any project to be authorized by permit by rule, permit amendment, or other TCEQ permitting mechanism, including the modification of existing facilities or the addition of new facilities, shall not be subject to federal new source review (FNSR) for the air pollutants listed below provided the total plant wide emissions from the White Stallion Energy Center do not exceed the PAL of:

Pollutant	PAL, Tons per rolling 12-month period
NO_x	4,069
CO	5,794
SO_2	4,956
VOC	293
PM	1,552
PM_{10}	1,513
$PM_{2.5}$	1,108
H_2SO_4	925

C. Compliance with the PALs specified in subsection B. of this special condition shall be demonstrated on a 12-month rolling basis by totaling the calendar month actual emissions from each of the facilities listed in the MAERT using the CEMS, calendar month fuel use records, calendar month tank throughput records, calendar month hours of operation, and emission factors identified in Appendix A of the permit application.

D. The PAL of this Special Condition is subject to the requirements of 30 TAC Chapter 116, Subchapter C, Plant-Wide Applicability Limits.

E. If the authorization to construct any of the individual facilities listed in the MAERT authorized by this permit expires for lack of timely construction in accordance with 30 TAC § 116.120, within 30 days after expiration, the permit holder shall submit a request to the TCEQ to alter this permit by removing the facilities not constructed from the MAERT and subtracting their allowable emission rates from the PAL specified in subsection B. of this Special Condition.

F. If future actual emission rates calculated for an air pollutant exceed the PAL thresholds listed above, the permittee shall be subject to FNSR for that air pollutant. Only the changes that cause the new emission rate to exceed the PAL threshold are subject to FNSR. The permit holder shall submit to the TCEQ a FNSR permit application for the changes that cause actual emissions to exceed the PAL.

G. The PALs specified in subsection B. of this Special Condition must be reduced, to become effective on the future compliance date(s), of any applicable new federal or state regulatory requirement(s). Within 12 months of the effective date of the regulation, the permittee shall submit a request to alter or amend the permit to reflect the more stringent emission rates.

H. This PAL is effective for a period of ten years. The permit holder shall submit a request to alter or amend this Permit Special Condition to re-evaluate the PAL at least six months, but not earlier than 18 months prior to the date of permit expiration.

RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS

40. The following records shall be kept at the plant for the life of the permit. All records required in this permit shall be made available at the request of personnel from the TCEQ, the EPA, or any air pollution control agency with jurisdiction.

A. A copy of this permit.

B. Permit application dated September 5, 2008 and subsequent representations submitted to the TCEQ prior to permit issuance.

C. A complete copy of the testing reports and records of the initial air emissions performance testing completed pursuant to the Initial Demonstration of Compliance.

D. Required stack sampling results or other air emissions testing (other than CEMS or COMS data) that may be conducted on units authorized under this permit after the date of issuance of this permit.

41. The following records shall be kept for a minimum of five years after collection and shall be made immediately available upon request to representatives of the TCEQ, the EPA, or any local air pollution control program having jurisdiction. Records shall be legible and maintained in an orderly manner. The following records shall be maintained:

A. Continuous emission monitoring data for opacity, SO_2, NO_x, CO, Hg, NH_3, and diluent gases, O_2 or CO_2, from CEMS to demonstrate compliance with the emission rates listed in the MAERT and performance standards listed in Special Condition Nos. 9 and 10.A. for pollutants that are monitored by CEMS or COMS. Data retention at intervals less than one hour is not required. Records must identify the times when emissions data have been excluded from the calculation of performance standards because of start-up, shutdown, maintenance, and malfunction along with the justification for excluding data. Records should also identify factors used in calculations that are used to demonstrate compliance with emissions limits and performance standards.

B. Files of all CEMS or COMS quality assurance measures including calibration checks, adjustments and maintenance performed on these systems.

C. Written, certified coal and petroleum coke analysis, to include HHV, for all petroleum coke received from each coal and petroleum coke supplier, to show compliance of the as-fired fuel with the sulfur and trace metal concentration limits of Special Condition No. 7.A. and 7.B., and written certified analysis provided by fuel oil and diesel fuel suppliers to show compliance with the sulfur content limitations of Special Condition No. 7.

D. Average coal and petroleum coke feed rate to the CFB Boilers in pounds per hour and the corresponding average heat input (HHV) in MMBtu/hr, based upon an average over each calendar month.

E. Ammonia, limestone, and lime hourly feed rates to the CFB boilers:

(1) established during a successful initial performance test; and

(2) maintained during periods of CEMS downtime exceeding one hour.

F. Records to show compliance with Special Condition No. 15, including:

(1) diesel fuel sulfur content of any fuel added to engine fuel storage tanks; and

(2) hours of operation of the emergency generator and fire water pump engines, on a monthly and rolling 12-month basis.

G. The amount received, date of receipt, and the consecutive 12-month total of fuel received for fuel stored in EPNs T-WTRPMP, T-EMGEN, TNK-FO1, TNKFO2, T-DSLVEH, and T-GASVEH to show compliance with the throughput requirements of this permit.

H. Records of cleaning and maintenance performed on abatement equipment, including records of replaced bags and other parts on baghouses. A log should be kept with descriptions of the activity performed, any parts or subassemblies replaced, and the time period over which the cleaning or maintenance was performed.

I. Records required to show compliance with 40 CFR Part 60, Subparts Da, Y, OOO, and IIII, including daily average SO_2 removal efficiency, baghouse performance monitoring, and records of required reporting.

J. Records of all venting of the anhydrous ammonia storage tanks to show compliance with Special Condition No. 17.D.

K. Records of personnel training related to anhydrous ammonia injection operations and emergency response planning, including names of trainers and trainees, dates of training, and material covered, to show compliance with Special Condition No. 17.F.

L. Records of audio, olfactory, and visual checks for ammonia leaks and repairs to show compliance with Special Condition No. 18.

M. Records, including dates performed, of road maintenance for dust control to show compliance with Special Condition No. 20.

N. Records of annual opacity readings made to show compliance with Special Condition Nos. 22 and 36.

O. Records of annual inspections of cooling tower drift eliminators, including the date of inspection, a description of the as-found and after-repair condition, and any repairs made.

P. Records of the individual sum of the actual 12-month rolling average emissions of each of the pollutants identified in Special Condition No. 39, to show compliance with the PAL. The records must include the data source and calculations used to compile these sums.

Q. Records of hourly NO_X emissions from any CFB boiler when its NO_X continuous emission rate monitoring system does not produce a valid hourly emission rate while the CFB is operating, and records of hourly SO_2 emissions when the SO_2 monitoring system does not produce a valid hourly emission rate, to show compliance with Special Condition No. 10.A and the MAERT. The method of predicting or calculating these emissions should be identified in the SSM plan identified in Special Condition No. 13. or the CEMS Quality Improvement Plan identified in Special Condition No. 26.F.

REPORTING

42. The holder of this permit shall submit to the TCEQ Houston Regional Office quarterly or semiannual reports of excess emissions and monitoring systems performance, as described in 40 CFR § 60.7(c), for each emission unit which is required to be continuously monitored pursuant to 40 CFR Part 60. In addition, these reports shall identify:

A. any emissions of continuously monitored CO, ammonia, and mercury in excess of any of the limits of this permit and monitoring systems performance, following the format of 40 CFR § 60.7(c); and

B. the pollutant, emission rates, and test dates of any required stack emission tests conducted during the reporting period which is in excess of any of the limits of this permit.

43. Within one year after initial start-up of the first CFB boiler, the holder of this permit shall submit a copy of the SSM plan identified in Special Condition No. 13.A. to the TCEQ Air Permits Division in Austin and the U.S. EPA Region Air Permits Section, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733.

AS-BUILT INFORMATION

44. The holder of this permit shall submit to the TCEQ Houston Regional Office and the TCEQ Air Permits Division change pages to the permit application reflective of the final plans and engineering specifications on the CFB Boilers, auxiliary boilers, emergency engines, and other sources, including their respective control equipment, no later than 30 days before initial start-up of the CFB Boilers. This information shall include:

A. All TCEQ Tables in the permit application, updated with manufacturer and other specified data.

B. Revised plot plans and equipment drawings as required to reflect the constructed facility.

C. Identification of any maximum inputs of raw materials for the as-built facility, and any diesel fuel sulfur or engine manufacturer's emission specification that is lower than the values represented in the permit application and used for calculating or establishing emissions. Accompanying this information shall be a request for permit alteration. The TCEQ may alter the permit special conditions and MAERT to reflect any such reduction in emissions. Increases in allowable emission rates shall require authorization before construction begins.

OPTIMIZATION STUDIES

45. Within 60 days after completing the first annual compliance sampling required by

Special Condition No. 32, the holder of this permit shall submit a request to adjust the performance standards for the control of H_2SO_4, HCl, HF, Hg, VOC, and front half and total PM/PM_{10} identified in Special Condition No. 10.B to reflect the results of the sampling of these compounds conducted to that date, with appropriate consideration given for data variability. The adjustment on a pollutant-by-pollutant basis to the performance standard for the control of H_2SO_4, HCl, HF, Hg, VOC, or front half and total PM/PM_{10} shall only be required if the average of the sampling for any such pollutant is 50 percent or less of the currently permitted value. At a minimum, this submittal shall include the Initial Demonstration of Compliance sampling required by this permit and the first annual compliance sampling required by Special Condition No. 32.

Dated:_____

Attachment A
Permit Numbers 86088 and PSD-TX-1160
Non-Mercury Metal Concentrations in Coal
and Emission Performance Standards

Constituent	Maximum Concentration (ppmw)	Performance Standard (lb/MMBtu)
Arsenic	5.0	5.52E-06
Cadmium	0.8	3.45E-07
Beryllium	1.7	9.53E-07
Lead	6.4	1.80E-06
Chromium	17	2.90E-06
Copper	9.2	1.52E-06
Manganese	24	8.42E-06
Selenium	2.5	9.67E-07
Silicon Dioxide	45,500	4.02E-03
Aluminum	10,200	1.01E-03
Iron Oxide	21,600	2.65E-03
Calcium Oxide	3,350	7.88E-04
Sodium	608	8.79E-05
Potassium	1,700	1.88E-04
Titanium	620	5.88E-05
Magnesium	440	4.87E-05
Nickel	14	3.18E-06
Vanadium	59	2.07E-05
Zinc	27	1.08E-05

Dated_____

Attachment B
Permit Numbers 86088 and PSD-TX-1160
Non-Mercury Metal Concentrations in Petroleum Coke
and Emission Performance Standards

Constituent	Maximum Concentration (ppmw)	Performance Standard (lb/MMBtu)
Arsenic	2.0	7.04E-07
Cadmium	0.56	2.00E-07
Beryllium	0.51	1.82E-07
Lead	2.63	9.39E-07
Chromium	10.7	3.82E-06
Copper	4.4	1.56E-06
Manganese	12	4.29E-06
Selenium	1.0	3.50E-07
Silicon Dioxide	141	5.01E-05
Aluminum	58	2.05E-05
Iron Oxide	292	1.04E-04
Calcium Oxide	43	1.53E-05
Sodium	82	2.90E-05
Potassium	35	1.25E-05
Titanium	1.3	4.46E-07
Magnesium	7.5	2.68E-06
Nickel	385	1.37E-04
Vanadium	1560	5.56E-04

Dated_____

EMISSION SOURCES - MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE EMISSION RATES

Permit Numbers 86088, HAP 28, PAL 26, and PSD-TX-1160

This table lists the maximum allowable emission rates and all sources of air contaminants on the applicant's property covered by this permit. The emission rates shown are those derived from information submitted as part of the application for permit and are the maximum rates allowed for these facilities. Any proposed increase in emission rates may require an application for a modification of the facilities covered by this permit.

AIR CONTAMINANTS DATA

Emission Point No. (1)	Source Name (2)	Air Contaminant Name (3)	Emission Rates ** lb/hr	TPY*
1-A	Unit 1 CFB Boiler 3,300 MMBtu/hr (Normal operations, including start-ups/shutdowns)	NO_x	330	1,012
		SO_2	377	1,239
		CO	726	1,445
		VOC	16.5	72
		PM_{10} (filterable)	33	145
		PM_{10} (total)	83	361
		$PM_{2.5}$ (4)	83	274
		H_2SO_4	53	231
		NH_3	16.9	37
		Hg	0.013	0.012
		HCl	16.5	46.3
		HF	1.3	3.8
		Pb	0.026	0.037
		NO_x (start-up)	371	--
		SO_2 (start-up)	3,141	--
		H_2SO_4 (start-up)	238	--
		HCl (start-up)	665	--
		HF (start-up)	13.4	--
1-B	Unit 2 CFB Boiler 3,300 MMBtu/hr (Normal operations, including start-ups/shutdowns)	NO_x	330	1,012
		SO_2	377	1,239
		CO	726	1,445
		VOC	16.5	72
		PM_{10} (filterable)	33	145
		PM_{10} (total)	83	361
		$PM_{2.5}$ (4)	83	274
		H_2SO_4	53	231

EMISSION SOURCES - MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE EMISSION RATES

AIR CONTAMINANTS DATA

Emission Point No. (1)	Source Name (2)	Air Contaminant Name (3)	Emission Rates ** lb/hr	TPY*
		NH_3	16.9	37
		Hg	0.013	0.012
		HCl	16.5	46.3
		HF	1.3	3.8
		Pb	0.026	0.037
		NO_x (start-up)	371	--
		SO_2 (start-up)	3,141	--
		H_2SO_4 (start-up)	238	--
		HCl (start-up)	665	--
		HF (start-up)	13.4	--
2-A	Unit 3 CFB Boiler 3,300 MMBtu/hr (Normal operations, including start-ups/shutdowns)	NO_x	330	1,012
		SO_2	377	1,239
		CO	726	1,445
		VOC	16.5	72
		PM_{10} (filterable)	33	145
		PM_{10} (total)	83	361
		$PM_{2.5}$ (4)	83	274
		H_2SO_4	53	231
		NH_3	16.9	37
		Hg	0.013	0.012
		HCl	16.5	46.3
		HF	1.3	3.8
		Pb	0.026	0.037
		NO_x (start-up)	371	--
		SO_2 (start-up)	3,141	--
		H_2SO_4 (start-up)	238	--
		HCl (start-up)	665	--
		HF (start-up)	13.4	--
2-B	Unit 4 CFB Boiler 3,300 MMBtu/hr (Normal operations, including start-ups/shutdowns)	NO_x	330	1,012
		SO_2	377	1,239
		CO	726	1,445
		VOC	16.5	72
		PM_{10} (filterable)	33	145
		PM_{10} (total)	83	361

EMISSION SOURCES - MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE EMISSION RATES

AIR CONTAMINANTS DATA

Emission Point No. (1)	Source Name (2)	Air Contaminant Name (3)	Emission Rates ** lb/hr	TPY*
		$PM_{2.5}$ (4)	83	274
		H_2SO_4	53	231
		NH_3	16.9	37
		Hg	0.013	0.012
		HCl	16.5	46.3
		HF	1.3	3.8
		Pb	0.026	0.037
		NO_x (start-up)	371	--
		SO_2 (start-up)	3,141	--
		H_2SO_4 (start-up)	238	--
		HCl (start-up)	665	--
		HF (start-up)	13.4	--
DC-FUEL1	Unit 1 Fuel/Limestone Dust Collector	PM/PM_{10}	0.51	2.25
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.13	0.56
DC-FUEL2	Unit 2 Fuel/Limestone Dust Collector	PM/PM_{10}	0.51	2.25
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.13	0.56
DC-FUEL3	Unit 3 Fuel/Limestone Dust Collector	PM/PM_{10}	0.51	2.25
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.13	0.56
DC-FUEL4	Unit 4 Fuel/Limestone Dust Collector	PM/PM_{10}	0.51	2.25
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.13	0.56
DC-FLYASH1	Unit 1 Fly Ash Dust Collector	PM/PM_{10}	0.19	0.81
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.05	0.20
DC-FLYASH2	Unit 2 Fly Ash Dust Collector	PM/PM_{10}	0.19	0.81
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.05	0.20
DC-FLYASH3	Unit 3 Fly Ash Dust Collector	PM/PM_{10}	0.19	0.81
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.05	0.20
DC-FLYASH4	Unit 4 Fly Ash Dust Collector	PM/PM_{10}	0.19	0.81
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.05	0.20

EMISSION SOURCES - MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE EMISSION RATES

AIR CONTAMINANTS DATA

Emission Point No. (1).	Source Name (2)	Air Contaminant Name (3)	Emission Rates ** lb/hr	TPY*
DCBEDASH12	Unit 1 & 2 Bed Ash Dust Collector	PM/PM_{10}	0.34	1.48
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.08	0.37
DCBEDASH34	Unit 3 & 4 Bed Ash Dust Collector	PM/PM_{10}	0.34	1.48
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.08	0.37
DC-LIME12	Unit 1 & 2 Lime Silo Dust Collector	PM/PM_{10}	0.03	0.14
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.01	0.04
DC-LIME34	Unit 3 & 4 Lime Silo Dust Collector	PM/PM_{10}	0.03	0.14
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.01	0.04
DCCARBON12	Unit 1 & 2 Carbon Silo Dust Collector	PM/PM_{10}	0.03	0.14
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.01	0.04
DCCARBON34	Unit 3 & 4 Carbon Silo Dust Collector	PM/PM_{10}	0.03	0.14
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.01	0.04
DC-RAIL-UL	Railcar Unloading Building	PM/PM_{10}	7.29	18.21
		$PM_{2.5}$	1.82	4.55
DC-CRUSHER	Crusher Building	PM/PM_{10}	0.43	1.07
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.11	0.27
SP-1	Petcoke/Coal Storage Pile (5)	PM	2.04	8.94
		PM_{10}	1.02	4.47
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.15	0.68
SP-2	Limestone Storage Pile (5)	PM	0.42	1.83
		PM_{10}	0.21	0.91
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.03	0.14
LF-1	Ash Disposal Landfill (5)	PM	0.37	1.62
		PM_{10}	0.18	0.81
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.03	0.12

EMISSION SOURCES - MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE EMISSION RATES

AIR CONTAMINANTS DATA

Emission Point No. (1)	Source Name (2)	Air Contaminant Name (3)	Emission Rates ** lb/hr	TPY*
FASHLOAD1	Fly Ash #1 Truck Loading Fugitives (5)	PM	1.53	2.29
		PM_{10}	0.38	0.56
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.06	0.09
FASHLOAD2	Fly Ash #2 Truck Loading Fugitives (5)	PM	1.53	2.29
		PM_{10}	0.38	0.56
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.06	0.09
FASHLOAD3	Fly Ash #3 Truck Loading Fugitives (5)	PM	1.53	2.29
		PM_{10}	0.38	0.56
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.06	0.09
FASHLOAD4	Fly Ash #4 Truck Loading Fugitives (5)	PM	1.53	2.29
		PM_{10}	0.38	0.56
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.06	0.09
BASHLOAD12	Bed Ash #1 Truck Loading Fugitives (5)	PM	1.53	1.22
		PM_{10}	0.38	0.30
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.06	0.05
BASHLOAD34	Bed Ash #2 Truck Loading Fugitives (5)	PM	1.53	1.22
		PM_{10}	0.38	0.30
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.06	0.05
BARGE1	Barge Unloading to Hopper (5)	PM	0.64	1.07
		PM_{10}	0.30	0.50
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.05	0.08
BARGE2	Barge Hopper to CO-1 (5)	PM	0.64	1.07
		PM_{10}	0.30	0.50
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.05	0.08
CONV1	Conveyor #1 (5)	PM	0.19	0.32

EMISSION SOURCES - MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE EMISSION RATES

AIR CONTAMINANTS DATA

Emission Point No. (1)	Source Name (2)	Air Contaminant Name (3)	Emission Rates ** lb/hr	TPY*
		PM_{10}	0.09	0.15
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.01	0.02
TRSFR1	CO-1 to CO-2 (5)	PM	0.10	0.16
		PM_{10}	0.05	0.08
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.01	0.01
CONV2	Conveyor #2 (5)	PM	0.38	0.64
		PM_{10}	0.18	0.30
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.03	0.05
RAILFUG	Rail Unloading Fugitives (5)	PM	0.10	0.16
		PM_{10}	0.05	0.08
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.01	0.01
TRUCK1	Truck Unloading to Hopper (5)	PM	0.64	1.07
		PM_{10}	0.30	0.50
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.05	0.08
TRUCK2	Truck Hopper to CO-3 (5)	PM	0.64	1.07
		PM_{10}	0.30	0.50
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.05	0.08
CONV3	Conveyor #3 (5)	PM	0.10	0.16
		PM_{10}	0.05	0.08
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.01	0.01
TRSFR2	CO-3 to CO-4 or CO-5 (5)	PM	0.10	0.16
		PM_{10}	0.05	0.08
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.01	0.01
TRSFR3	CO-2 to CO-4 or CO-5 (5)	PM	0.10	0.16
		PM_{10}	0.05	0.08
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.01	0.01
CONV4	Conveyor #4 (5)	PM	3.20	5.33

EMISSION SOURCES - MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE EMISSION RATES

AIR CONTAMINANTS DATA

Emission Point No. (1)	Source Name (2)	Air Contaminant Name (3)	Emission Rates ** lb/hr	TPY*
		PM_{10}	1.51	2.52
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.23	0.38
CONV5	Conveyor #5 (5)	PM	3.20	5.33
		PM_{10}	1.51	2.52
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.23	0.38
TRSFR4	CO-4 to Mobile Stacker (5)	PM	0.10	0.16
		PM_{10}	0.05	0.08
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.01	0.01
TRSFR5	CO-5 to Mobile Stacker (5)	PM	0.10	0.16
		PM_{10}	0.05	0.08
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.01	0.01
TRSFR6	Mobile Reclaim to CO-6 or CO-7 (5)	PM	0.08	0.16
		PM_{10}	0.04	0.08
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.01	0.01
CONV6	Conveyors #6 and #7 (5)	PM	3.07	6.40
		PM_{10}	1.45	3.03
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.22	0.46
TRSFR7	CO-6 or CO-7 to CO-8 or CO-9 (5)	PM	0.08	0.16
		PM_{10}	0.04	0.08
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.01	0.01
CONV7	Conveyors #8 and #9 (5)	PM	0.08	0.16
		PM_{10}	0.04	0.08
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.01	0.03
CONV8	Conveyors #10 and #11 (5)	PM	0.15	0.32
		PM_{10}	0.07	0.15
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.03	0.06
COOLTWR1	Cooling Tower #1	PM	1.21	5.29

EMISSION SOURCES - MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE EMISSION RATES

AIR CONTAMINANTS DATA

Emission Point No. (1)	Source Name (2)	Air Contaminant Name (3)	Emission Rates ** lb/hr	TPY*
		PM_{10}	0.60	2.65
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.00	0.02
COOLTWR2	Cooling Tower #2	PM	1.21	5.29
		PM_{10}	0.60	2.65
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.00	0.02
COOLTWR3	Cooling Tower #3	PM	1.21	5.29
		PM_{10}	0.60	2.65
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.00	0.02
COOLTWR4	Cooling Tower #4	PM	1.21	5.29
		PM_{10}	0.60	2.65
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.00	0.02
EMGEN1	Diesel-Fired Emergency Generator 1	NO_x	42.50	10.60
		CO	23.30	5.80
		PM_{10}	1.07	0.27
		$PM_{2.5}$	1.07	0.27
		VOC	2.55	0.64
		SO_2	1.62	0.41
		H_2SO_4	0.13	0.03
EMGEN2	Diesel-Fired Emergency Generator 2	NO_x	42.50	10.60
		CO	23.30	5.80
		PM_{10}	1.07	0.27
		$PM_{2.5}$	1.07	0.27
		VOC	2.55	0.64
		SO_2	1.62	0.41
		H_2SO_4	0.13	0.03
FIREWTRPMP	Main Diesel-Fired Fire Water Pump	NO_x	1.65	0.41

EMISSION SOURCES - MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE EMISSION RATES

AIR CONTAMINANTS DATA

Emission Point No. (1)	Source Name (2)	Air Contaminant Name (3)	Emission Rates ** lb/hr	TPY*
		CO	1.43	0.36
		PM_{10}	0.08	0.02
		$PM_{2.5}$	0.08	0.02
		VOC	0.62	0.16
		SO_2	0.10	0.03
		H_2SO_4	0.01	0.00
T-WTRPMP	Diesel Tank for Main Diesel-Fired Fire Water Pump	VOC	0.17	0.001
T-EMGEN	Diesel Tank for Emergency Generators	VOC	0.17	0.002
TNK-FO1	No. 2 Fuel Oil Storage Tank #1 for CFB Startup	VOC	0.32	0.04
TNK-FO2	No. 2 Fuel Oil Storage Tank #2 for CFB Startup	VOC	0.32	0.04
T-DSLVEH	Diesel Storage Tank for Plant Vehicles	VOC	0.17	0.004
T-GASVEH	Gasoline Storage Tank for Plant Vehicles	VOC	7.38	1.51
TNK-ACID	Acid Storage Tank	H_2SO_4	0.21	0.004
FUG-NH3A	Fugitives: Ammonia (5)	NH_3	0.10	0.46
FUG-NH3B	Fugitives: Ammonia (5)	NH_3	0.10	0.46
FUG-FO	Fugitives: Fuel Oil (5)	VOC	0.15	0.67

(1) Emission point identification - either specific equipment designation or emission point number from a plot plan.

(2) Specific point source names. For fugitive sources, use an area name or fugitive source name.

EMISSION SOURCES - MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE EMISSION RATES

(3) NO_x - total oxides of nitrogen
CO - carbon monoxide
VOC - volatile organic compounds as defined in Title 30 TAC § 101.1
PM - particulate matter, suspended in the atmosphere, including PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$.
PM_{10} - particulate matter equal to or less than 10 microns in diameter. Where PM is not listed, it shall be assumed that no PM greater than 10 microns is emitted.
$PM_{2.5}$ - particulate matter equal to or less than 2.5 microns in diameter.
SO_2 - sulfur dioxide
H_2SO_4 - sulfuric acid
HCl - hydrogen chloride
HF - hydrogen fluoride
Pb - lead
Hg – mercury
NH_3 - ammonia

(4) Compliance with $PM_{2.5}$ emission limits to be determined upon promulgation of EPA test methods.

(5) Fugitives emission rate is an estimate and compliance is demonstrated by meeting the applicable Special Condition requirements and permit application representations.

* Annual emission limits for CFB boilers include emissions from startup and shutdown. For combustion sources and storage tanks, compliance is based on a rolling 12-month period. For material handling sources, compliance with annual limits is based on applicable Special Conditions and permit application representations.

** Emission rates are based on and the facilities are limited by the following maximum operating schedule:

Hrs/day 24 Days/week 7 Weeks/year 52 or Hrs/year 8,760

Dated:_____

Bryan W. Shaw, Ph.D., *Chairman*
Buddy Garcia, *Commissioner*
Carlos Rubinstein, *Commissioner*
Mark R. Vickery, P.G., *Executive Director*

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Protecting Texas by Reducing and Preventing Pollution

October 21, 2010

TO: Persons on the attached mailing list.

RE: White Stallion Energy Center, LLC
TCEQ Docket No. 2009-0283-AIR; SOAH Docket No. 582-09-3008;
Permit Nos. 86088, HAP28, PAL26, and PSD-TX-1160

Decision of the Commission on Application.

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ" or "Commission") has made a decision to grant the above-referenced permit. Enclosed with this letter is a copy of the Commission's order and a draft copy of the permit. Unless a Motion for Rehearing ("MFR" or "motion") is timely filed with the chief clerk, as described below, this action of the Commission will become final. A MFR is a request for the Commission to review its decision on the matter. Any motion must explain why the Commission should review the decision.

Deadline for Filing Motion for Rehearing.

A MFR must be received by the chief clerk's office no later than 20 days after the date a person is notified of the Commission's order on this application. A person is presumed to have been notified on the third day after the date that this order is mailed.

Motions may be filed with the chief clerk electronically at http://www10.tceq.state.tx.us/epic/efilings/ or by filing an original and 7 copies with the Chief Clerk at the following address:

LaDonna Castañuela, Chief Clerk
TCEQ, MC-105
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087
Fax: 512/239-3311

In addition, a copy of the motion must be sent on the same day to each of the individuals on the attached mailing list as indicated by an asterisk (*). A certificate of service stating that copies of the motion were sent to those on the mailing list must also be sent to the chief clerk. The procedures for filing and serving motions for rehearing and responses are located in 30 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) §80.272 and 30 TAC §1.10-1.11. The hardcopy filing requirement is waived by the General Counsel pursuant to 30 TAC §1.10(h).

P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087 512-239-1000 Internet address: www.tceq.state.tx.us

The written motion must contain (1) the name and representative capacity of the person filing the motion; (2) the style and official docket number assigned by SOAH or official docket number assigned by the Commission; (3) the date of the order; and (4) a concise statement of each allegation of error.

Unless the time for the Commission to act on the motion is extended, the MFR is overruled by operation of law 45 days after a person is notified of the Commission's order on this application. If the Commission does not receive a motion for rehearing, the permit will be issued and forwarded to appropriate parties.

If you have any questions or need additional information about the procedures described in this letter, please call the Office of Public Assistance toll free at 1-800-687-4040.

Sincerely,

LaDonna Castañuela
Chief Clerk

LDC/lg

Enclosures

MAILING LIST
for
White Stallion Energy Center, LLC
SOAH Docket No. 582-09-3008
TCEQ Docket No. 2009-0283-AIR
Permit Nos. 86088, HAP28, PAL26, and PSD-TX-1160

FOR THE APPLICANT:

Eric Groten, Attorney
* Patrick Lee, Attorney
Vinson & Elkins LLP
2801 Via Fortuna, Suite 100
Austin, Texas 78746

Randy Bird, Chief Operating Officer
White Stallion Energy Center, LLC
1302 Waugh Drive, Suite 896
Houston, Texas 77019

Steve A. Langevin, Senior Consultant
RPS JDC, Inc.
14450 JFK Boulevard, Suite 400
Houston, Texas 77032

INTERESTED PERSONS:

See attached list.

FOR THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
via electronic mail:

* Benjamin Rhem, Staff Attorney
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Environmental Law Division MC-173
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Randy Hamilton, Technical Staff
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Air Permits Division MC-163
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

FOR THE CHIEF CLERK
via electronic mail:

LaDonna Castañuela
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Office of Chief Clerk MC-105
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

FOR OFFICE OF PUBLIC ASSISTANCE
via electronic mail:

Bridget Bohac, Director
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Office of Public Assistance MC-108
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

FOR PUBLIC INTEREST COUNSEL
via electronic mail:

* Scott Humphrey, Attorney
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Public Interest Counsel MC-103
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

*The Honorable Paul Keeper
*The Honorable Kerrie Qualtrough
Administrative Law Judges
State Office of Administrative Hearings
P.O. Box 13025
Austin, Texas 78711-3025

Courtesy Copy via inter-agency mail

ALLEN , CHERIE & LEWIS
1909 CIRCLE DR
BAY CITY TX 77414-4831

ALLEN , JUDITH
2600 OAK DR
BAY CITY TX 77414-7342

ANDERSON , KEVIN
1713 7TH ST
BAY CITY TX 77414-5005

ARNOLD , JAMES
2553 COUNTY ROAD 166
BAY CITY TX 77414-4025

BISHOP , IRENE
3101 14TH ST
BAY CITY TX 77414-3731

BLUDAU , OWEN W
STE 304
2200 7TH ST
BAY CITY TX 77414-5254

BROWNIRY , PAULA
PO BOX 741
BAY CITY TX 77404-0741

BUDWILL , CARLEEN & VERNON
3702 GALWAY LN
HOUSTON TX 77080-1608

BUNDICK , CHARLES & E MARGUERITE
2009 MERLIN ST
BAY CITY TX 77414-3135

BUNDICK , MARGUERITE
2009 MERLIN ST
BAY CITY TX 77414-3135

BURLINGAME , GEORGE M
1 RENWICK ST
BAY CITY TX 77414-8522

BURLINGAME , VIRGINIA
1 RENWICK ST
BAY CITY TX 77414-8522

CAMPBELL , DOC
PO BOX 213
BAY CITY TX 77404-0213

CARMAN, NEIL & KRAMER,KEN
PO BOX 1931
AUSTIN TX 78767-1931

CARUTHERS , ROY
2024 SANDLEWOOD DR
BAY CITY TX 77414-7716

CHAMBLESS , GLENN
PO BOX 1974
BAY CITY TX 77404-1974

CHAVEZ , JUDITH
PALACIOS CHAMBER OF COMMERCE
327 E BAYSHORE DR
PALACIOS TX 77465-9241

COLLINS , M D
PO BOX 596
BAY CITY TX 77404-0596

CRAWFORD , JIM
4300 VIRGINIA ST
BAY CITY TX 77414-4658

CROW , SUE
1920 STONESTHROW DR
BAY CITY TX 77414-7718

CURRY , PAT
2200 7TH ST
BAY CITY TX 77414-5254

CURRY III , WILLIAM E
4001 AGGIE DR
BAY CITY TX 77414-4615

DANCER , SUSAN
PO BOX 209
BLESSING TX 77419-0209

DE FEHR , BARBARA & KEITH
PO BOX 126
WADSWORTH TX 77483

DELUNA , LOUIS
DON DAVIS DEALERSHIP
5020 7TH ST
BAY CITY TX 77414-8705

DESHOTELI , GEORGE
PO BOX 571
MATAGORDA TX 77457-0571

DUKE , RONALD
2074 HIGHWAY 39
HUNT TX 78024-3408

DUNHAM , DAVID
PO BOX 1068
BAY CITY TX 77404-1068

ELLIS , THE HONORABLE RODNEY
TEXAS SENATE
PO BOX 12068
AUSTIN TX 78711-2068

EUBANKS, CRYSTAL & HUTSON,BRYAN
11977 STATE HIGHWAY 60 S
BAY CITY TX 77414-3299

FARRAR , THE HONORABLE JESSICA
TX HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES - DIST 148
PO BOX 2910
AUSTIN TX 78768-2910

FEHMEL , JEAN
1015 6TH ST
BAY CITY TX 77414-4809

FOLTYN , ROSEMARY
289 COUNTY ROAD 353
PALACIOS TX 77465-7015

FORD , GARY
647 COUNTY ROAD 232
BAY CITY TX 77414-2863

* FRIEND , GREG
MCELROY SULLIVAN & MILLER LLP
PO BOX 12127
AUSTIN TX 78711-2127

GALLEGOS JR , THE HONORABLE MARIO
TEXAS SENATE
PO BOX 12068
AUSTIN TX 78711-2068

GANN , CATHY
782 TIMBERLINE DR
BAY CITY TX 77414-2146

GANN , JAMES
1356 COUNTY ROAD 224
BAY CITY TX 77414-4132

GIBSON , JAMES COUNTY COMMISSIONER
MATAGORDA COUNTY
1200 PERRYMAN AVE
PALACIOS TX 77465-3602

GONZALES , JOSE LUIS
1513 AVENUE D
BAY CITY TX 77414-3807

GONZALES , JULIA
PO BOX 972
BAY CITY TX 77404-0972

GRIFFITH , MIKE
2245 AVENUE G
BAY CITY TX 77414-5018

GRIFFITH , W BARTON
2313 POWELL ST
BAY CITY TX 77414

HADDEN , KAREN
STE 100
1303 SAN ANTONIO ST
AUSTIN TX 78701-1636

HALBERT , JO
1904 CANDLEWOOD DR
BAY CITY TX 77414-7708

HAMMOND , WENDI
LAW OFFICE OF WENDI HAMMOND
7325 AUGUSTA CIR
PLANO TX 75025-3517

HARPER , JOSH
TPWD
2200 HARRISON ST
PALACIOS TX 77465-2418

HEAD , BOBBY
2109 LAUREL ST
BAY CITY TX 77414-8410

HEAD , VICKI & WAYNE
2004 MATTHEWS ST
BAY CITY TX 77414-6640

HENDERSON , PETE
2020 LINDA LN
BAY CITY TX 77414-7219

HERRETH , GEORGIA
3224 AVENUE K
BAY CITY TX 77414-7302

HICKL , CHERYL
PO BOX 145
ELMATON TX 77440-0145

HOLLOWAY , BARBARA
10772 STATE HIGHWAY 60 S
BAY CITY TX 77414-3286

HUMBLE , LYNN
1918 WILLOW ST
BAY CITY TX 77414-8431

HUTSON , BRYAN
11977 STATE HWY 60 S
BAY CITY TX 77414

HUTSON , JOHN & SUSIE
11696 STATE HIGHWAY 60 S
BAY CITY TX 77414-3294

JOBE , CLARK
STE 200
1201 SPYGLASS DR
AUSTIN TX 78746-6925

JUREK , JUDY BISHOP
PO BOX 414
MARKHAM TX 77456-0414

KEEN , DANIEL
221 AVENUE E
BRAZORIA TX 77422-8543

KILE , DIANNA
HON RON PAUL
122 W WAY ST
LAKE JACKSON TX 77566-5236

KING , CHARLES
1 197 COUNTY ROAD 202
SARGENT TX 77414-7553

LEHMANN , RICHARD
3633 COUNTY ROAD 211
BAY CITY TX 77414-9245

MALINA , EVA A
10735 FM 2668
BAY CITY TX 77414-2954

MALINA , EVA & ROBERT M
10735 FM 2668
BAY CITY TX 77414-2954

MALINA , ROBERT MARION
10735 FM 2668
BAY CITY TX 77414-2954

* MANN , CHRISTINA
ENVIRONMENTAL INTEGRITY PROJECT
1303 SAN ANTONIO ST STE 200
AUSTIN TX 78701-1636

MANSURI , LAYLA
ENVIRONMENTAL INTEGRITY PROJECT
STE 200
1303 SAN ANTONIO ST
AUSTIN TX 78701-1636

MATTHEWS , OLLIE
3404 5TH ST
BAY CITY TX 77414

MCCASKILL , DANNY
PO BOX 671
VAN VLECK TX 77482-0671

MCDONALD , NATE
206 COUNTY ROAD 208
BAY CITY TX 77414-2080

MILLIFF , CONNIE
PO BOX 326
WADSWORTH TX 77483-0326

O'DAJ , MIKE
6213 DUBLIN LN
PEARLAND TX 77581-7057

ORSAK , LILLIAN
307 COUNTY ROAD 430
BAY CITY TX 77414-8652

PURVIS , GAIL
5308 COUNTY ROAD 322
PALACIOS TX 77465-6200

REYNA , ROBERT
PO BOX 1643
BAY CITY TX 77404-1643

RITTENHOUSE , RYAN
1303 SAN ANTONIO ST
AUSTIN TX 78701-1636

ROBINSON , JEFF
STE 1200
1445 ROSS AVE
DALLAS TX 75202-2711

ROE , WILLIAM
PO BOX 127
MARKHAM TX 77456-0127

ROMINE , MALCOLM
11593 SH 60 S
BAY CITY TX 77414

RUGELEY , LUVINA
4301 DORIS ST
BAY CITY TX 77414-4640

SHIRLEY , SANDRA
2800 PEARL ST
BAY CITY TX 77414-6818

SIMON , HASKELL
PO BOX 106
BAY CITY TX 77404-0106

SINGER , LELAND
PO BOX 670
PALACIOS TX 77465-0670

SLIVA , ALLISON
42 VALHALLA DR
BAY CITY TX 77414-7357

SLIVA , JOEY & NANCY
PO BOX 949
BAY CITY TX 77404-0949

SLOAN , DAN
PO BOX 855
MARKHAM TX 77456-0855

STANLEY , RIKKI
PO BOX 631
MATAGORDA TX 77457-0631

STONE , DONNYE
PO BOX 1936
BAY CITY TX 77404-1936

SUSAN , TED DENNISON
18411 STATE HIGHWAY 60 S
BAY CITY TX 77414-3330

SZEINBACH , MR SANDY
1864 COUNTY ROAD 243
BAY CITY TX 77414-7698

THAMES , MITCH
201 7TH ST
BAY CITY TX 77414-5176

THOMPSON , DON
2209 WILLOW ST
BAY CITY TX 77414-8436

TIPPS , MURIEL
PO BOX 260
CEDAR LANE TX 77415-0260

TIPPS , MURIEL & ROY
PO BOX 260
CEDAR LANE TX 77415-0260

* TOUGH , PAUL
MCELROY SULLIVAN & MILLER LLP
PO BOX 12127
AUSTIN TX 78711-2127

WATTS , BOB
2024 TUPPERLAKE LN
BAY CITY TX 77414-7724

* WEBER , THOMAS
MCELROY SULLIVAN & MILLER LLP
PO BOX 12127
AUSTIN TX 78711-2127

WHITELAW , SCOTT
4800 SAN FELIPE ST
HOUSTON TX 77056-3908

WILLIAMS , CLYDE
4115 BARRETT RD
LOS ANGELES CA 90032-1712

YOUNGER , DONNA
PO BOX 2212
BAY CITY TX 77404-2212

Comments on

SNCR for NOx Control at the TransAlta Centralia Power Plant (CPP) Units 1 and 2

by

Dr. Ranajit (Ron) Sahu, Consultant to NPCA

October 9, 2011

CPP operates two BART-eligible coal-fired units, each with a net capacity of 702.5 megawatts (MW). The units were commissioned in 1971 and 1972 and are located near Centralia, Washington. Both units are currently equipped with a tangentially-fired pulverized coal boiler manufactured by Combustion Engineering.[1]

In December 1996, the CPP's owners, National Park Service, EPA, the U.S. Forest Service, Ecology, the Southwest Clean Air Agency (SWCAA), and the Puget Sound Clean Air Agency reached consensus though the Collaborative Decision Making (CDM) Process on emission reductions at CPP to meet federal and state requirements. Controls for SO2 and NOx were installed to comply with Regulatory Order to Establish Reasonability Control Technology No. 97-2057 issued by Southwest Air Pollution Control Agency (SWAPCA).[2] Specifically, SPP installed new low-NOx burners (LNBs) and over-fire air (OFA) between 2000 and 2002 in response to this Order. This system is an Alstom LNC3 system that includes separated OFA (SOFA).[3]

All coal burned at the CPP and planned to be burned in the future is sub-bituminous[4] from the Power River Basin (PRB).[5]

[1] BART Analysis for Centralia Power Plant, Prepared for TransAlta, January 2008, Revised July 2008, Prepared By CH2M Hill, pp. 2-1.
[2] Ibid, pp. ES-1.
[3] Ibid, pp. 2-1.
[4] Ibid, pp. 3-1.
[5] BART Determination Support Document for TransAlta Centralia Generation, LLC Power Plant, Centralia, Washington by Washington Dept. of Ecology (Ecology) Air Quality Program (AQP), August 2009 (Revised April 2010 and further Revised August 2011), pp. 3 and pp. 11.

In 2008, CPP's position was that the NOx controls installed in the 2000 to 2002 period also met applicable BART requirements, but it voluntarily agreed to submit a BART analysis.[6]

In completing the BART analysis, CPP investigated the following NOx reduction technologies:[7]

• Rotating opposed-fire air (ROFA)

• Selective non-catalytic reduction system (SNCR)

• Selective catalytic reduction (SCR) system

• Neural network controls

CPP's analysis of the above controls in its own words is as follows: "The ROFA vendor contacted for this analysis did not demonstrate that it has successfully installed the process on units of comparable size; hence, ROFA does not qualify as an available technology for this BART analysis. Both SNCR and SCR have been demonstrated on comparable units and can reasonably be expected to achieve reductions of 25 percent and 75 percent, respectively; therefore, both were considered in this analysis. Neural network controls have not been able to guarantee a minimum level of reduction so it was not considered available for this BART analysis; however, based on the potential NOx reductions and cost-effectiveness, CPP is investigating this technology further."[8]

Specifically for SNCR, this analysis concluded that SNCR would achieve 25% NOx reduction from the levels seen after the installation of the prior NOx controls between 2000 and 2002 (i.e., LNB + Alstom LNC3).[9] We also note that after submittal of the July 2008 BART Analysis, CPP was requested to provide additional information on SNCR. It did so in a March 31, 2010 report.[10] As Ecology notes, "[T]he March 31, 2010 report indicates that the SNCR cost estimates in the June 2008 BART analysis were "budgetary estimates" supplemented by vendor quote of costs and NOx removal efficiency from Fuel Tech.[11] Fuel Tech is a major supplier of SNCR technology to the power industry.[12]

---

[6] July 2008 CH2M Hill BART Analysis, pp. ES-1
[7] Ibid, pp. ES-3.
[8] Ibid, pp. ES-3.
[9] Ibid, see discussion on pp. 3-6 through 3-7.
[10] Ecology BART Support Document, Revised August 2011, pp. 14.
[11] Ibid, pp. 15.
[12] See http://www.ftek.com/en-US/products/apc/overview/ and associated web pages for additional details.

Some amount of ammonia emissions (known as ammonia slip) is inherent to using SNCR as a control for NOx. CPP used 5 ppm as the ammonia slip level in its analysis.[13] Ecology noted that slip limit could range from 5-10 ppm and possibly as high as 41 ppm.[14]

Consistent with the above, in its BART Determination Support Document, Ecology notes that "[T]he exact amount of NOx reduction attributable to SNCR at this plant is not known, however all analyses of the effects of the use of SNCR are based on an assumption of an additional 25% reduction. As a result, TransAlta will be required to install and adjust the SNCR system to maximize the NOx reduction while maintaining an acceptable ammonia emission rate."[15] Ecology also notes that the expected NOx level prior to the installation of SNCR (i.e., with the installation of the prior 2000-2002 NOx controls and the use of PRB coals in 2006 as a result of the Flex Fuel project is 0.24 lb/MMBtu.[16]

Thus, the NOx level after installation of SNCR should be 25% lower than 0.24 lb/MMBtu or 0.18 lb/MMBtu,[17] typically expected on a 30-day rolling average basis.

All of the above notwithstanding, most recently, CPP has indicated that it can only expect to obtain NOx emission of 0.22 lb/MMBtu after using SNCR at CPP Units 1 and 2 while holding ammonia slip to an unspecified "reasonable level."[18] As compared to the pre-SNCR level of 0.24 lb/MMBtu, this would represent a reduction of 8.33% from SNCR. It appears that Ecology has substantially agreed with TransAlta because its BART determination now only requires a 10% reduction from SNCR or a NOx emissions level of 0.216 lb/MMBtu.[19]

We have reason to believe that achieving the 0.216 lb/MMBtu NOx level proposed as BART by Ecology may require even lower than 10% reduction due to SNCR. For this we rely on TransAlta's own prior modeling. As Ecology notes in its BART Support document,[20] TransAlta has conducted combustion modeling of the boilers (performed by Black & Veatch using EPRI's

[13] Ecology BART Support Document, Revised August 2011, pp. 28.
[14] Ibid, pp. 28.
[15] Ibid, pp. 4.
[16] Ibid, pp. 12.
[17] Ibid, pp. 29.
[18] Ibid, pp. 29-30.
[19] Ibid, pp. 32 (text and Table 4-1). We note that reductions at any one unit may be even lower since the NOx emission level of 0.216 lb/MMBtu allows for averaging between the two units.
[20] Ecology BART Support Document, Revised August 2011, pp. 11.

Vista model) as part of the Flex Fuel project. In this modeling Black and Veatch assessed the impact on NOx emissions from the boilers using various PRB coals. Results are provided in Table 6 of the September 2007 Black and Veatch Boiler Emission Modeling Study. This study shows that for many of the PRB coals that can be burned at the CPP, expected NOx emissions (pre-SNCR, of course) would be lower than 0.24 lb/MMBtu, as follows:

PRB Coal Studied (BV 2007 Vista)	**NOx Emissions (lb/MMBtu)**	**SNCR Reduction to reach 0.216 lb/MMBtu (%)**
Buckskin	0.222	2.7%
Rawhide	0.225	4.0%
Special K	0.213	No Reduction Needed at all
Belle Ayr	0.233	7.3%
Eagle Butte	0.237	8.9%

We also reviewed actual NOx data from the EPA Clear Air Markets Database for each unit at the CPP. These are shown in the table below.

Plant	Year	Mo	Unit 1			Unit 2			Average of Unit 1 and Unit 2 NOx (lb/MMBtu)	Is Nox<0.216 lb/MMBtu?	% Reduction Required to Reach 0.216 lb/MMBtu
			Op Hours (hrs/mo)	NOx Rate (lb/MMBtu)	Heat Input (MMBtu/mo)	Op Hours (hrs/mo)	NOx Rate (lb/MMBtu)	Heat Input (MMBtu/mo)			
CPP	2008	1	553.2	0.224	3990615	740.8	0.204	5125094	0.213	Yes	-
CPP	2008	2	598.3	0.251	4575830	693.2	0.213	5162134	0.231	No	6.4%
CPP	2008	3	675.9	0.248	5005288	659.9	0.233	4846649	0.240	No	10.2%
CPP	2008	4	720.0	0.223	5491071	72.1	0.200	538892	0.221	No	2.3%
CPP	2008	5	512.7	0.235	3765141	0.0			0.235	No	7.9%
CPP	2008	6	259.3	0.209	1347577	88.0	0.310	385977	0.231	No	6.6%
CPP	2008	7	571.7	0.196	4051834	646.6	0.233	4698612	0.216	No	0.0%
CPP	2008	8	681.1	0.182	5326865	481.7	0.275	3432687	0.218	No	1.0%
CPP	2008	9	678.7	0.193	5261825	720.0	0.225	5710117	0.210	Yes	-
CPP	2008	10	744.0	0.179	5868893	744.0	0.222	6037293	0.201	Yes	-
CPP	2008	11	687.1	0.200	5114508	690.4	0.192	5211434	0.196	Yes	-
CPP	2008	12	718.8	0.182	5503312	744.0	0.203	5709403	0.193	Yes	-
CPP	2009	1	744.0	0.195	5873329	691.9	0.245	5148831	0.219	No	1.2%
CPP	2009	2	620.1	0.206	4711257	672.0	0.234	5092394	0.220	No	2.0%
CPP	2009	3	744.0	0.190	5672917	675.9	0.218	4844654	0.203	Yes	-
CPP	2009	4	298.7	0.225	2055050	357.7	0.214	2665385	0.219	No	1.2%
CPP	2009	5	0.0			0.0					
CPP	2009	6	0.0			37.2	0.222	168537	0.222	No	2.5%
CPP	2009	7	644.7	0.259	4005449	744.0	0.227	6040194	0.240	No	9.9%
CPP	2009	8	678.8	0.213	4996803	641.7	0.245	5218408	0.229	No	5.8%
CPP	2009	9	472.5	0.223	3453846	717.3	0.233	5503622	0.229	No	5.6%
CPP	2009	10	725.8	0.200	5282654	744.0	0.244	5753863	0.223	No	3.1%
CPP	2009	11	270.1	0.205	1229281	552.4	0.206	3593533	0.206	Yes	-
CPP	2009	12	710.6	0.234	5443307	664.4	0.238	4648028	0.236	No	8.3%
CPP	2010	1	672.2	0.226	5203700	744.0	0.228	6281938	0.227	No	4.7%
CPP	2010	2	535.5	0.229	4025577	613.7	0.225	4708043	0.227	No	4.8%
CPP	2010	3	744.0	0.223	5841928	712.8	0.230	5516619	0.226	No	4.6%
CPP	2010	4	408.9	0.223	2936361	566.1	0.238	3994975	0.231	No	6.7%
CPP	2010	5	640.0	0.231	4047756	0.0			0.231	No	6.7%
CPP	2010	6	234.6	0.231	965533	0.0			0.231	No	6.7%
CPP	2010	7	743.7	0.218	5685212	626.1	0.246	4949776	0.231	No	6.5%
CPP	2010	8	744.0	0.215	5614235	744.0	0.225	5772057	0.220	No	1.9%
CPP	2010	9	720.0	0.205	4726822	720.0	0.218	5255970	0.212	Yes	-
CPP	2010	10	538.8	0.221	3412314	573.7	0.225	3987845	0.223	No	3.1%
CPP	2010	11	720.0	0.222	5144268	708.0	0.218	5081567	0.220	No	1.9%
CPP	2010	12	744.0	0.225	5621527	699.4	0.226	4951503	0.226	No	4.3%

The table above shows the actual NOx performance for Units 1 and 2 for 2008-2010 after implementation of the Flex Fuel project. Monthly (a reasonably good surrogate for the 30-day average) NOx values for each unit are shown. In addition, the average NOx for both units are also calculated. This average is then compared to the proposed permit limit of 0.216 lb/MMBtu. As can be seen in the table above, for several months in this time period, the average NOx was lower than the proposed BART limit, indicating that the SNCR would not have to be used on one or both units. For those months when the average NOx exceeded the proposed limit of 0.216 lb/MMBtu, the table above shows (in the last column) the percent reduction (from the SNCR) that would be required to reach the 0.216 lb/MMBtu limit. Except for a couple of months in this time period, this percent reduction is well below the desired 10% reduction by Ecology.

Thus, both the Black and Veatch Vista modeling of 2007 and the subsequent actual NOx emissions from 2008-2010 show that the proposed 30-day average 2-unit average limit for NOx of 0.216 lb/MMBtu will require miniscule SNCR reductions, if at all.

It is peculiar that Ecology did not consider this information in its analysis and determination.

The above notwithstanding, we believe that the Ecology determination of even 10% reduction from SNCR is wholly unsupported at this time.

This is factually true since CPP has not yet completed the necessary characterization of the boiler gas path temperature profile and associated modeling[21] and yet both CPP and Ecology appear to anticipate the lower reduction of NOx due to SNCR based on the following purely speculative reasons:[22]

- Location of the beginning of the reaction temperature zone;
- The presence of falling slag from the super-heater tubing;
- The anticipated short residence time at the SNCR reaction temperatures; and
- "Some concerns" about inconsistent mixing provided by the separated over-fire air (SOFA).

We reiterate first that none of the reasons provided have been supported with any engineering data or any quantitative data or analysis. In fact there is not a single quantitative piece of data in Ecology's reasoning in this regard.

[21] Results of these analyses were not available at the time these comments are being provided.
[22] Ecology BART Support Document, Revised August 2011, pp. 29-30.

As context and background, we also note that over 50 tangential-fired pulverized coal units (i.e., of the same type as CPP Units 1 and 2) currently use SNCR as their NOx control in the U.S. Many of these are large units. These units are identified in Table 1 attached. Also included are NOx data as reported by these units to the EPA in the Clear Air Markets Database.[23]

Now, we discuss the reasons that Ecology has proffered.

One, the location of the SNCR reaction temperature zone (gas temperature typically around 1600-2100 F) is usually in the super-heater region of all coal-fired boilers. It is assumed that that will also be the case here in CPP's Units 1 and 2. We note that CPP or Ecology do not specify what is the target starting SNCR reaction temperature or its range so a concern about the "beginning" of this zone is even more vague. Overall, it is not clear what the issue or concern is in this regard.

Two, slagging and the potential for falling slag is also an issue in every boiler, including numerous boilers that use PRB coals in the US. Here again, it is not clear as to why this is a special or unique concern at CPP Units 1 and 2.

Three, since the Ecology analysis or the CPP analysis does not provide any quantitative data as to the temperatures themselves, it is not clear what is meant by "short" residence time at the SNCR reaction temperatures.

And, finally, the fourth and last concern regarding inconsistent mixing is equally unsupported. This is particularly so because none of the characterization that would likely provide such data had been completed when the Ecology analysis was completed.

In summary, it would appear that CPP is proffering and Ecology is accepting speculative and unsupported reasons in order to minimize the expected NOx reduction efficiency that can be reasonably obtained from SNCR if the latter were to be properly implemented at CPP. Ecology's decision is not only premature, it also subverts the SNCR characterization and testing that may actually show that greater than 25% reductions may be possible from SNCR at these units.

Take for example, the NOx emissions levels from two of the bigger units in the attached Table 1 – the two Big Brown Units in Texas. The typical heat input at these units is in the range of 5500-

[23] www.epa.gov/airmarkets

6000 MMBtu/hr. This is not too much smaller than the CPP units. Also, like CPP Units 1 and 2, they are tangentially fired. And, finally, they burn PRB coals in addition to Texas lignite, a more difficult to burn coal than PRB. Yet, as shown in the attached Table 2, monthly (a close surrogate of the 30-day average NOx emissions that is the basis for compliance at CPP Unit 1 and 2[24]) NOx emissions at Big Brown in 2010 are significantly below 0.18 lb/MMBtu with no single month greater than 0.15 lb/MMBtu. NOx emissions from previous years (since around 2002) show similar performance. It is presumed that Ecology had access to this and similar data. It is perplexing why Ecology does not appear to have evaluated this type of data in order to do a reasonable technical assessment before simply accepting TransAlta's speculations as to SNCR performance.

[24] Ecology BART Support Document, Revised August 2011, Table 4-1.

Table 2 - 2010 Monthly NOx Emissions (TX Big Brown Units 1 and 2)								
State	Facility Name	Facility ID	Unit ID	Year	Month	Operating Time	Avg. NOx Rate (lb/mmBtu)	Heat Input (mmBtu)
TX	Big Brown	3497	1	2010	1	744	0.14	4,001,658
TX	Big Brown	3497	1	2010	2	672	0.14	3,924,158
TX	Big Brown	3497	1	2010	3	556	0.15	3,122,016
TX	Big Brown	3497	1	2010	4	669	0.13	3,605,473
TX	Big Brown	3497	1	2010	5	740	0.14	3,932,928
TX	Big Brown	3497	1	2010	6	720	0.14	4,045,511
TX	Big Brown	3497	1	2010	7	744	0.14	4,315,721
TX	Big Brown	3497	1	2010	8	744	0.14	4,251,623
TX	Big Brown	3497	1	2010	9	720	0.14	3,999,412
TX	Big Brown	3497	1	2010	10	737	0.14	3,913,033
TX	Big Brown	3497	1	2010	11	720	0.13	3,875,566
TX	Big Brown	3497	1	2010	12	744	0.13	4,118,812
TX	Big Brown	3497	2	2010	1	656	0.15	3,921,674
TX	Big Brown	3497	2	2010	2	672	0.15	4,136,153
TX	Big Brown	3497	2	2010	3	741	0.14	4,407,241
TX	Big Brown	3497	2	2010	4	720	0.14	4,331,812
TX	Big Brown	3497	2	2010	5	736	0.14	4,095,032
TX	Big Brown	3497	2	2010	6	720	0.14	4,251,769
TX	Big Brown	3497	2	2010	7	708	0.14	4,144,828
TX	Big Brown	3497	2	2010	8	744	0.15	4,468,449
TX	Big Brown	3497	2	2010	9	720	0.14	4,125,283
TX	Big Brown	3497	2	2010	10	531	0.15	2,632,610
TX	Big Brown	3497	2	2010	11	675	0.14	3,571,231
TX	Big Brown	3497	2	2010	12	744	0.14	4,152,025

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Fern Walker [fernbluewalker@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:09 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Fern Walker
18130 NE Everett Ct
Portland, OR 97230-7239
(503) 593-0168

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Linda Swan [indyls@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:09 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Swan
PO Box 224
Snohomish, WA 98291-0224

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Dustin Hiles [ryderphoto@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:09 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dustin Hiles
160 S Couer D'Alene Street
#A203
Spokane, WA 99201-6435

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Linda Bainbridge [lbainbridge@uicalumni.org]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:09 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Linda Bainbridge
4459 Towhee Ln
Greenbank, WA 98253-6326
(360) 331-5948

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of John Niendorf [jrniendorf@cs.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:07 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Niendorf
508 Kelsando Cir
Friday Harbor, WA 98250-9271

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Kyle Comanor [comanor@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:07 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kyle Comanor
3314 Wallingford Ave N Apt 3
Seattle, WA 98103-2906

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Dena Baron [denabaron@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:07 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dena Baron
35 SE 70th Ave
Portland, OR 97215-1401

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Don Bergman [dbergman7@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:07 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Don Bergman
1702 Ohio Ave
Bremerton, WA 98337-1645
(360) 405-1190

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Fay Payton [paytonplace1225@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:07 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Fay Payton
5902 298th Ave NE
Carnation, WA 98014-8404

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Norm Fraker [normfraker@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:06 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Norm Fraker
1059 14th Ave SW
Albany, OR 97321-2106

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: Oliver, Julie (ECY)
Sent: Monday, November 21, 2011 11:04 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Cc: Newman, Alan (ECY)
Subject: FW: Comments on TransAlta Administrative Order and TSD
Attachments: Nov 21 comment letter to ecology.pdf

Please add this to the comment record

Julie Oliver
Program Development Section Manager
Air Quality Program
Washington State Department of Ecology
PO Box 47600
Olympia, WA 98504-7600
(360) 407-6823
(360) 485-7576 (cell)
Fax (360) 407-7534
julie.oliver@ecy.wa.gov

-----Original Message-----
From: Body.Steve@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Body.Steve@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, November 21, 2011 10:53 AM
To: Newman, Alan (ECY)
Cc: Oliver, Julie (ECY)
Subject: Comments on TransAlta Administrative Order and TSD

Please accept our comments... Thanks.

(See attached file: Nov 21 comment letter to ecology.pdf)

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION 10
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900
Seattle, WA 98101-3140

OFFICE OF
AIR, WASTE AND TOXICS

November 21, 2011

Al Newman
Air Quality Program
Washington Department of Ecology
P.O. Box 47600
Olympia, WA 98504-7600

RE: Draft Revised TransAlta Centralia Generation Power Plant Administrative Order and BART Determination

Dear Mr. Newman:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the draft revised TransAlta Centralia Generation Power Plant (TransAlta) Administrative Order and Ecology's Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) determination and accompanying technical support document. Our comments are not intended to indicate EPA's future proposed action on a SIP revision containing this revised Order and BART determination, but rather provide suggestions to improve the Order's clarity and technical justification for the BART determination.

As we understand it, the revised BART determination and associated Administrative Order for TransAlta includes an initial NOx emission limit for SNCR of 0.21 lb/MMBtu and ammonia slip limit of 10 ppmdv with an optimization study to determine if greater NOx reductions are feasible. This approach is reasonable, however, the draft Order does not appear that Ecology or SWCAA are under an obligation to approve or disapprove the optimization study report or that TransAlta actually implement the optimization study results by a date certain. Thus, as reflected in language included below, EPA suggests that the Order provide that the optimization study report be deemed accepted within a specified time frame if Ecology and/or SWCAA do not act on it and that TransAlta will immediately implement the optimized operating parameters. EPA suggests that a date be established by which it is confirmed that the initial BART NOx emission limit of 0.21 lb/mmBtu is the lowest limit SNCR can achieve or a revised lower limit based on the optimization study must be met.

Specific comments on the draft revised Administrative Order:

Finding C.c. This finding is confusing. As written it is unclear what emissions are at issue and to what are they being compared. We suggest rephrasing along the lines; "Use of a sub-bituminous Powder River Basin coal or other coal that will achieve similar NOx and SO2 emission rates based on the sulfur and heat content of the coal to be determined as specified in condition 10."

Condition 1.2.1. The minimum SNCR operating temperature should be specified in this condition.

Printed on Recycled Paper

Conditions 1.1.3 and 2.1.2. The intent of these conditions is not clear. If the intent is to exclude a boiler that does not operate from the calculation of daily average emissions, this seems reasonable. To improve clarity, these conditions could be replaced with the following: "Calculation of daily average emissions from units BW21 and BW22 shall be based on emissions from units that operated during each calendar day. If either unit did not operate during any calendar day, the emission rate of zero from that boiler shall not be included in the calculation of daily average emissions."

Condition 4.3. This condition appears to allow continued operation of both units if SCR is installed on a single unit. The language should be revised to allow continued operation of only those units equipped with SCR.

Condition 5.2.1.2. Suggest that the following sentence be revised as "the plan will be deemed accepted, and the owner will immediately implement the plan if Ecology and/or SWCAA do not respond by May 30 2013." Also it is not clear what happens if Ecology or SWCAA do not accept the optimization plan.

Condition 5.2.3.4. It appears that the units on the NOx emission rate should be lb/MMBTU rather than ppmdv.

Condition 5.3. This condition is very open ended. Ecology and SWAPCA are under no obligation to ever accept the optimization study report and it is not clear what happens if they don't accept it. Additionally, there is no deadline for when Transalta needs to start implementing the optimized operating parameters. We suggest adding the following sort of language to Condition 5.3:

> "Upon written acceptance of the optimization study report by Ecology and SWCAA, the plant operations and maintenance manual(s) will be amended within 30 days to include the operating parameters reflecting the optimized ammonia or urea injection rates developed and operate according to the revised manual(s). The optimization study report will be deemed to be accepted, and the owner will immediately implement the optimized operating parameters if Ecology and/or SWCAA do not provide written approval of it within 60 days of receiving the optimization study report."

Condition 5.3.1. We suggest adding a condition to require compliance with the amended operations and maintenance manual: "TransAlta will comply with the amended plant operations and maintenance manual(s), including the optimized operating parameters identified in the optimization study report as approved by Ecology and SWCAA."

Condition 5.4.3. We suggest that this condition also include a statement that the ammonia emission limit will not be raised above the limit in Condition 2.1.1.

Condition 7. The provisions addressing missing data from the continuous emission monitoring system should include detailed provisions outlining backup parametric monitoring to be used for assurance of compliance during CEMS failure. Alternative options would include requiring installation of a backup CEMS system and/or requiring source testing for prolonged CEMS outages.

Printed on Recycled Paper

Specific comment on the BART Technical Support Document, Revised October 2011:
Page 4, 2nd Paragraph – The visibility improvements cited here (as well as discussions of the BART analyses throughout the document) reflect a NO_X reduction of 25% attributable to SNCR even though the Order requires only a 12.5% reduction to be achieved (from 0.24 to 0.21 lb/MMBtu). The BART support document does not appear to include an analysis of visibility improvements resulting from a 12.5% reduction due to SNCR. Since this revised BART determination requires only a 12.5% additional NO_X reduction attributable to SNCR, the visibility improvements and underlying analysis in the BART support document should reflect emission reductions to the corresponding limit of 0.21 lb/MMBtu.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comment. Please contact Steve Body at 206-553-0782 if you would have questions or would like to discuss our comments.

Sincerely,

Debra M Suzuki

Debra Suzuki,
Unit Manager
State and Tribal Air Programs Unit

Printed on Recycled Paper

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Brock Roberts [brock.roberts@zgf.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:06 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brock Roberts
2268 NW Pettygrove St
Portland, OR 97210-2608
(503) 223-4109

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Peggy Page [peggy.page@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:06 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Peggy Page
24324 Miller Rd
Stanwood, WA 98292-6268

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Steve Laiken [tompte@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:06 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steve Laiken
2313 190th St SE
25
Bothell, WA 98012-6905
(425) 481-5077

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Betsy Pendergast [betsyp@cablespeed.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:06 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Betsy Pendergast
530 Roosevelt St
Port Townsend, WA 98368-4434
(360) 379-6439

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Alice Lockridge [alicelockridge@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:06 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Alice Lockridge
12012-156th Avenue SE
Renton, WA 98059-6317

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Larry Bulling [larry.bulling@oregonstate.edu]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:06 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Larry Bulling
2321 NW Mulkey Ave
Corvallis, OR 97330-2429

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Laura Bibioff [laura_bib@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:06 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laura Bibioff
17315 62nd Ave W
Lynnwood, WA 98037-2909

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Don Johnson [homebrew2@q.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:06 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Don Johnson
20042 103rd Pl SE
Kent, WA 98031-1565

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Ron and Marya Santi [r.j.santi@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:03 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ron and Marya Santi
2427 78th Ave NE
Medina, WA 98039-1521

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Jennifer Lockett [lockettjk@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:03 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jennifer Lockett
1025 NE 96th St
Seattle, WA 98115-2221

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: Karen Olsen [kardolsen@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, October 17, 2011 4:43 PM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: TransAlta Compliance Order

Dear Mr. Newman:

Although the technicalities of the new BART order for the TransAlta plant in Centralia are difficult for a layman to interpret, I applaud efforts to reduce fine particle and greenhouse gas emissions into the environment.

Power companies are long overdue in retrofitting their plants voluntarily. Efforts such as this are badly needed.

Thank you.

Karen Olsen
131 Eastlake Road
Oroville, WA 98844

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Stephen Austin [11.14@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:03 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stephen Austin
PO Box 7522
Spokane, WA 99207-0408
(509) 329-6630

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of William Mcgunagle [acelticone@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:03 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Mr. William Mcgunagle
1727 E Olympic Ave
Spokane, WA 99207-4133

Blain, Lindsay (ECY)

From: National Parks Conservation Association [takeaction@npca.org] on behalf of Candace Shadbolt [cjshadbolt@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2011 11:03 AM
To: ECY RE AQComments
Subject: Comments on the Revised TransAlta BART Order and Analysis

Nov 14, 2011

Mr. Alan Newman
Air Quality Program, Wash. Dept. of Ecology P.O. Box 47600 Lacey, WA 98504-7600

Dear Mr. Newman,

As someone who loves the national parks of the Northwest, I am writing to ask that you control emissions from TransAlta's power plant in Centralia, WA as much as possible between now and 2025, when the plant is expected to fully close. I also encourage you to make sure that the pollution control technology actually results in less air pollution.
Mount Rainier, North Cascades, and Olympic National Parks and our communities will see healthier, clearer air as a result of your actions.

Specifically, I urge you to require, as a starting point, the full 25% reduction from current emissions that Ecology expects will result from the use of the selected pollution control, to a limit of 0.18 lbs/mmbtu. It doesn't make sense to start with a limit that allows 50% more pollution, if we expect the technology to do much better.

Second, I support optimizing pollution reductions from this technology once it is installed. If additional reductions are achievable, they should be made enforceable as improved permit limits. I ask that each step in this process have an identified deadline so we don't get sidetracked on the path to cleaner air.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Ms. Candace Shadbolt
44 NE 85th Ave
Portland, OR 97220-5901

Transcript from Public Hearing

Melanie Forster: I'm Melanie Forster, Hearings Officer for this hearing. This evening we are to conduct a hearing on the proposed revision to the best available retrofit technology order for the TransAlta plant and the part of the Regional Haze State Implementation Plan that addresses it. Let the record show that it is 6:27 p.m. on November 16, 2011 and this hearing is being held in the auditorium of the Department of Ecology headquarters building, 300 Desmond Drive, Lacey, Washington, 98503.

In addition, notices of the hearing were sent by e-mail to about 700 interested people and a news release was issued on October 17, 2011. A notice was also published in the following newspapers on October 17, the *Centralia Chronicle, Bellingham Herald, Daily Journal of Commerce, Vancouver Columbian, Peninsula Daily News, Skagit Valley Herald,* and a notice was also published on October 14 in the *Wenatchee World.* I will be calling people up to provide oral testimony based on the order that I received your sign-in card. Once everyone who has indicated they would like to testify has had the opportunity, I will open it up for the others.

So far I have one person who indicated they would like to testify, David Graves. Do you want to come up here? Just make sure you speak clearly into the microphone, so we can get a good recording.

David Graves: My name is David Graves. I work for the National Parks Conservation Association. We're located at 1200 5th Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101. I'm the Northwest Program Manager for the Northwest office of the National Parks Conservation Association and I appreciate the opportunity to speak today. Since 1919, the non-partisan National Parks Conservation Association, or NPCA, has been dedicated to protecting and enhancing our national parks for our children and grandchildren. NPCA has nearly 350,000 members live, work and recreate in all the national parks, including Washington's dramatic parks.

NPCA supports the State legislation and the revised Regional Haze SIP, compelling the shutdown of the two units of TransAlta's facility in 2020 and 2025, respectively, and the use of emission-control technology in the meantime. However, we also ask for improvements to Ecology's plan to further reduce the plant emissions in order to protect the air quality at Olympic, the Cascades and Mount Rainier national parks in the intervening years. These regional treasures and all the land and people and homes in between are impacted by haze pollution from TransAlta's outdated power plant.

The Regional Haze Program is designed to protect the visibility of the national parks and wilderness areas by eliminating haze pollution from

plants like TransAlta. National Parks are supposed to have clean air. That's part of a projection that Congress gave this land and the promise that was made to Americans. Our regions parks are beautiful, sacred places, but there's almost never a day in these parks all year, every year, that you can see as far as you'd be able to without man-made pollution. Haze pollution not only makes it harder to see our parks, it also adds significant impacts to human health, economics and ecosystems.

Haze pollution contributes to heart attacks, asthma attacks and E.R. visits for asthma, chronic bronchitis and respiratory illness, hospital admissions, lost work days, and even premature death. The economic impact of these health problems is burdened on the public. The cost of health impacts from TransAlta's plant, for instance, was recently estimated at more than $42 million per year. The same things that are bad for human health are also bad for plants and animals. They contribute to acid rain, ozone formation and nitrogen deposition. That's all the more reason to limit the condition as much as we can.

The TransAlta plan won't be burning coal after 2025. That's great news for this region because it would significantly lower all the serious problems I just described. But between now and then, in the intervening 14 years, we ask Ecology to limit harmful pollution as much as possible. Specifically we ask Ecology to require, as a starting point, a 25 percent reduction from current emission levels to a limit of 0.18 pounds per MMBTU. Ecology says that 30 percent reduction may be possible and our interest is in ensuring that the technology operates to its full capacity. Over the past three years, analyses performed by Ecology and TransAlta relied on 25 percent emission reductions.

This is the pollution reduction that the public relied on in supporting the installation of this technology combined with shutdown. We understand that this is a variable technology, but it doesn't make sense to start off allowing more pollution if we expect the technology to do much better. Ecology's proposed limit is barely a reduction from current emissons. NPCA asks for a meaningful reduction that will benefit the people and the parks of the region. Second, because the chosen emission control is variable, NPCA supports optimizing the equipment and process once it's installed. We ask that the results of this optimization process be made enforceable; meaning that if it's achievable along the permit limit.

The NPCA supports the inclusion of deadlines and asks for a system to ensure public transparency of each step of this optimization process. We specifically request that the deadline for ecology's review of the optimization results be included. Again, NPCA appreciates the benefits that will result from the shutdown of TransAlta's plant. We support efforts to minimize the economic impact of the plant's closing,

transitioning from old jobs to new. We support the installation of a new emission control in the meantime. We encourage modest changes which will enable more significant pollution reductions in the meantime.

It is our hope that these will result in cleaner, clearer, healthier air in our parks, homes and communities for the next 14 years. Thank you again for the opportunity to offer and submit this testimony.

Melanie Forster: Thank you very much. Is there anyone else who wishes to provide testimony? If you would like to send Ecology written comments, please remember that they are due by November 21, 2011 and you can send them to Al Newman at the Washington State Department of Ecology, P.O. Box 47600, Olympia, Washington, 98504-7600, or you can email comments to aqcomments@ecy.wa.gov. All testimony received at this hearing, along with all written comments received no later than November 21, 2011, will be part of the official hearing records of this proposal. Ecology will send notice about the responsiveness summary to everyone who provided written comments or oral testimony on this rule proposal, everyone who signed in for today's hearing who provided an email address, and other interested parties on the agency's mailing list for this revision.

The responsiveness summary will, among other things, contain the agency's response to questions and issues of concern that were raised during the public comment period. If you would like to receive a copy but did not fill out a card or sign in, please let one of the staff of this hearing know or contact Al Newman at the contact information provided for submitting comment. The comment period closes November 21. After that, staff will review all written comments and oral testimonies. Staff will prepare the responsiveness summary and may make changes to the TransAlta BART Order and Regional Haze Statement Implementation Plan as necessary. This work will be completed this winter. After that, the materials will be submitted to the EPA.

If we can be of further help to you, please do not hesitate to ask or you can contact Al Newman if you have other questions. On behalf of the Department of Ecology, thank you for coming. I appreciate your cooperation and courtesy. Let the record show this hearing is adjourned at 6:36 p.m.

[End of Audio]

Public Involvement Notices of Comment Period and Hearing

12-14392

pr-14193-12

THE WENATCHEE WORLD

www.wenatcheeworld.com • (509) 663-5161
P. O. Box 1511, Wenatchee, WA 98807-1511

Account: 101733	Ad ID #: 104002
Name: MELANIE FORSTER Company: WASH. STATE DEPT. OF ECOLOGY Address: AIR QUALITY PROGRAM P.O. BOX 47600 OLYMPIA, WA 985047600 Telephone: (360) 407-6830	Order Taker: CORIELL Sales Rep.: Legal Advertising (9607) 1st Run: Fri, 10/14/11 Section: Position: # of Runs: 2
Cost: $202.84 Payments & Credits:	Wenatchee World 10/14/11 $190.17 Wenatchee World Online 10/14/11
Amount Due: $202.84	Class: 1 LEGALS Ad Size: 2 cols. x 2.75" Color:

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY
AIR QUALITY PROGRAM
NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

Ecology is revising the TransAlta Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) Compliance Order and Technical Support Document (TSD) and the part of the Regional Haze State Implementation Plan (SIP) they address. The purpose of the changes is to incorporate amendments to RCW 80.80.040 passed in 2011 into the BART documents.

Public hearing schedule:
Date: Wednesday, November 16, 2011
Time: 6:00 p.m.
Location: Department of Ecology
Headquarters Building, Auditorium
300 Desmond Drive SE
Lacey, WA 98503

How to review and comment:
Ecology will accept comments on the draft Regional Haze SIP and appendices. Information about how to provide effective public comments is available on Ecology's website at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/0307023.html .
The proposed, revised TransAlta BART Compliance Order and TSD are available for review online at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/air/airhome.html in the "Spotlight" column at the right side of the screen.
Ecology will accept comments from October 17 to November 21. Send comments to:
Al Newman
Department of Ecology
Air Quality Program
P.O. Box 47600
Olympia, WA 98504-7600
AQcomments@ecy.wa.gov
View the Regional Haze SIP webpage at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/air/globalwarm_RegHaze/regional_haze.html.
If you need special accommodations, call the Air Quality Program at 360-407-6800. Persons with hearing loss, call 711 for Washington Relay Service. Persons with a speech disability, call 877-833-6344.

Order #: 104002
Ad Name:
DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY AIR QUALITY PR

11 NOV -9 P2:34
DEPT. OF ECOLOGY
FISCAL BUDGET

Invoice

THE WENATCHEE WORLD, WENATCHEE, WASHINGTON
AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION
STATE OF WASHINGTON
COUNTY OF CHELAN

MELANIE FORSTER
WASH. STATE DEPT. OF ECOLOGY
AIR QUALITY PROGRAM
P.O. BOX 47600
OLYMPIA, WA 985047600

REFERENCE INFORMATION:
Account # 101733
Ticket # 104002
Tag Line: DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY AIR QUALITY PR

The Wenatchee World is a legal newspaper published in Chelan County, Washington, and approved as such by the Superior Court of said County and State. Serving the counties of Chelan, Douglas, Grant & Okanogan.

PUBLISHED ON: Wenatchee World 10/14/11

TOTAL COST: $202.84

Subscribed and sworn to before me on 10/17/11

Jacobi A. Schall

Notary Public in and for the State of Washington, residing in Wenatchee

JACOBI A SCHALL
COMMISSION EXPIRES
NOTARY PUBLIC
4-06-14
STATE OF WASHINGTON

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY
AIR QUALITY PROGRAM
NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

Ecology is revising the TransAlta Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) Compliance Order and Technical Support Document (TSD) and the part of the Regional Haze State Implementation Plan (SIP) they address. The purpose of the changes is to incorporate amendments to RCW 80.80.040 passed in 2011 into the BART documents.

Public hearing schedule:

Date: Wednesday, November 16, 2011
Time: 6:00 p.m.

Location: Department of Ecology
Headquarters Building, Auditorium
300 Desmond Drive SE
Lacey, WA 98503

How to review and comment:

Ecology will accept comments on the draft Regional Haze SIP and appendices. Information about how to provide effective public comments is available on Ecology's website at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/0307023.html .

The proposed, revised TransAlta BART Compliance Order and TSO are available for review online at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/air/airhome.html in the "Spotlight" column at the right side of the screen.

Ecology will accept comments from October 17 to November 21. Send comments to:

Al Newman
Department of Ecology
Air Quality Program
P.O. Box 47600
Olympia, WA 98504-7600
AQcomments@ecy.wa.gov

View the Regional Haze SIP webpage at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/air/globalwarm_RegHaze/regional_haze.html.

If you need special accommodations, call the Air Quality Program at 360-407-6800. Persons with hearing loss, call 711 for Washington Relay Service. Persons with a speech disability, call 877-833-6344.

THE WENATCHEE WORLD
www.wenatcheeworld.com • (509) 663-5161
P. O. Box 1511, Wenatchee, WA 98807-1511

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

STATE OF WASHINGTON } SS
COUNTY OF LEWIS

Amanda Curry, says that she is the legal clerk of

The Chronicle

a semi-weekly newspaper, which has been established, published in the English language, and circulated continuously as a semi-weekly newspaper in the City of Centralia, and in said County and State, and of general circulation in said county for more than six (6) months prior to the date of the first publication of the Notice hereto attached, and that the said Chronicle was on the 7th day of July 1941, approved as a legal newspaper by the Superior Court of said Lewis County.

And that the attached is a true copy and was published in regular issues (and not in supplement form) of said newspaper as Legal #1025

once each day for a period of 1

~~consecutive~~ day

commencing on the

17th day of October, 2011

and ending on the

17th day of October, 2011 and both dated inclusive, and that such newspaper was regularly distributed to its subscribers during all of said period. That the full amount of the fee charged for the foregoing publication is the sum of

$ 177.10

Amanda Curry

Subscribed and sworn to me this

17th day of Oct, 2011

Katherine B. Harris

Notary Public in and for the State of Washington, residing at

Chehalis

KATHERINE B. HARRIS
COMMISSION EXPIRES
NOTARY PUBLIC
OCT. 4, 2015
STATE OF WASHINGTON

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY AIR QUALITY PROGRAM

NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

Ecology is revising the TransAlta Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) Compliance Order and Technical Support Document (TSD) and the part of the Regional Haze State Implementation Plan (SIP) they address. The purpose of the changes is to incorporate amendments to RCW 80.80.040 passed in 2011 into the BART documents.

Public hearing schedule:

Date: Wednesday, November 16, 2011
Location: Department of Ecology Headquarters Building,
Time: 6:00 p.m.
Auditorium
300 Desmond Drive SE
Lacey, WA 98503

How to review and comment:

Ecology will accept comments on the draft Regional Haze SIP and appendices. Information about how to provide effective public comments is available on Ecology's website at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/0307023.html.

The proposed, revised TransAlta BART Compliance Order and TSD are available for review online at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/air/airhome.html in the "Spotlight" column at the right side of the screen.

Ecology will accept comments from October 17 to November 21. Send comments to:

Al Newman
Department of Ecology
Air Quality Program
P.O. Box 47600
Olympia, WA 98504-7600
AQcomments@ecy.wa.gov

View the Regional Haze SIP webpage at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/air/globalwarm_RegHazeregional_haze.html.

If you need special accommodations, call the Air Quality Program at 360-407-6800. Persons with hearing loss, call 711 for Washington Relay Service. Persons with a speech disability, call 877-833-6344.

L#1025 October 17, 2011

12-14391 Pr-14282-12

Fed ID# 59-0184700

Special Handling–Special Handling

51

THE BELLINGHAM HERALD
TheBellinghamHerald.com

The Bellingham Herald
1155 N. State St, 1st Floor
Bellingham, WA 98225
Phone: (360) 676-2600

1 BILLING PERIOD	2 ADVERTISER/CLIENT NAME	
09/26/2011 - 10/30/2011	WA ST DEPT OF ECOLOGY AIR QUALITY	
23 TOTAL AMOUNT DUE	3 TERMS OF PAYMENT	Amount Enclosed
$243.33	Net + 20	

ADVERTISING INVOICE and STATEMENT

8 BILLING ACCOUNT NAME AND ADDRESS	4 PAGE #	5 BILLING DATE
WA ST DEPT OF ECOLOGY AIR QUALITY PO BOX 47600 OLYMPIA, WA 98504-7600	1 of 1	10/30/2011
	6 BILLED ACCOUNT NUMBER	
	1029414	
	7 ADVERTISER/CLIENT NUMBER	
	1029414	
	STATEMENT NUMBER	
	0000060976	

PLEASE DETACH AND RETURN UPPER PORTION WITH YOUR REMITTANCE

10 START	STOP	11 ORDER NUMBER	12 14 DESCRIPTION	13 PRODUCT	15 SAU SIZE	16 BILLED UNITS	17 TIMES RUN	18 RATE	19 AMOUNT
			Balance Forward						$0.00
10/17	10/17	0085163633	L3633 public comment	Bellingham Herald	1.00 x 97 Li	97	1	$1.89	$183.33
			Affidavit						$25.00
10/17	10/17	0085163633	L3633 public comment	LegalNotices.org	1.00 x 97 Li	97	1	$0.36	$35.00

PREVIOUS AMOUNT OWED:	$0.00
NEW CHARGES THIS PERIOD:	$243.33
CASH THIS PERIOD:	$0.00
DEBIT ADJUSTMENTS THIS PERIOD:	$0.00
CREDIT ADJUSTMENTS THIS PERIOD:	$0.00

We appreciate your business and thank you for prompt payment.
Combined credit card payments totaling greater than $5,000 in a billing month will be subject to a 4% convenience fee (prepayments excluded).

11 NOV -9 P2:39
DEPT. OF ECOLOGY
FISCAL & BUDGET

INVOICE AND STATEMENT OF ACCOUNT

AGING OF PAST DUE ACCOUNTS

* UNAPPLIED AMOUNTS ARE INCLUDED IN TOTAL AMOUNT DUE

sai™

21 CURRENT NET AMOUNT	22 30 DAYS	60 DAYS	90 DAYS	Over 120 DAYS	23 TOTAL AMOUNT DUE
$243.33	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$243.33

SALES REP/PHONE #	24 ADVERTISER INFORMATION			
	1 BILLING PERIOD	8 BILLED ACCOUNT NUMBER	7 ADVERTISER/CLIENT NUMBER	2 ADVERTISER/CLIENT NAME
Jill Cratsenberg 360-756-2829	09/26/2011 - 10/30/2011	1029414	1029414	WA ST DEPT OF ECOLOGY AIR QUALITY

MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE TO: **The Bellingham Herald**
1155 N. State St, 1st Floor
Bellingham, WA 98225

Payment is due upon receipt.
Accounts over 30 days will be assessed a finance charge of 1½% per month (18% APR)

STATE OF WASHINGTON,} SS **Affidavit of Publication**
COUNTY OF WHATCOM,}

Jill Cratsenberg, being first duly sworn on oath says: That she is the Public Notices Clerk of The Bellingham Herald, a daily newspaper of general circulation in said county and state and of McClatchy Co. (publisher of said newspaper), and authorized to make this affidavit; that the legal notice entitled in the cause and court named on the attached copy which is a true an correct copy of the original (and hereinafter referred to as "Notice") was published in the regular an entire issue, and not in supplement, of each number of said newspaper published and circulated on the following dates, to wit:

L36633 ; 10/17/11

that for more than six months prior to the date of the first publication of said Notice, at all times since, and now, the said "The Bellingham Herald" has been established, published and circulated in the English language continuously and continually as a daily newspaper in the city of Bellingham, Whatcom County, Washington, the same being at all times printed either in whole or in part in an office maintained at said place of publication; that such newspaper has been approved as a legal newspaper by order of the Superior Court of Whatcom County, Washington; that the full amount of the fee charged for such publication

$ 243.33

Subscribed and sworn to before me this

17 day of OCT, 2011

NOTARY PUBLIC in and for
the State of Washington, residing at Bellingham

MARK D OWINGS
NOTARY PUBLIC
COMMISSION EXPIRES
06-30-2012
STATE OF WASHINGTON

SPORTS EQUIPMENT

Gas golf cart, Restored, 1987 Yamaha G2. $2500 #3383815[illegible] Asking $3,500. 360-733-0075

TV - STEREO - AUDIO VISUAL

ENTERTAINMENT CENTER Holds 50 CD, Disc Cassette, VCR, 2 speakers, more offer 360-220-6020

UNDER $100

12 PAIR DESIGNER SUNGLASSES 12 pairs new in box (225) 360-0140

PELLET STOVE FUEL Northwest Pride premium pellet stove pellets. $5.99/bag. 360-595-2147

WHATCOM COUNTY LEGALS

(L1070) OLYMPIC PIPELINE COMPANY FRANCHISE ORDINANCE RENEWING A FRANCHISE AND GRANTING OLYMPIC PIPE LINE COMPANY A CORPORATION, ITS SUCCESSORS, GRANTEES AND ASSIGNS THE NONEXCLUSIVE RIGHT, PRIVILEGE, AUTHORITY AND FRANCHISE TO CONSTRUCT, OPERATE, MAINTAIN, REMOVE, REPLACE, AND REPAIR EXISTING PIPELINE FACILITIES, TOGETHER WITH EQUIPMENT AND APPURTENANCES THERETO - FOR THE TRANSPORTATION OF PETROLEUM PRODUCTS WITHIN AND THROUGH CERTAIN RIGHTS OF WAY, STREETS AND PUBLIC PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF BELLINGHAM. THE FULL TEXT OF THIS ORDINANCE WILL BE MAILED UPON REQUEST.

(L2010) IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR WHATCOM COUNTY In re the Estate of: LEONA J. ROBBINS, Deceased. No. 11-4-00381-1 NOTICE TO CREDITORS Judge: Steven J. Mura

The Personal Representative named below has been appointed and has qualified as Personal Representative of this estate. Any person having a claim against the decedent must, before the time the claim would be barred by any otherwise applicable statute of limitations, present the claim in the manner as provided in RCW 11.40.070 by serving on or mailing to the personal representative or the personal representative's attorney at the address stated below a copy of the claim and filing the original of the claim with the court in which the probate proceedings were commenced. The claim must be presented within the later of: (1) thirty days after the personal representative served or mailed the notice to the creditor as provided under RCW 11.40.020(1)(c); or (2) four months after the date of first publication of the notice. If the claim is not presented within this time frame, the claim is forever barred, except as otherwise provided in RCW 11.40.051 and 11.40.060. This bar is effective as to claims against both the decedent's probate and nonprobate assets. Date of Filing of Notice to Creditors: September 28, 2011 with Clerk of Court. Date of First Publication: October 3, 2011. Name of Personal Representative: Richard D. Robbins. Attorneys for Personal Representative: Barry St. Meyers, WSBA #22847, Steven D. Avery, WSBA #35267. Address for Mailing or Service: Elder Law Offices of Meyers & Avery, P.S., 2828 Northwest Avenue, Bellingham WA 98225-2335. Telephone: (360) 647-8646. Facsimile: (360) 647-8654. DATED this 26th day of September, 2011.

(L2030) LEGAL NOTICE COUNTY FORCES UTILIZATION COSTS - Saxon Road Shoulder Improvements CHP #9012018

Pursuant to RCW 36.77.070, the Whatcom County Department of Public Works announces that county forces will be utilized to construct shoulder improvements on Saxon Road at an approximate cost of $25,000. If you have questions, please contact Joe Rutan, P.E., County Engineer, at (360) 715-7450.

All equal opportunity employment opportunities are offered to qualified applicants without regard to race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity or expression, national origin, age or disability, or any other status protected by federal, state or local law. Persons with disabilities may request reasonable accommodation by contacting the Personal Resources Department, 360-676-6860, ext. 2192 (voice) or 360-676-6875 (TTY).

WHATCOM COUNTY LEGALS

(L2011) IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WHATCOM In the Matter of the Estate of JULIAN K. ROLAND, Deceased. No. 11-4-00390-9 NOTICE TO CREDITORS

The Personal Representatives named below have been appointed and have qualified as personal representatives of this estate. Any persons having a claim against the decedent must, before the time the claim would be barred by any otherwise applicable statute of limitations, present the claim in the manner as provided in RCW 11.40.020(1)(c); or (2) four months after the date of first publication of the notice. If the claim is not presented within this time frame, the claim is forever barred, except as otherwise provided in RCW 11.40.051 and 11.40.060. This bar is effective as to claims against both the decedent's probate and nonprobate assets. Date of Filing of Notice to Creditors: September 28, 2011 with Clerk of Court. Date of First Publication: October 3, 2011. Name of Personal Representative: Richard D. Robbins. Attorneys for Personal Representative: Barry St. Meyers, WSBA #22847, Steven D. Avery, WSBA #35267. Address for Mailing or Service: Elder Law Offices of Meyers & Avery, P.S., 2828 Northwest Avenue, Bellingham WA 98225-2335. Telephone: (360) 647-8646. Facsimile: (360) 647-8654. DATED this 26th day of September, 2011.

(L2030) LEGAL NOTICE COUNTY FORCES UTILIZATION COSTS - Saxon Road Shoulder Improvements CHP #9012018

Pursuant to RCW 36.77.070, the Whatcom County Department of Public Works announces that county forces will be utilized to construct shoulder improvements on Saxon Road at an approximate cost of $25,000. If you have questions, please contact Joe Rutan, P.E., County Engineer, at (360) 715-7450.

All equal opportunity employment opportunities are offered to qualified applicants without regard to race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity or expression, national origin, age or disability, or any other status protected by federal, state or local law. Persons with disabilities may request reasonable accommodation by contacting the Personal Resources Department, 360-676-6860, ext. 2192 (voice) or 360-676-6875 (TTY).

WHATCOM COUNTY LEGALS

min. Notification date: October 17, 2011. This organization is an Equal Opportunity Provider. Bellingham City Hall is an accessible facility. Please notify the City Clerk in writing 7 days prior to the hearing date, with any special requirements.

(L2030) DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY AIR QUALITY PROGRAM NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

Ecology is revising the Transalta Natural Gas Pipeline Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) Compliance Order and Technical Support Document (TSD) and the part of the Regional Haze State Implementation Plan (SIP) they address. The purpose of the changes is to incorporate amendments to RCW 80.80.040 passed in 2011 into the BART documents. Public hearing schedule: Date: Wednesday, November 16, 2011. Location: Department of Ecology Time: 6:00 p.m. Headquarters Building, Auditorium 300 Desmond Drive SE Lacey, WA 98503. How to review and comment: Ecology will accept comments on the draft Regional Haze SIP and appendices. Information about how to provide effective public comments is available on Ecology's website at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/0806023.html. The proposed, revised TransAlta BART Compliance Order and TSD are available for review online at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/program/air/airhome.html in the "Spotlight" column at the right side of the screen. Ecology will accept comments from October 17 to November 21. Send comments to: Al Newman Department of Ecology Air Quality Program P.O. Box 47600 Olympia, WA 98504-7600 AQcommentsecy.wa.gov View the Regional Haze SIP webpage at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/air/rigi/shadwarm.Regulatoe Available Information. The full public record is available through the Community Development Services Department (CDS). The staff report and related information will be available on the city website www.cityofblaine.com after October 20th. Written testimony: Written comments must be received by CDS at the address noted above by 4:30 PM, October 27, 2011. Contact: Alex Wenger Community Planner II, 344 H Street, City of Blaine, (360)332-9910 or aswenger@cityofblaine.com

BUSINESS PROPERTY

Executive Office Suite in Bellingham Herald Building. $1,700/mo fully furnished, private restroom, parking available 716-6600 for showing

Huge 2nd Floor Office in Herald Building. Bargain price at $3,000 for 5,500 SF. All utilities included. City views. Secure Building. Free visitor parking. 360-734-6600

Office/Warehouse 4800 sqft office/warehouse new construction 400amp, house 24ft roll-up doors, room to expand, ample parking $2,400/mo. (360) 319-1699 jay@kenlabs.com

DUPLEX

3 bd duplex, new, NW $1,195/mo + $550 dep. Avail Now! 360-739-7951

UNFURNISHED APARTMENTS

1, 2 & 3 BR apartments WWU 2mi $515/mo 671 Ford St. 337-5147 avail Now

1, 2 & 3 bd & studios available. Starting at $425 RP for $525 360-733-4398 www.bellinghamapts.com

1 & 2 BD Twnhse, WSC, ref, NP, covered parking $625-$725. 319-3220

1 & 2bd. WS/ref. $565 $605 Quiet NWU, 621 32nd St, pet? 366-7143AJ

1 and 2 BR apts. $700-$900. Non-Smoking 360-739-9414

1 BD/$570. 2 BD/2 BA, $695. 1400 Lake St. WSC & Lake pd. 360-733-4080

1 BD APT. Clean, quiet, near WWU/WSC pd. No pets. $565/mo. 360-734-5363 prudencelee@gmail.com

1 BD, Sa, dishwasher, W/D onsite, wsp pd, no pts $575 360-201-857

All Pets Accepted!

2 Bedrooms, 1 Bath Decks, Dishwasher Laundry on site Call 360-671-9573 LAKEVIEW APTS Starting at $695 Lakeviewapts@gmail.com

Catch me while you can! 1 & 2 bedroom apartment homes are now open. Call us today at 360-392-8650

Circa 1904. Downtown 3 BD Loft, wood floors, 2,500 sq ft. $1,950/mo 734-6600

CLEAN TWO BEDROOM New Carpet Fresh Paint Newer Bldg LARGE - 950 Square Feet Great for Setting Quiet WS/Garden Level Spacious Yard 5700 Fairhaven (360) 392-8687

FERNDALE Unique 2 BD Apt. incl yard, workshop, many extras $950 360 961-6656

Lynden Park View West Cute 1 bd, $575/mo incl. 360-354-6905

Studio: Clean, quiet, on busline, NP $435 + dep. 733-5445

Water/sewer/garbage paid, 1 br, 1 bath, single carport, heat included, no pets, no smoking. Available 11/1/11. Light maintenance duties. Motor home parking. 2000 month to month. Meg (206) 595-1051

UNFURNISHED CONDOS

1722 sqft 2 bed, 2 bath 3rd Floor condo, remodeled, secured access, garage, alarm system, roofing vaults, fireplace, DW, W/D, AC, ADA, large deck and sunroom. Feb rent/rent and sec. dep. Call Dave 739-1982 or Don 739-7415. $1,150

List your Used Car in Classifieds, 676-2446.

HOMES

BUSINESS PROPERTY

Affordable Rent Biggest and Best Retail, Office and Warehouse Space Downtown. www.cityhomecenter.com 734-6600

Downtown Historic Bldg. Retail/Office/Warehouse. Very secure, bright, develop. $8,335. 734-6600 www.downtownproperties.net

UNFURNISHED CONDOS

2BD/2BA adult condo, Lynden. No stairs, balcony, fireplace, w/d hookup, garage, pool, patio, gas. $995.00. 920-7934

Fairhaven north by Post Office Storage 65 SF $90 - 140 360-671-2294

UNFURNISHED HOUSES

3BR/2Bath Rambler With W/D Near Parking 1911 Larchmont Rd. New in 1474 sqft. Main WC, W/Dryer, 2car carport, fenced, Close Fairhaven Shop Area, Northwest Hospital. $1,200. Sam cell 671-1910. Lease Start Now. Text, Sam Cell Email. (360) 593-9400

Bellingham 4 BD Older 4 BD, 1 ½ BA with basement, gas furnace, new electrical, very quiet. Sorry, no pets. $1,095 + deposit. 360 656-4225

Birch Bay Village Sunny 1 bd 2 br, fireplace, dishwasher, gas. $1100 mo dep. 360 371-7300

Spacious Clean Bright Sunny Vacuum, close to Fair. 2 2200 sq ft w/ cathedral ceiling, 2 car garage, snow shoes, 2 w/ gas fireplaces, open floor plan, Great room options. No Smoking. No Pets. $1,300 Mo. Evenings (360)738-3098 $1,300

Sun-Mark Properties 360-733-3700 DOESSuh5675 21CanyonCt;2bd5895 2GSwalnut;2bd995 975KAvtyLrve$1150 1885Kst;2bd2ba$1200 2GSpruce;3bd1700 1-2GPeabodr;3bd$1300 4WhatcomDist. garage;owner$1500 2GCentralHydroelectric Free wtr;3bd$2000 1410Bkst;2bd$1200 645ZMarvin;3bd garage;$1450 1MLake;4bd$1500 (360) 733-3700 sunmarkproperties@msn.com

CONDOMINIUMS

1BD/1BR Condo for rent. Newer appliances, granite countertops, hardwood master BR. Pets considered on a quiet garden area. Jacuzzi. Landing has marble floors & radiant heat floors, w/ close proximity to downtown & Cities house. $749.000

Bob & Linda Stull 739-3095 739-5402 RE/MAX

BAYVIEW CONDO 2BD, 2 BA, 1024 Sqft Gated 50+ Complex Near WWU, Downtown, Gym, 715 H, Garden St $250,000. See Pat At Bellinghamhome.com

Silver Beach Condo 1BD, 2BD, 1.5 Bath Single w/ Slideout Water Views, Big Deck, Big Living 1595 S Forest Golf Course $225,000 See Pat At Bellinghamhome.com

Studio Condo with a view, ½ bathroom, dress & storage. Lot unit located on golf course. Brand old to city 56/300 Sheila Watts 360-201-4114 RE/MAX

When you advertise in classified, include the price in the item you're selling for best results. TheBellinghamHerald.com

CONDOMINIUMS

The Condo at Sandalmoo 3 Bedrooms, 1 + Baths Steam shower, Custom Shower in Garden Tub, Granite Counter tops, Carpet, Hardwood, Appliances Gas Fireplace 1100 sq ft Grand Foor to Regulate Heat Covered Parking Gated Entrance Close 55 Community on Golf Course Green Belt Walking neighbors & trails Close to Birch Bay, Semiahmoo Golf Club, Beach, the Peninsula Park 949.000 Owner, Pre-owner any inquiries. Call 206-409-5315 Beautiful Peaceful Semiahmoo

DUPLEXES

DUPLEX corner Lot, Ferndale 2 Br, yard, new street, $95,000

HOUSES LYNDEN

Lovely Rambler close to everything, Lynden schools & amenities, gas heat, water heater, fenced yard, great for grandkids, walk to schools. 3bd 1.75 bth 1,645 sf $249,000 Owen Jones DCI 1 SALE to 3BR 360-319-5823 duncan@lyndencomputer.com

HOUSES FAIRHAVEN

405 Vivenhoe Road, 1 bdrm 2 1/2 bath in Edgewater. Lot in kitchen, home dining w/French doors to pool deck & patio. Lge LR & fam room w/ computer corner, 2 car garage & 2 nwing. Insulated & sound proofing throughout. 360 231-7121 or 360-220-5626. $165,000

HOUSES FOR SALE

1956 daylight rambler 3BD, 2ba, hardwood floors, gas heat, 2 sided fireplace, fenced yard, close to Fairhaven, Beautiful mature landscaping, well maintained. Only $270,000.

739-2824

1-level Condo close to WWU & downtown. Huge deck, covered parking, 1 BD, 1BA w/ 952 sqft, eat-in kitchen w/ nook, flow to dining area, private lanai only $106,000

739-2824

2052 Superior St. $217,000. Call Nina at 360-319-1180 or jim

Jim Stringer 206-279-5141 Windermere Bellingham

2BD/1BA condo near Roosevelt Park. A true one of a kind. Lots of A charm, tons of light. A cheery home, close to stores & park. $175,000

Bob & Linda Stull 739-3095 739-5402 RE/MAX

4 bdrm/1.75 bath home in great location near Year and Lincoln and all the bonus rooms a family wants with a place in the back yard. $305,000.

Bob & Linda Stull 739-3095 739-5402 RE/MAX

5 BD/2.5 BA, 3381 sqft home built in 1972 and perfectly maintained w/2 fireplaces, 2 decks & tons of storage. $299,900. www.97804.com Michele Beatman 360-255-1110 RE/MAX

HOUSES FOR SALE

3bd/2ba custom home on 5 acre parcel. Vaulted ceiling, sky lights, wood stove & high efficiency utils of cabinets. Country view & it is bed. $272,995

Bob & Linda Stull 739-3095 739-5402 RE/MAX

3 BD, 2 BA rambler, grand foor, cozy w/ stunning gulf course and mountain views. Features ceiling. Shower tile master ensuite, 9 ft ceiling, granite countertops, A ac conditioner. $199,500

Sherry Shirley 305-1030 RE/MAX

360-Tudor/Cottage style 2500 sf 1.16 ac. 2 car gar, all studio, quiet location, mature trees, plants & 5 of lavender, close to Lynden, stunning view area. $248,000

Bob & Linda Stull 739-3095 739-5402 RE/MAX

3 BR & 2 full BA Open floor plan, w/vaulted ceilings, Lge & angle kitchen & LR w/ corner FP. Formal dining, big deck & on cul-de-sac. Walk to beach. $295,900

Bob & Linda Stull 739-3095 739-5402 RE/MAX

3BR HOME NEAR WWU Only $160,000 Short Sale w/new-improved price by lender. Zero tot ex. 3BR, 1.5BA home just off Samish Way near Boomers.

Bob Rinehart 360-317-3111 Windermere

3BR HOME ONLY $117,900. Not a street sale, not a foreclosure! A NEW A MAKE ACHELOR HOME! 3BR, 1.75BA with mature yard, large living room, deck & great location near town.

Chris Weber 360-317-3111 Windermere

4418 Lakemont Lane.com 1 BD/2 BA 2 story home built in 1999 in Birch Bay for $199,000

Wayne Blackburn 360-733-1112 RE/MAX

4BD, 1/5BA, just west of Lynden. Lower house has 2 sep dwellings 1st 112,000 sft, large fenced, private back yard w/ 10x10 shed. Room for RV. $239,900

Bob & Linda Stull 739-3095 739-5402 RE/MAX

4bd, 1.75 ba. Move-in ready. Big fenced backyard with patio. Car parking on USDA loan & owner financing available. Pre-approval required. Pre-approval letter required. $199,000

Bob & Linda Stull 739-3095 739-5402 RE/MAX

2BD/1BA condo near Roosevelt Park. A true one of a kind. Lots of charm, tons of light. A cheery home, close to stores & park. $175,000

Bob & Linda Stull 739-3095 739-5402 RE/MAX

3 BD/1.5 BA, 4.5 acres w/ hot tubs, garden house, RV parking, wine barn, pasture & more. Home has new roof, master on main & big unfin. basement. 728 sf garage has been recently vented to 600 sqft w/ wood stove. $295,000

Bob & Linda Stull 739-3095 739-5402 RE/MAX

List your Used Car in Classifieds 676-2446.

HOUSES FOR SALE

60ft waterfront, on one A acre, long spacious w/ view, enhance, park A bedroom, 2 workstations + original. Indian shell hand hand hewn, new roof. $386,500 $349,000

Barbara Lewis Lewis Realty 360-319-1000 RE/MAX

HAY & CITY VIEW HOME IN BAINLEY NEIGHBORHOOD 4BR, 3.5BA w/3,300+ sqft, formal dining room, walk-in fireplace, cellar, large fenced, private, house hour. A VIEWS!

Sherry Shirley 305-1030 RE/MAX

BEACH ACCESS for 2-3BD 3BA Mariner Condo! 1,176 sf. 2 car Garage! $239,000 787 Marine Way Seattle Bobbie Martin 425-822-8655 Windermere

Beautifully designed home w/ large kitchen, formal dining area, 4 bedrooms, 3 baths, hardwood floors, wood ceilings. Privacy & creek frontage. $259,000

Beautifully Renovated Sunny Delight! Vaulted ceilings, skylights & full walls of windows. Wraparound deck perfect for outdoor entertaining. 129,500

Beautiful Shy 3 Acres Near town Lots of improvements, big shop/ garage, river, fir tree lines, 5BD, 2.5BA, 1080 SF. $219,000 Rattie at: 360-220-0021

Birch Bay Village Beautiful custom built home, 4000 sf, 3 bedrooms, vaulted ceilings, a huge great room with rock fireplace, Bay views & a short walk to the beach & many amenities. $625,000

BETANY DAVIS 815-1252

BUSY COMMERCIAL CORNER w/ $125,000 net income from rental house & a rental vacancy and a 24 Car rate.

Chris Weber 360-317-3111 Windermere

Time-ops ONLY $259,900 Well kept, tract-facing on run-the within walking distance to schools, parks, & shopping. Call Nina at 360-319-1180 or jim

Jim Stringer 206-279-5141 Windermere Bellingham

Place Your Used Car in Classifieds 676-2446.

BUYERS BEWARE! You Need US On Your Side! To SAVE Time, $$ & Hassle. Free Fee Services! We Buy-Sell-Trade! Bellinghamhomes.com

Call Nina at 360-319-4180 or Jim 2052 Superior St. $217,000.

Jim Stringer 206-279-5141 Windermere Bellingham

Charming Historic Bungalow! Lots of beautiful woodwork, Beautiful wood floors, 1st flr. 1/2 of basement, 2 fireplaces, from 1910. Hardwood floors, wood cabinets & more at $129,900

Charming Light & Bright Home! Close to town in central location. Many original, fine trim work, skylights, vaulted ceilings, hardwood floors. $150,000.

Completely Remodeled 3BR Near Barkley Village New kitchen, master bathroom, hardwood floors, fenced backyard w/covered deck, A 2 car garage. $272,900

Darling home in Lynden's historic district. Two bdrm, 2+ bth. Sun porch + 2 extra rooms. Lazy susan in dining, eat-in kitchen, quiet, safe & schools. $169,900

Edgemoor Neighborhood Luxury homes, stunning views of the bay, prime location near Fairhaven! To find all our listings go to www.BellinghamRealEstate.com

GARAGE SALES

CORAL BELLINGHAM

CHANCEL GUILD FALL RUMMAGE SALE! OCTOBER 19TH: 9-3 & OCTOBER 20TH: 9-NOON AT ST. PAUL'S EPISCOPAL CHURCH, 2117 Walnut Street. "SOMETHING FOR EVERYONE"

breaking news 24/7

TheBellinghamHerald.com

AUCTION CALENDAR

STRENGTHENING The Whatcom County economy for decades

The automotive industry produces more than 7300 million taxable sales in Whatcom County a year* and is involved with many causes throughout the area.

Support your neighbors at these local dealerships:

Dewey Griffin
1800 Iowa St., Bellingham, WA
New 866-496-2604
Used 888-325-86250
www.deweygriffin.com

Jerry Chambers
3891 Northwest Ave., Bellingham, WA
360-312-4910
www.jerrychambers.com

PIONEER
8038 Guide Meridian, Lynden, WA
888-487-3673
www.pioneerford.net

DIEHL FORD
1820 James St., Bellingham, WA
800-628-9331
Used 888-325-86250
www.diehlford.com

King NISSAN
1601 Iowa St., Bellingham, WA
888-723-0291
www.kingnissanvolvo.com

Rairdon's Bellingham
1615 Iowa St., Bellingham, WA
866-448-0086
www.rairdon.com

SMITH of Bellingham
1804 Iowa St., Bellingham, WA
360-715-3455
www.smithkia.com

HINTON CHEV • GMC • BUICK
8139 Guide Meridian Rd, Lynden, WA
360-312-4922
www.hintonmotors.com

Northwest HONDA
2010 Iowa St., Bellingham, WA
800-828-4882
www.northwesthonda.com

2200 Iowa Way, Bellingham, WA
360-734-5230
www.rogerjobs.com

WILSON MOTORS.COM
1100 Iowa St., Bellingham, WA
800-218-7708
www.wilsonmotors.com

STATE OF WASHINGTON – KING COUNTY

--ss.

277375 No.
DEPT OF ECOLOGY

Affidavit of Publication

The undersigned, on oath states that he is an authorized representative of The Daily Journal of Commerce, a daily newspaper, which newspaper is a legal newspaper of general circulation and it is now and has been for more than six months prior to the date of publication hereinafter referred to, published in the English language continuously as a daily newspaper in Seattle, King County, Washington, and it is now and during all of said time was printed in an office maintained at the aforesaid place of publication of this newspaper. The Daily Journal of Commerce was on the 12th day of June, 1941, approved as a legal newspaper by the Superior Court of King County.

The notice in the exact form annexed, was published in regular issues of The Daily Journal of Commerce, which was regularly distributed to its subscribers during the below stated period. The annexed notice, a

PN:BART COMPLIANCE

was published on

10/17/11

The amount of the fee charged for the foregoing publication is the sum of $ 126.00, which amount has been paid in full.

JEFF B. MOSELY
COMMISSION EXPIRES
NOTARY
PUBLIC
07-10-15
STATE

Subscribed and sworn to before me on

10/17/11

Notary public for the State of Washington,
residing in Seattle

Affidavit of Publication

State of Washington, King County

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY
AIR QUALITY PROGRAM
NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

Ecology is revising the TransAlta Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) Compliance Order and Technical Support Document (TSD) and the part of the Regional Haze State Implementation Plan (SIP) they address. The purpose of the changes is to incorporate amendments to RCW 80.80.040 passed in 2011 into the BART documents.

Public hearing schedule:

Date: Wednesday, November 16, 2011 Location: Department of Ecology

Time: 6:00 p.m. Headquarters Building, Auditorium

300 Desmond Drive SE

Lacey, WA 98503

How to review and comment:

Ecology will accept comments on the draft Regional Haze SIP and appendices. Information about how to provide effective public comments is available on Ecology's website at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/0307023.html.

The proposed, revised TransAlta BART Compliance Order and TSD are available for review online at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/air/airhome.html in the "Spotlight" column at the right side of the screen.

Ecology will accept comments from October 17 to November 21. Send comments to:

Al Newman
Department of Ecology
Air Quality Program
P.O. Box 47600
Olympia, WA 98504-7600
AQcomments@ecy.wa.gov

View the Regional Haze SIP webpage at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/air/globalwarm/RegHaze/regional_haze.html.

If you need special accommodations, call the Air Quality Program at 360-407-6800. Persons with hearing loss, call 711 for Washington Relay Service. Persons with a speech disability, call 877-833-6344.

Date of publication in the Seattle Daily Journal of Commerce, October 17, 2011.

10/17(277375)

The Columbian

P.O. Box 180 • Vancouver, WA 98666-0180
Questions regarding this Statement
Call: (360) 735-4588
From Portland Call: 800-743-3391

LEGAL INVOICE

BILLING PERIOD	ADVERTISER/CLIENT NAME
10/15/11 - 10/17/11	WA ST DEPT ECOLOGY/AIR QUAL-L

TOTAL AMOUNT DUE	INVOICE NUMBER	TERMS OF PAYMENT
106.59	3034794	Net 30 days

PAGE #	BILLING DATE	BILLED ACCOUNT NUMBER	ADVERTISER/CLIENT NUMBER
1	10/17/11	☆ 72315	

12-14556

pr-14551-12

BILLED ACCOUNT NAME AND ADDRESS

TAMI DAHLGREN
WA ST DEPT ECOLOGY/AIR QUAL-L
PO BOX 47600
OLYMPIA WA 98504-7600

REMITTANCE ADDRESS

The Columbian
P.O. Box 180
Vancouver WA 98666-0180

PLEASE DETACH AND RETURN UPPER PORTION WITH YOUR REMITTANCE

DATE	NEWSPAPER REFERENCE	DESCRIPTION-OTHER COMMENTS/CHARGES	SAU SIZE BILLED UNITS	TIMES RUN RATE	GROSS AMOUNT	NET AMOUNT
10/17	3153700 10/17	DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOG Y AIR QUALITY PROGRA 11/16 MTG	1x4.75L	1		106.59

11 NOV 17 P2:38
DEPT. OF ECOLOGY
FISCAL & BUDGET

Thank You for using The Columbian.

PLEASE PAY THIS AMOUNT 106.59

AN ADDITIONAL $25.00 WILL BE CHARGED FOR ANY RETURNED CHECK.
FED I.D. #91-0452320 D.U.N.S. #00-905-1632

INVOICE NUMBER	ADVERTISER INFORMATION			
	BILLING PERIOD	BILLED ACCOUNT NUMBER	ADVERTISER/CLIENT NUMBER	ADVERTISER/CLIENT NAME
3034794	10/15/11 - 10/17/11	72315		WA ST DEPT ECOLOGY/A

Affidavit of Publication
STATE OF WASHINGTON)
) ss:
County of Clark)
Columbian

TAMI DAHLGREN
WA ST DEPT ECOLOGY/AIR QUAL-L
PO BOX 47600
OLYMPIA WA 98504-7600

REFERENCE: 72315 11/16 MTG
3153700 DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOG

I, the undersigned say,
That I am over the age of eighteen and not interested in the above entitled matter; that I am now, and at all time embraced in the publication herein mentioned, was, the principal clerk of the printer of The Columbian, a daily newspaper printed, published and circulated in the said county and adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Clark, State of Washington, under Proceeding No. 802006715; that the advertisement, of which the annexed is a true printed copy, was published in the above-named newspaper on the following dates, to wit:

PUBLISHED ON: 10/17

TOTAL COST: 106.59
FILED ON: 10/17/11

I Certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signature Judy Moody

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY
AIR QUALITY PROGRAM
NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

Ecology is revising the TransAlta Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) Compliance Order and Technical Support Document (TSD) and the part of the Regional Haze State Implementation Plan (SIP) they address. The purpose of the changes is to incorporate amendments to RCW 80.80.040 passed in 2011 into the BART documents.

Public hearing schedule:
Date: Wednesday, November 16, 2011
Time: 6:00 p.m.
Location: Department of Ecology
Headquarters Building, Auditorium
300 Desmond Drive SE
Lacey, WA 98503

How to review and comment:
Ecology will accept comments on the draft Regional Haze SIP and appendices. Information about how to provide effective public comments is available on Ecology's website at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/0307023.html.
The proposed, revised TransAlta BART Compliance Order and TSD are available for review online at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/air/airhome.html in the "Spotlight" column at the right side of the screen.

Ecology will accept comments from October 17 to November 21. Send comments to:
Al Newman
Department of Ecology
Air Quality Program
P.O. Box 47600
Olympia, WA 98504-7600
AQcomments@ecy.wa.gov

View the Regional Haze SIP webpage at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/air/globalwarm_RegHaze/regional_haze.html.
If you need special accommodations, call the Air Quality Program at 360-407-6800. Persons with hearing loss, call 711 for Washington Relay Service. Persons with a speech disability, call 877-833-6344.
Oct. 17 427128

12-14389 pr-14321-12

Peninsula Daily News

11/08/11

legals@peninsuladailynews.com
P.O. Box 1330
305 W. First Street
Port Angeles, WA 98362

Classified: 360-452-8435
Toll Free: 1-800-826-7714
Fax: 360-417-3507

Name:	ANGIE FRITZ	Run dates:	10/17/11 to 10/17/11
Company:	WA ST DEPT OF ECOLOGY	Class:	1050
Address:	PO BOX 47600 OLYMPIA, WA 985047600	Ad ID:	5137107
		Ad Taker:	WICKERSHAM
Account ID:	4900695	Sales Person:	415
Account #:			
Telephone:	(360) 407-6830	Inserts:	2
Fax:	(360) 407-7288	Words:	216
E-mail:		Lines:	43
SIC code:		Columns:	3
Publications:	Peninsula Daily	Depth (Inches):	4.33

Payments:

Method	Card Type	Name on Card	Last 4 Digits	Expire Date	Check Number	Amount Paid

Gross:	$188.51
Paid Amount:	- $0.00
Amount Due:	$188.51

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY
AIR QUALITY PROGRAM

NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

Ecology is revising the TransAlta Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) Compliance Order and Technical Support Document (TSD) and the part of the Regional Haze State Implementation Plan (SIP) they address. The purpose of the changes is to incorporate amendments to RCW 80.80.040 passed in 2011 into the BART documents.

Public hearing schedule:
Date: Wednesday, November 16, 2011
Time: 6:00 p.m. Location: Department of Ecology
Headquarters Building, Auditorium
300 Desmond Drive SE
Lacey, WA 98503

How to review and comment:
Ecology will accept comments on the draft Regional Haze SIP and appendices. Information about how to provide effective public comments is available on Ecology's website at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/0307023.html .
The proposed, revised TransAlta BART Compliance Order and TSD are available for review online at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/air/airhome.html in the "Spotlight" column at the right side of the screen.

Ecology will accept comments from October 17 to November 21.
Send comments to:
Al Newman
Department of Ecology
Air Quality Program
P.O. Box 47600
Olympia, WA 98504-7600
AQcomments@ecy.wa.gov

View the Regional Haze SIP webpage at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/air/globalwarm_RegHaze/regional_haze.html.

If you need special accommodations, call the Air Quality Program at 360-407-6800. Persons with hearing loss, call 711 for Washington Relay Service. Persons with a speech disability, call 877-833-6344.
Pub.: Oct. 17, 2010

11 NOV -9 P2:39
DEPT OF ECOLOGY
FISCAL & BUDGET

Affidavit of Publication

In the Superior Court of the State of Washington for Clallam/Jefferson County

Peninsula Daily News

RECEIVED

OCT 18 2011

Ecology W2R-Inc

WA ST DEPT OF ECOLOGY
ATTN: ~~ANGIE FRITZ~~ MELANIE FORESTER
PO BOX 47600
OLYMPIA, WA 98504-7600

Reference: 4900695
5137107 Air Quality/Melanie Fors

The undersigned being first duly sworn on oath, deposes and says.
That she/he is authorized to and does make this affidavit for and on behalf of Peninsula Daily News, a corporation, and that the following statements of fact are within her/his personal and actual knowledge.
That said corporation is the owner and publisher of the Peninsula Daily News published in Clallam/Jefferson Counties, and had been approved as a legal newspaper by order of the Superior Court of said Clallam/Jefferson County of the State Of Washington.
That the annexed is a true copy of a legal insertion as it was published in regular issues (and not in the supplement form) of said newspaper was regularly distributed to its subscribers during all of said period. The publishing date cited is the last day of publication.

Richard C. Wagner III, Peninsula Daily News

1 Insertion in Pub PDN on 10/17/2011

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY
AIR QUALITY PROGRAM

NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

Ecology is revising the TransAlta Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) Compliance Order and Technical Support Document (TSD) and the part of the Regional Haze State Implementation Plan (SIP) they address. The purpose of the changes is to incorporate amendments to RCW 80.80.040 passed in 2011 into the BART documents.

Public hearing schedule:
Date: Wednesday, November 16, 2011
Time: 6:00 p.m. Location: Department of Ecology
Headquarters Building, Auditorium
300 Desmond Drive SE
Lacey, WA 98503

How to review and comment:
Ecology will accept comments on the draft Regional Haze SIP and appendices. Information about how to provide effective public comments is available on Ecology's website at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/0307023.html .
The proposed, revised TransAlta BART Compliance Order and TSD are available for review online at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/air/airhome.html in the "Spotlight" column at the right side of the screen.

Ecology will accept comments from October 17 to November 21.
Send comments to:
Al Newman
Department of Ecology
Air Quality Program
P.O. Box 47600
Olympia, WA 98504-7600
AQcomments@ecy.wa.gov

View the Regional Haze SIP webpage at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/air/globalwarm_RegHaze/regional_haze.html.

If you need special accommodations, call the Air Quality Program at 360-407-6800. Persons with hearing loss, call 711 for Washington Relay Service. Persons with a speech disability, call 877-833-6344.
Pub.: Oct. 17, 2010

Notary Public
State of Washington
BOBBI L STRINGER
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES
August 1 2012

Sworn to me on this 17th day of October 2011

NOTARY PUBLIC

Notary Public in and for the State of Washington residing in Port Angeles.

Peninsula Daily News P.O. Box 1330 Port Angeles, WA 98362

SKAGIT PUBLISHING
C/O ISJ PAYMENT PROCESSING CEN
PO BOX 1570
POCATELLO ID 83204-1570
(360) 424-3251

Fax(360) 416-2161

Advertising Invoice and Statement

1| Billing Period	2| Advertiser/Client Name
10/2011	DEPT. OF ECLOGY AIR QUALITY CO

23| Total Amount Due	*Unapplied Amount	3| Terms of Payment
165.00		Due Upon Receipt

21| Current Net Amount Due	22| 30 Days	60 Days	Over 90 Days
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

4| Page Number	5| Billing Date	6| Billed Account Number	7| Advertiser/Client Number
1	10/17/11	212316 .	212316

8| Billed Account Name and Address	
DEPT. OF ECLOGY AIR QUALITY CO PO BOX 47600 OLYMPIA WA 98504-7600	**Amount Paid:** **Comments:**

Classified Advertising INVOICE

FOR BILLING QUESTIONS & PAYMENTS: PLEASE CALL CLASSIFIEDS AT 360-424-4567. VISA and MASTERCARD ACCEPTED

Please Return Upper Portion With Payment

10| Date	11| Newspaper Reference	12|13|14| Description-Other Comments/Charges	15| SAU Size 16| Billed Units	17| Times Run 18| Rate	19| Gross Amount	|20| Net Amount
10/17/11	550515 SVHOR	SVH-550515 DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY 17	STDS 11.00	1 15.00	165.00	165.00

Statement of Account - Aging of Past Due Amounts

Due date: 11/01/11

21| Current Net Amount Due	22| 30 Days	60 Days	Over 90 Days	*Unapplied Amount	23| Total Amount Due
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		165.00

SKAGIT PUBLISHING
(360) 424-3251

*** UNAPPLIED AMOUNTS ARE INCLUDED IN TOTAL AMOUNT DUE**

24| Invoice Number	25| Advertiser Information			
	1| Billing Period	6| Billed Account Number	7| Advertiser/Client Number	2| Advertiser/Client Name
565255	10/2011	212316	212316	DEPT. OF ECLOGY AIR QUA

SKAGIT PUBLISHING

1215 Anderson Road • P.O. Box 578 • Mount Vernon • WA • 98273 • t: 360.424.3251 • f: 360.424.5300

Heather Hernandez, Publisher

Affidavit of Publication in the matter of SVH-550515

In the Superior Court of the State of Washington In and For Skagit County

STATE OF WASHINGTON
County of Skagit ss

The undersigned, being first duly sworn on oath deposes that he/she is principal clerk of the Skagit Valley Herald, a daily newspaper. That said newspaper has been approved as a legal newspaper by the Superior Court of Skagit County and is now and has been for more than six months prior to the publication hereinafter referred to, published in the English language continually as a daily newspaper in Skagit County, Washington, and it is now and during all of said time was printed at an office maintained at the aforesaid place of publication of said newspaper.

That the annexed is a true copy of an advertisement, with publication dates, as it was published in regular issues (and not in supplemental form) of said newspaper commencing with the issue of October 17, 2011 and ending with the issue of October 17, 2011.

That such newspaper was regularly distributed to its subscribers during all of said period and the full amount of the fee charged for the foregoing is the sum of $165.00.

clerk

Date: October 18, 2011

Subscribed and sworn to before me this
18th Day of October, 2011
Notary Public and for the State of Washington
Clerk's filing stamp

JAMES J. SARRO
NOTARY PUBLIC
COMMISSION EXPIRES 11-19-14
STATE OF WASHINGTON

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY AIR QUALITY PROGRAM

NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

Ecology is revising the TransAlta Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) Compliance Order and Technical Support Document (TSD) and the part of the Regional Haze State Implementation Plan (SIP) they address. The purpose of the changes is to incorporate amendments to RCW 80.80.040 passed in 2011 into the BART documents.

Public hearing schedule:
Date: Wednesday, November 16, 2011
Time: 6:00 p.m.
Location: Department of Ecology Headquarters Building, Auditorium
300 Desmond Drive SE
Lacey, WA 98503

How to review and comment:
Ecology will accept comments on the draft Regional Haze SIP and appendices. Information about how to provide effective public comments is available on Ecology's website at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/0307023.html

The proposed, revised TransAlta BART Compliance Order and TSD are available for review online at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/air/airhome.html in the "Spotlight" column at the right side of the screen.

Ecology will accept comments from October 17 to November 21. Send comments to:
Al Newman
Department of Ecology
Air Quality Program
P.O. Box 47600
Olympia, WA 98504-7600
AQcomments@ecy.wa.gov

View the Regional Haze SIP webpage at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/air/globalwarm_RegHaze/regional_haze.html.

If you need special accommodations, call the Air Quality Program at 360-407-6800. Persons with hearing loss, call 711 for Washington Relay Service. Persons with a speech disability, call 877-833-6344.

Published
October 17, 2011
SVH-550515

Department of Ecology News Release - October 17, 2011

11-284

Ecology seeks comments on revised plan to reduce haze-causing pollution, improve air quality in Washington

OLYMPIA – The public is invited to comment on proposed changes to the state's plan for reducing visibility-limiting haze in national parks and wilderness areas.

The changes focus on reducing emissions from TransAlta's coal-fired power plant near Centralia in Lewis County.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has directed states to reduce regional haze in national parks and wilderness areas in coming decades. As part of this effort, EPA requires certain industrial plants to install Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) if they emit air pollutants that cause or contribute to regional haze.

Visibility-limiting pollutants include sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides and fine particles. The TransAlta plant is the state's largest stationary source of nitrogen oxide emissions.

Ecology submitted Washington's State Implementation Plan for regional haze in January 2011. The agency now proposes to revise TransAlta's BART order and the part of the State Implementation Plan that addresses it.

The revisions comply with specific requirements in Senate Bill 5769, which Gov. Chris Gregoire signed into law in April. The law requires TransAlta to:

- Install controls to reduce nitrogen oxide emissions. The revised BART order calls for a 12 percent reduction and an optimization study to determine the actual reduction that the controls can achieve. This reduction would be in addition to a 20 percent reduction that the company achieved by switching to use of a different type of coal as a fuel source.
- Meet Washington's greenhouse gas emission performance standard on a schedule.

Ecology will hold a public hearing at 6 p.m. Nov. 16, 2011, at Ecology headquarters at 300 Desmond Drive SE in Lacey.

You can find the draft documents on Ecology's Air Quality Program website.

Here's how you can submit comments from Oct. 17 through Nov. 21, 2011:

- Mail them to Al Newman, Washington Department of Ecology, Air Quality Program, P.O. Box 47600, Olympia, WA 98504-7600.
- Send email to AQcomments@ecy.wa.gov .

Ecology will consider all comments and may make changes as a result. People who plan to comment are encouraged to read Ecology's fact sheet on effective public commenting.

The proposed revisions apply only to TransAlta. They do not affect other portions of the State Implementation Plan or the BART orders for six other facilities in Washington:

- The BP Cherry Point Refinery in Whatcom County.
- The Alcoa Intalco Works aluminum smelter in Whatcom County.
- The Tesoro refinery in Skagit County.
- The Lafarge cement plant in Seattle.
- The Port Townsend Paper Corp. mill.
- The Weyerhaeuser Corp. paper mill in Longview.

###

Media Contact: Seth Preston, Ecology communications manager, 360-407-6848; 360-584-5744 cell; seth.preston@ecy.wa.gov

For more information:

Regional haze web page (www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/air/globalwarm_RegHaze/regional_haze.html)

Ecology's Air Quality Program website (www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/air/airhome.html)

Fact sheet on effective public commenting (www.ecy.wa.gov/pubs/0307023.pdf)

Ecology's social media (www.ecy.wa.gov/about/newmedia.html)

Copyright © Washington State Department of Ecology. See http://www.ecy.wa.gov/copyright.html.

DEPARMENT OF ECOLOGY

HEARING SUMMARY

M E M O R A N D U M

November 16, 2011

TO: Ted Sturdevant
Director

FROM: Melanie Forster
Hearings Officer

SUBJECT: TransAlta BART Compliance Order and Regional Haze SIP Hearing Summary

---

WAC title: n/a

Topic: Revision to the Best Available Retrofit Technology order for the TransAlta plant and the part of the Regional Haze State Implementation Plan it addresses

Program name: Air Quality

Name(s) of Ecology employee(s) at hearing: Alan Newman, Julie Oliver, Stuart Clark, Tami Dahlgren, Lindsay Blaine, Margo Thompson, Melanie Forster

Hearing location(s): ROA-32, 34, and 36 Ecology Headquarters

Total number of people at hearing(s): 4

Total number of testimonies: 1

Summary of Comments:

A representative from National Parks Conservation Association (NPCA) testified in favor of TransAlta decommissioning its coal boilers in 2020 and 2025. He also supports the emissions reductions in the interim before decommissioning, although his organization is concerned that there will still be emissions that impair visibility between now and the time the last coal unit is decommissioned that need to be minimized. The pollution control technology is expected to reduce NOx emissions by twenty-five percent after optimization, but the NPCA would like to see that level of reduction immediately. They support further optimization once the technology is installed, with enforceable limits reflecting the results of that optimization if further reduction can be achieved.

They also want to see specific deadlines for actions and transparency in the review of the optimization project and Ecology's response.

cc: Polly Zehm
Stuart Clark
Bari Schreiner
Alan Newman, Senior Engineer
Julie Oliver, AQ PDS Manager